

/no comma before "that" clause -/

A Smoking Ban Too Far?

Is the antismoking law legitimate, considering all the restrictions, that come with it? Is people's health more important?

A ban on smoking in outdoor places seems rational from a few points of view. A brief exposure to tobacco smoke might not be a cause of serious health damages for a relatively healthy person, it is nevertheless problematic for people with conditions, such as asthma, that effect the respiratory system. In this case, smoke can trigger symptoms and cause considerable discomfort. Since the antismoking law affects places like parks, where people spend longer period of time and families stay with the children, it might resolve the problem of not only a brief exposure, but also a longer one.

What is more, seeing people smoking for example near school areas every day, children can perceive it as usual and harmless habit.

better collocation

"normal .. habit"

On the other hand, the effect of a possible loss of support in terms of passing smoking laws in other states is undeniable. Moreover, this law might seem excessive even to smokers who respect and are for smoking bans indoors. However, the technology is evolving fast and there are at least a few alternatives to a cigarette, that do not produce unhealthy secondhand smoke. Since smoking is a choice, smokers should respect the ones, who have not chosen it.

support

better register

"are supportive of"

All in all, a ban regarding smoking outside might be in a way too restrictive, but in my opinion, zero level of smoke in areas, such as places in front of schools and hospitals, is essential.

9

Nicely developed
well-reasoned
good language

Thanks, f -

good +