

Restriction of smoking

The purpose of this essay is to express my opinion about the restriction of smoking in New York which was discussed in the article by Michael Siegel, a professor of community health sciences at the Boston University School of Public health. He highly recommends banning smoking indoors mainly due to welfare issues which are connected with inhaling smoke in the closed space. However, he is not the opinion that something similar should occur with the restriction of smoking outdoors.

Firstly, Mr Siegel <sup>stated</sup> expressed that levels of smoke which nonsmokers encounter outdoors are so low that <sup>it</sup> can not inflict permanent <sup>damage</sup> issues. As there is no scientific evidence of causing negative health effects after being exposed to the smoke for a short period of time, it would be a severe challenge to make it a law. Furthermore, <sup>it is a large limit for</sup> the smoking community in general <sup>which</sup> would have an unpleasant follow-up. Better: "... this restriction of the smoking..."

Secondly, he explained why he would <sup>support</sup> only back up the restriction of smoking indoors. For instance, he mentioned that is nearly impossible for nonsmokers <sup>have</sup> evade from the smoke. Nevertheless, I do not agree with this statement completely. Although it obviously limits the nonsmoking community, I would say that owners of restaurants or pubs should know the best whether they should permit or restrict smoking in their area. Moreover, many of these places already solved this issue themselves by separating the room in two - for smokers and nonsmokers.

In conclusion, the outdoors ban limits the smoking community <sup>way</sup> too much and the city would be filled with hatred. In general, the indoors ban stands a higher chance to make it a law. However, I would not <sup>support</sup> back up this ban either as it is sufficient to give owners of restaurants/pubs a free hand.

297

reporting verbs in English are many and varied... suffice to say that here "expressed" doesn't work. Better would be "stated that..."

phrase "be of the opinion that"

? But this is about in doing smoking, which is not at issue?

register too informal

"evade sth" no preposition

or "escape from sth"

both would work.

we would never and "smoking would never inflict damage" the collocation is "to inflict damage"

"back up" is well used according to meaning! But the register of your essay is formal, so a phrasal verb is inappropriate. Better would be "support"

Register is important means the consistency and appropriateness of the language used.

- good introduction
  - good development!
- comments above on usage, register.

Thanks, J -