

"to find out" = discover, learn sth new.
"to find sth new taken into account"
= to see

The issue of a smoking habit being common is a frequent discussion topic nowadays. Micheal B. Siegel's column about it interested me, so I decided to make a short response.
First of all, I do generally agree with Mr. Siegel's points on this topic but I've found out only health issues one taken into account and I believe there also is a more "immaterial" aspect of this problem that I will try to cover in this essay.

The is aspect is that a person living in the environment, where smoking is a normal thing being displayed outside, will more likely to start smoking himself/herself. I see that as a pretty fair argument for such prohibition as with time it may redefine the action of smoking as the "undesirable" and not-so-far-from unacceptable kind of social behavior, for which there will always be a reserved place.

However, although it seems like an effective and fair measure, there are several issues with the radicalism of such behavior.

Firstly, such quick and unprepared actions are likely to discriminate current smokers. We have to draw a concrete line between fighting the problem itself and wrongfully expressing negativity towards current smokers. That means, such a ban should be implemented gradually and supported by a sufficient amount of smoking places to ease a stressful change in smokers' lifestyle.

Secondly, reasoning the restriction of restricting smoking outside in this way with this is the sign of unawareness of the core of the "cigarette fashion" problem. Cigarette production is, as other businesses based on drugs, extremely profitable, so a lot of effort was put into pushing smoking onto masses, including romanticization in media, advertisement, design, accessibility, and others, which I believe to be more prior issues than the one being discussed.

Overall, I understand that the phenomenon of the such smoking habit being widespread is a serious modern concern but in this case, I agree with Mr. Siegel and also state that the measures should be taken decidedly but carefully not to, as was fairly meant in the column, discredit other non-smoker activists' points and arguments,

both here:
"more basic, more fundamental"

"discriminate against s.o/sth"

*"push sth onto a group"

structure "so as..."
meaning "in order to"
→ showing purpose.

* so:
= "...but carefully so as not to discredit..."

good work!

The second PP takes on the idea of social modelling... and the rest expands on this...
but this last PP appears to return to the Article's concerns... better perhaps to have stuck with your original idea? -

Comments on language above -

Thanks, / -

good