

## A smoking ban

### Pros

- inhaling even a tiny amount of smoke can cause severe health troubles
- being near someone smoking, even outdoors, can result in significant smoke exposure

### Cons

- outdoors can people move more freely and thus avoid the smoke exposure
- smoke quickly disperses in the air
- there are no studies showing that the duration of outdoor exposure is long enough to cause health damage
- the statement, that inhaling even the smallest amount of tobacco smoke can lead to DNA damage, conflates the temporary negative effects of smoke with repeated exposure to it
- it also conflates one-time DNA damage with the risk of cancer
- bans may increase exposure by creating larger smoke-filled areas at several places, that cannot be avoided
- losing of scientific background by proposing outdoors bans can throw away a lot of effort in other regions
- antismoking organisations should focus on extending workplace protections somewhere else instead

### Sentences

The prime minister's decision of doing nothing against the epidemics could jeopardize many inhabitants' future.

A fallen eyelash in the minister's eye resulted in a big backlash in society against his politics. (??)

You should hurry up, the stay of the train in the station is only transient.

The milk conflates the cornflakes and so creates one piece of delicious breakfast.

Chronic sending documents only after the deadline jeopardizes career of many students.

Michal Jelínek

Class D11, Thursday

These are 'just' notes, I know, but be careful of mixing registers... formal language is not mixed with informal language "throw away" doesn't work -

conflate means to join disparate things in order to confuse. so doesn't work here

doesn't work here: "stay of train" "transient"

Simply "The train is in the station for only a brief time" doesn't help with the vocab, but we wouldn't say it otherwise -

Excellent points to the article...

Thank's,

good +