

not sure if you can
"conflate" your own
opinions (doesn't collaborate) also conflate has the
sense of combining with
the purpose to confuse!

1. Watching multiple media conflated my opinions and now I cannot tell which side of political spectre am I on. (=combined)
2. Parents need to constantly watch their babies to take care of the hazards around the house. (=danger/-ous situation)
3. He presented his opinion on bio-fuels without realizing that could jeopardize his business. (=endanger)
4. Every 5 minutes, people in Oceania can feel the transient force of small earthquakes. (=small, quickly disappearing)
5. Cutting down on food made her lose weight extremely quickly, but then it backlashed, as she had to start eating and gained all the weight back, even with interest. (=led to opposite effect)

backlash/down/

so: "then there was a backlash"

Pro

even in some distance, the smoke exposure is intense ✓

non-smokers should not be exposed to smoke at all ✓

even brief exposure might cause cardiovascular events ✓

-||- and lead to cancer ✓

bans of smoking are supported by science ✓

Con

no duration of outdoor exposure is proven to cause health damage ✓

the brief exposure to secondhand smoke does not cause recurring damage - which is the

requirement for heart disease ✓

same goes for cancer risk ✓

smokers could occupy other places and the density will be increased on some places ✓

making up false statements might undermine peoples' trust (and make it harder to push

through further bans) ✓

- good use of vocabulary
- good points paraphrased from article
Thanks :-)

Good +