CHAPTER 10

Ensuring Fairness

The Case for a Transparent Fiscal Social Contract

Terry Lynn Karl

ABSTRACT

The "resource curse" is primarily a political and not an economic phenomenon. In this chapter I identify the key features of dependence on natural resource rents that produce the political problems. On the one hand, the exceptional value of their leading commodity has meant unusually high levels of external intervention in shaping their affairs and capturing their resources by dominant states and foreign private interests. On the other hand, petro-states are even less subject to the types of internal countervailing pressures that helped to produce bureaucratically efficacious, authoritative, liberal, and ultimately democratic states elsewhere precisely because they are relieved of the burden of having to tax their own subjects. Many of the solutions that are commonly proposed fail to take account of these basic dynamics. What is needed first and foremost is a far-reaching "fiscal social contract" based on transparency—one that creates incentives to change the rent-seeking behavior of all actors, both international and domestic, involved in the oil game.

INTRODUCTION

The "resource curse" in oil-exporting countries, a catchall phrase capturing the perverse development outcomes linked to natural resource wealth, is primarily a political/institutional and not an economic phenomenon—a fact that most policy makers have been slow (or perhaps unwilling) to grasp.² For oil exporters, the resource curse cannot be attributed to oil itself, which is merely a black viscous material, but rather to the types of arrangements that have developed around its exploitation. Nor can it be attributed to the mere possession of petroleum; for the full panoply of

resource curse consequences to appear, petroleum must be sold in the international market and not used solely for domestic purposes. Moreover, the resource curse is due as much to the nature of the international oil regime—meaning the institutions shaped by multinational oil companies, their host governments, and foreign lenders—as it is to the structures of states and private actors in oil-exporting countries—another inconvenient reality that is often not addressed.

transparency as a first step in overcoming the resource curse made in a more orderly and less conflict-laden fashion. Its outlines are alwould also permit the eventual transition to alternative energy forms to be the allocation of oil rents so that their distribution can become fairer. This tract is in effect a broad-based agreement among nations and their citizens, mestic, involved in the oil game. The initial step in this fiscal social consocial contract" based on transparency—one that creates incentives to trap"—one that threatens not only the economic and political stability of not appropriately contained. The analogy is appropriate: the pursuit of oil ready discernible in the emerging convergence over the importance of companies, and international financial institutions to be more open about change the rent-seeking behavior of all actors, both international and doshall see, this requires a "big push" in the direction of a far-reaching "fiscal velopment must begin with political and institutional agreements. As we political and institutional, overcoming the perverse impacts of oil-led depects for a more peaceful world. Because the roots of this trap are largely petro-states but also the health of the international economy and the prosrents by both domestic and international actors has produced an "oil terminology, a honey pot is a trap that poses risks to an entire system if it is term consequences produced by this collective rent-seeking. In computer ones to be raided by all actors, foreign and domestic, regardless of the long-Simply stated, petroleum dependence turns oil states into "honey pots"—

Whatever the past benefits of relatively cheap energy, current arrangements in the oil sector are now associated with so many harmful outcomes that they must be changed. The list of costs to the oil exporters speaks for itself: slower than expected growth; barriers to economic diversification; poor social welfare indicators; high levels of poverty, inequality and unemployment; higher than average corruption; poor governance; outright authoritarian rule or its omnipresent threat, weak rule of law; a culture of rent-seeking; often devastating environmental damage; human rights violations; and greater risks of conflict and war.⁴ These results are not confined to the world's hotspots such as Iraq, Indonesia, Sudan, Chad, the

of the former are potential or actual failing states. one third of these countries have annual per capita incomes below \$1500 natural gas for at least 30 percent of their export revenues, and more than and Saudi Arabia. Today, at least 34 less developed countries rely on oil and tempting to manage serious domestic cleavages such as Venezuela, Iran (Birdsall and Subramanian 2004). Almost all of the latter group and many Niger Delta, and Colombia but also extend to more peaceful countries at

ning and anticipation, it is likely to pose a grave threat to the entire world signs of a brewing fossil fuel crisis. 7 If this crisis is not managed with planeled by energy-related grievances or paid for by oil purchases are urgent might push up prices to \$100 per barrel), and the growth of terrorism fu-The war in Iraq, a potential nuclear threat in Iran (for which sanctions with "peak oil"—the notion that the height of discovery will soon be (or global warming linked to fossil fuels is more real every day. The concern of these prices with skyrocketing company profit.5 Further, with 2005 damage caused by dramatically shifting weather patterns,6 the fear of These trends are just now being felt, but there is certainly worse to come. has been) reached and will inevitably decline—exacerbates these worries. ranked as the hottest year on record and increases in the intensity of and and recession but also through the politically uncomfortable juxtaposition icantly higher prices at the pump and growing concerns about inflation costs to the consuming countries. This is apparent not only through signif-The imperative for reform is also underscored by the ever more obvious

new norms and practices for energy production. national oil companies, and international financial institutions—to design the governments and citizens of producing and consuming countries, interout a huge coordinated effort by all the stakeholders involved-including sion of oil rents is, at heart, political—a question of power, bargaining, and social justice. As we shall see, the resource curse is the manifestation of such technocrats alone. The efficacious use of petroleum wealth and the fair divicause of the resource curse, will not be easy. Nor can this be the province of long-standing and institutionalized patterns that it cannot be undone with the face, arriving at a social contract for curtailing rent-seeking, the main Still, even with these alarming prospects staring the petroleum sector in

of Plenty (Karl 1997) about the political and organizational consequences, the resource curse, it focuses on an argument first presented in The Paradox prove governance in the petroleum sector (Karl 1987). To explain the roots of social contract and what I have elsewhere referred to as pact-making to im-This chapter explores the need for what Moore (2004) has called a fiscal

> what is becoming an emerging social contract involving transparency and the monitoring of oil revenues at the international and domestic levels. the outlines of the first essential step in overcoming the resource cursecurse showing that, whatever their strengths, they can work only where rentcountries. It then reviews some of the proposals for ameliorating the resource parts of West Africa and the Caspian Basin. Finally, this chapter highlights kleptocracy is most often the rule and not the exception, for example, in ing and open political debate. This is especially true in those countries where seeking has been identified, made transparent, and becomes an issue of monitorrather than direct taxation for the development of stateness in oil-exporting both nationally and internationally, of relying upon petroleum revenues

THE STATE AS "HONEY POT" DIAGNOSING THE PROBLEM:

what Adam Smith (1937) described as "activities of people who reap what they must occur through other mechanisms. they do not sow," these exchanges are significantly delayed and skewed, or (Tilly 1975, 1992). Because oil states support themselves through rents, or and maintained through a series of exchanges of resources for institutions other states, on the one hand, and bargaining with organized groups within cisely the dilemma of oil exporters.9 Scholars of state-building, whatever their own territory, on the other. State authority is historically constructed their differences, agree that capable states are built through bargaining with "The revenue of the state is the state," said Edmund Burke, and this is pre-

fect state-building, these other factors are generally not strong enough to Even though many other factors unrelated to petroleum dependence afcious cycle between mineral extraction and state making is set in motion. penditure patterns, fiscal accountability, and citizen participation. A videcay in critical arenas such as non-mineral-based revenue raising, exdence that accompanies state-building subsequently produces even further tives of resource extraction, but the intensification of the resource depenoften formed during the period of oil extraction, are skewed by the imperamance. Petro-states suffer from a double perverse effect: their states, so tween the exploitation of resources and the quality of economic perforparties). In effect, these institutions are the intermediate causal link beministration (e.g., civil services) or political representation (e.g., political erally makes for poor institutions, especially those that deal with state ad-Dependence on rich mineral endowments, when aimed for export, gen-

detriment of the state's efficacy, representative capacity, and sustainability. eventually becomes a "honey pot" that lends itself to state capture to the contributes to some form of state-building, but even here, the public sector counteract this "oil effect." In the best cases, mineral dependence initially

a whole are marked by an unusual "paradox of plenty:" they are simultaneously more stable and more prone to conflict. oil-exporting countries for conflict and war. In this respect, oil regimes as regimes eventually cannot be stabilized, producing a higher propensity in development possibilities are horrifically squandered, opposition rises and ally (and often immediately in the worst cases), kleptocracy comes to rule, Hussein, Indonesia's Suharto, or Saudi Arabia's royal family. But eventubuilding and stability; witness, for example, the rule of Iraq's Saddam been marked by unusual regime longevity, some by uneven forms of statedollars come on stream. This is why some stages of oil dependence have location tend to support whatever type of government is in power as petro-In the short to medium term, oil-based revenue raising and resource al-

provide for some citizen input. and the facilitation of some type of representative institutions that could services, the evolution of the rule of law to ensure compliance on all sides, spent. The net result was the construction of an administrative apparatus that could penetrate the national territory, the creation of merit-based civil spending processes, and giving taxpayers a say in how their monies were transparency, submitting to oversight in the revenue-raising and public taxes was in their interest in the end, even if this meant increasing revenue change. Rulers learned that using consensual mechanisms for extracting organizational effort into developing linkages with their subjects in order to raise the revenues they needed. In this respect, states became motors of demonstrate a secure revenue base, regimes had to invest real political and costly and violent resistance, and borrowing depended on the ability to only through taxation or borrowing. Because taxation often provoked wished to survive had to increase its extractive capacity to pay for its protection; war generated an increased need for revenues that could be met paralleled the growth of permanent standing armies because any state that required taxation (Tilly 1975, 1992). The development of the modern state and violent struggle to define national borders—a struggle that ultimately the European experience, state-building10 arose primarily from the long A contrast with state development in Europe best makes the point. In

provided taxes or loans and those who had the power to give constitutional In effect, an eventual fiscal social contract was achieved between those who

> was in place, lending to the state became more attractive to financiers. This could have become a catastrophic stalemate between rulers and their subjects modern fiscal states. both domestically and abroad. Thus arose what Moore (2004) calls the first nisms were able to depend on loan financing in addition to their tax revenues and not disconnected from, performance. States with strong taxation mechahelped to increase state capacity since greater access to borrowing was tied to Further, once a more routinized, predictable, and consensual state apparatus that could facilitate better public policy and more informed political debate. tions and economic patterns. Bureaucracies became repositories of knowledge into a win-win situation, producing a virtuous cycle between political institupromises of consultation and respect for the rule of law.¹¹ This changed what because they could leverage their revenue-raising capacity to borrow money

cycle of poverty and inequality (Karl 2000).¹² That the resource-rich excumstances, economic outcomes were marked by a continuing vicious and lacking in transparency, but they were also especially vulnerable to were especially weak. Not only were such states bureaucratically anemic eral states in particular were not built through direct taxation, the presauthority and the administrative differentiation of control. Because min-"tiger" counterparts is another counterintuitive aspect of "the paradox of cally lacked the "stateness" characteristic of their resource poor Asian porting countries of Latin America, the Middle East, and Africa historistate capture by private foreign and domestic interests. Under these cirsures for rule of law and more fair distribution, so present in Europe, war, taxation, and borrowing at the expense of the institutionalization of boundaries, but it disrupted the tight cycle connecting state-making, those in Europe. Conquest may have facilitated the eventual drawing of ternational power asymmetries that were qualitatively different from Thus, the construction of state authority has been strongly shaped by indominated by rich and powerful states driven by their own interests. they have also all emerged into an international environment already borders or a prolonged history of direct or indirect external control, but very different experience. Not only have most been created with artificial States in the developing world, and especially petro-states, have had a

that their revenues do not originate from taxing their subjects, oil states they may share properties with other states in the developing world in are a special, and in this respect an especially unfortunate, subset. While Within the category of resource-rich exporting countries, petro-states

the burden of having to tax their own subjects. ultimately democratic states elsewhere precisely because they are relieved of helped to produce bureaucratically efficacious, authoritative, liberal, and states are even less subject to the types of internal countervailing pressures that dominant states and foreign private interests. On the other hand, petrovalue of their leading commodity has meant unusually high levels of external intervention in shaping their affairs and capturing their resources by has two broad developmental effects. On the one hand, the exceptional rely to an unusually great extent on externally generated revenues.¹³ This "unearned income." They are "rentier" states par excellence—states that are different because of the qualitatively greater scale and duration of their

approximately 90 percent of revenues going to the more experienced and of revenues that accrued to Chad in its earliest contracts compared to the ance of power. Observe, for example, the difference in the mere 7 percent pends on the capacity of petro-states to redress this company/country baltaxation. The allocation of oil rents between countries and companies destances than are available to other developing countries. Thus borrowing place without strict conditionality and under more favorable circumbecomes an attractive substitute that permits politicians to avoid domestic who can use future barrels as collateral, lending has most often taken countries is a type of "strategic rent" in that it supports status quo rulers national financial institutions. Because borrowing by petroleum-exporting governments have always had a certain advantage when it came to interpeople living in oil-exporting countries. At the same time, however, oil ronment in their own interests rather than for the long-term benefit of ergy companies to the hilt. The companies, in turn, wield their clout to dominate the production of supplies, backing their own multinational enmaximize their own benefits, in large part by shaping the regulatory enviinterests in securing supplies and ensuring that no single country can commodity. The industrialized states have always pursued their own vital al. 2006; Yergin 1992). This is not true to the same extent for any other vering around the lifeblood of the industrialized world, and oil and war have been linked since the beginning of the twentieth century (Kaldor et imperative. The history of petroleum is the story of geopolitical maneu-The unusual degree of external pressure is most evident in the revenue

"resources for institutions" bargain, this time between rulers and international actors. External dominance and control of capital and technology This unusually high external profile encouraged a qualitatively different

> constituencies became more mobilized and regimes more democratic (as on either side to change the system. True, this marriage was filled with percontemporary events in Nigeria, Venezuela, and Bolivia demonstrate), it would be difficult to remain in power without some form of modsus vitimes by seeing their stubborn counterparts removed elsewhere)16 that it alize foreign companies, 5 but, with few exceptions, rulers learned (someations with foreign companies instead of bargaining with their own popuremains the decisive mechanism for revenue raising. nies and governments became increasingly more complicated as internal vendi with multinational companies. While bargaining between compatheir own citizens to capture and distribute more petrodollars or to nationpetual tension, especially when oil states faced powerful demands from treme overcentralization and concentration of power—with little incentive was a marriage of convenience between companies and rulers, based on exfavored strong centralized authority. The net result in most oil exporters lations. For different reasons, both rulers and companies historically has meant that oil governments have engaged first and foremost in negoti-

smaller in size and limited in their range of expertise and territorial reach. skills and knowledge in some cases, 18 such bureaucracies are significantly sources where they should go. While they may be important repositories of territorially comprehensive tax systems, and subsequently directing retions aimed at extracting revenues from their own population, managing rents.¹⁷ But these externally oriented bureaucracies, however capable, canvative in the international arena, especially through the formation of the energy ministries and national oil companies. Propelled by their particular not play the same role as efficient and merit-based civil service organizaing arrangements, and other mechanisms to increase their take of oil Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries, sophisticated contractform of revenue imperative, petro-states have been organizationally innotract revenues from the international oil industry, especially in powerful entiated and efficient bureaucratic apparatus in the areas necessary to ex-Not surprisingly, then, oil-based regimes eventually developed a differ-

are available in sufficient quantities (meaning that downward price trends gets what from oil rents inside the national territory. As long as oil revenues jects, an unusual degree of independence, and the power to decide who guaranteed source of income, they have revenue autonomy from their subtax and spend" state. Because governments in petro-states have their own distributive expenditure patterns, producing the ne plus ultra of the "no The reliance on this unique revenue source also created especially

government. 19 The remaining portion (25 to 35 percent of national output) and building powerful coercive apparatuses to ensure compliance from tense or for the suppression of opposition movements.²⁰ was either invested or used to build sophisticated militaries for national de-1990s in the OPEC countries when approximately 65 to 75 percent of the their subjects. This appears to be precisely what occurred until the late that they do not become a threat, permitting some degree of trickle-down fairly predictable pattern: buying off powerful groups and individuals so do not last overly long), it is most efficient to allocate petrodollars in a largely through subsidies to friends, family, and political supporters of the post-1974 gross domestic product was for public and private consumption

tion of revenues. Like their rulers, they too often become addicted to their over, since merit-based civil service and monitoring systems with their conculture of rent-seeking as a wealth creation strategy, not only among public and its subjects sets in. share of oil rents even as a type of permanent disconnect between the state are not engaged; and they seldom demand better monitoring of the utilizacitizens are not motivated to ensure that state revenues are well spent; they the void. The result is evident. Because petrodollars are not "their" money game, and when revenues are scarce, a type of "rentier borrowing" can fil spread rent-seeking. Patronage and corruption become the name of the comitant public service values were never firmly established, there is very officials and private interests but also within the entire population. More also have harmful impacts on state structures. They foster a widespread little—apart from religious or ideological networks—to counteract wide Such distributive patterns, so obviously detrimental to the economy

most dangerous time for oil states. innovation. This is especially the case during boom periods, ironically the tute the distribution of rents for more enduring forms of statecraft, they troleum rents is gradually devastating. Because these states tend to substibution, they lose the potent brake of scarcity—one of the chief motors of ernments have strong political incentives to undertake inefficient distriappear large and powerful, but they are hollowed out. Not only do gov Thus, while petro-states may look strong, the impact of reliance on pe-

deficits: information, monitoring, and participation.²¹ Over time, petro-states suffer from at least three types of "stateness"

The information deficit arises from the absence of a robust tax bureaucracy, the dearth of feedback mechanisms that are derived from

> zens have virtually no viable way of assessing whether their own deare changing; at the same time, without this sort of information, citithe industry itself. This means that oil governments are denied crucitizen payment of taxes, and, as we shall see, the general opacity of reasonable or effective. mands on the state or the government's expenditure patterns are nesses that should be promoted or how patterns of income distribution cial knowledge showing, for example, the types of successful busi-

- The monitoring deficit originates from the lack of a revenue incentive ducers; it is exacerbated by the acute overcentralization of power circumvent regulations, both in their home and host countries. checks and balances, and in the case of the energy sector, by the within the executive that makes it difficult to construct meaningful to develop or comply with regulations on the part of economic proenormous capacity of multinational energy companies to prevent or
- The participation deficit comes to pass in a myriad of ways but most and rulers have less interest in the productivity of their subjects cause citizens tend to track governments less when they are untaxed consequent citizen engagement. This fosters a rentier culture bestate, which breaks any sense of ownership of public resources or especially from the lack of connection between subjects and the when they do not depend on these activities for raising revenues

cal accountability in oil-exporting countries. They also weaken efforts to hold foreign and national energy corporations accountable for their activitional petroleum sector rests on addressing all three of these deficits. age and impacts on local communities. Whatever the type of regime in ties inside petro-states, most especially with regard to environmental damplace, building better governance both within oil states and in the interna-Together, these three deficits effectively remove any effective form of fis-

MARKET FAILURE AND STATE FAILURE: THE COSTS OF BUSINESS AS USUAL

and distribution that characterize petro-states as well as the entire interstates, and states do not disclose what they earn and spend. Neither connational petroleum sector. Companies do not publish what they pay to Opacity is the glue holding together the patterns of revenue extraction cessionary nor the more common contractual systems are transparent

output plans and price objectives, refusing to be transparent about how uses to which these funds are put. Indeed, concealing information, hiding untraceable and not subject to any oversight. been the name of the game. Thus huge amounts of money are virtually ing confidentiality clauses to obscure the content of signed contracts has governments interact with those involved in the extraction of oil, and usrate of exploitation, the funds that governments actually receive, and the tion about their revenues from their natural resources. There is no transgovernments, for their part, do not even provide the most basic informaparency regarding the amount of resources available to be exploited, their

as a whole. Opacity simply means that enormous sums of money are courage competition and result in lower revenues over time for the nation basic forms of accountability. passed around, both internationally and domestically, without the most how large an up-front bonus they will pay, these practices ultimately disor set up bonus bidding to require companies to compete on the basis of power to permit one firm to enter their country ahead of others (for a price) and concomitant development disaster. As long as authorities have the do not defend. But in the longer run, opacity is a formula for corruption traceable, and the types of security or environmental standards they do or amount of revenues they receive and whether these funds are ultimately governments, opacity affects the kinds of contracts they enter into, the report, how much profit tax they must pay to governments, whether they confidentiality shapes how they account for their costs, what profits they tages of doing so are readily apparent. For the companies, for example, whether they can veto environmental or human rights standards. For oil petitive advantage in a country, and even how they interpret or indeed can offer large signature bonuses or side payments to enhance their commeans that obscuring information is not difficult. The short-term advan-The "marriage of convenience" between oil countries and companies

within petro-states but also in the entire industry, encourages increasingly threaten to produce even more in the future. ments in the oil sector are producing too many losers worldwide—and inforce each other through feedback mechanisms. Thus, current arrange problematic market failures and dangerous state failures, which in turn refor most stakeholders is quite different. The information deficit, not only (and this is certainly the case for a small number of actors), but the reality those involved believe that the lack of transparency serves their interest The failure to recognize and remedy this problem may mean that all of

> to economic agents, including the companies, countries, and traders, is so secrecy of the only swing producer, Saudi Arabia, and the extensive specuure to publish field-by-field oil production data,23 the extraordinary tility is directly related to the lack of transparency, including OPEC's failupside) after the collapse of OPEC's administered pricing in 1985.²² Volaand this volatility has accelerated exponentially (on the downside and the ginning in 1973, prices began to experience more rapid and greater fluctuations, modities, is a case in point (Aizeman and Marion 1999; Karl 2004). Beprice formation, often has little relationship to actual economic condipoor²⁴ that the responses to this information, which determines future lative activities encouraged by industry secrecy. The information available ent directions, economic fundamentals become only one determinant in very powerful and sophisticated players can cause prices to move in differtions.25 When added to the reality that the actions of a small number of cost of fuel works its way through a global economy, its impact is asymance. While this price failure affects everyone, especially as the shifting of their most basic function-serving as signals of the demand/supply balthe price equation, causing still higher volatility. In effect, prices are robbed ning, budgetary discipline and the control of public finances, meaning cause severe contractions or overheating inside oil-exporting countries. gross domestic product (GDP) by half a point, but the same changes will metrical: a 50 percent increase in the price of oil might only cut the U.S. come distribution, and, most important, the alleviation of poverty inside as 30 percent. Price fluctuations are also detrimental to investment, inthat economic performance may deviate from planned targets by as much Volatility's boom-bust cycles exert a strong negative influence on plan-The cost of oil price volatility, which is twice as variable as other com-

states subject to very rapid, unpredictable, and often wild price fluctuations, unique development opportunity. Petro-states, including Algeria, Angola, that of "drunken sailors in a bar."26 The result is the astonishing loss of a ciencies, extensive waste, spiraling subsidies, and over-borrowing likened to problems, rampant rent-seeking, overheated economies, widespread ineffito be manifest in the current boom), which ultimately produced absorption loss of fiscal control manifest after the booms of 1973 and 1980 (and surely penditures makes such failure even more likely. This is most evident in the their lack of information about projected revenues and their own past ex-Ecuador, Gabon, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Libya, Nigeria, Qatar, Venezuela, ano Opacity has other severe costs. While policy failure is to be expected in

1981 to \$6800 in 2001 (Karl 2004). edly) the greatest in the world, per capita income dropped from \$28,600 in Trinidad and Tobago, experienced real per capita income plunging back to levels of the 1960s and 1970s. In Saudi Arabia, where oil reserves are (repur-

have more internal and external conflict. oil exporters, generate socioeconomic conditions that fuel social unrest and Transparency International's corruption scale, and this includes almost all formance (Sutton 2005). In the end, countries that fall at the bottom of affects decisions about which projects to undertake, and is negatively correlated with foreign currency credit ratings, thereby damaging future perdirect investment, lowers the productivity of infrastructure expenditures, in oil-exporting countries, negatively influences the amount of foreign petro-states. This corruption raises the transaction costs of doing business oil companies had been forced to disclose publicly their resource payments to Witness (2004) demonstrates, none of these scandals could have happened if the country's future oil income in exchange for expensive loans. As Global aine (not Total) financed both sides of the civil war and helped to mortgage der President Obiang's direct control; and in Congo Brazzaville, Elf Aquitmajor U.S. companies pay revenues directly into a Riggs bank account unkickbacks received from both Chevron and Mobil; in Equatorial Guinea, largest foreign corruption investigation in U.S. legal history has uncovered hidden more than a billion dollars in a secret fund in Switzerland, and the large sums of money in secret bank accounts while 70 percent of Angolans about a quarter of its oil revenues disappears, President Dos Santos keeps Global Witness (2004) reports that a billion dollars a year representing live on less than a dollar a day; in Kazakhstan, President Nazarbayev has these economic outcomes. The stories are legendary: In Angola, where are difficult to entangle from "normal" rent-seeking) form the capstone of Astounding heights of corruption (and widespread smaller levels that

point of grievance is most often the regions where oil fields are found beand poverty in what is widely perceived to be a rich country. The first cause oil exploitation affects every environmental medium—air, water, and try associated with the West and unusually noticeable extremes of wealth by sharp cleavages created by a highly visible (most often) foreign industies, and political beliefs to the mix.27 In petro-states, this is exacerbated associated with oil production add different nationalities, religious identibased, and generational tension, especially when the huge in-migrations not be a surprise. Both booms and busts produce intense social, identity-That such outcomes generate deep and escalating grievances should

> instability, violence, and eventually, civil war and state failure. oil (Human Rights Watch 1999). 30 This is a formula for almost permanent severe human rights abuses, and communities have few ways to seek redress—except by holding hostage the producers and the production of supporting villages have been made unlivable, security forces have caused known instance is Nigeria's Niger Delta, where once thriving and selfita income—all in the context of higher expectations. When communities tect oil operations and the future revenues of the state. Perhaps the best force, as government-sponsored public or private security forces act to proprotest, most regimes, whether authoritarian or democratic, respond with est effects, they tend to get the least rewards; they suffer from lower cated near installations and pipelines.²⁸ While these regions feel the greateconomic growth, higher inflation, greater dislocations, 29 and lower per capland—and can endanger the health and livelihoods of communities lo-

creasingly putting the entire industry under scrutiny culties, environmental damage, authoritarian responses, state failures, and increased conflict is the Achilles' heel of current arrangements, and is indown the road. Thus the bundle of price volatility, global economic diffical chaos inside the exporters themselves. This foreshadows new failures with greater prospects for global inflation and recession as well as more fisconflict in an oil-exporting country sparks new volatility in oil markets tends the proliferation of more failed states. In turn, each regime change or are already exceptionally weak or have been virtually destroyed, this porsuch challenges, as Venezuela and Nigeria are trying to do. But when states stateness in place that they can manage to reconstitute themselves after ing in the wings. In the best case scenarios, oil states already have enough lapse of the party system in Venezuela portends the types of dramas waitthat change, when it comes, is not likely to be reformist and incremental. of information, monitoring, and linkages with their populations mean Instead, the unexpected ousting of Iran's powerful Shah or the sudden colten last an unusually long time. But this is a hollow stability. Their deficits lenges, and indeed their regimes, whether authoritarian or democratic, of-Petro-states may appear to be remarkably stable in the face of such chal-

WHY TECHNOCRATIC FIXES CANNOT BE THE FIRST STEP

all actors in the oil story, and the range of prescriptions offered is wide. Avoiding market and state failures has become the rhetorical stance of

they should do all of this while improving their judicial systems to better they should provide a stable environment of property rights and drastically and avoid popular public works programs with immediate payoffs. Finally, fight corruption. In short, petro-states should simply remake themselves. limit their own role, possibly by privatizing the petroleum industry. And ducing them gradually into the economy. They should cut public spending them in an oil trust fund abroad, thereby avoiding overheating by introcial sectors. They should "sterilize" their petroleum revenues by saving improve productivity in agriculture and industry and reform their finanity. To prevent the Dutch Disease (see chapters 1 and 7), they ought to gime, privatization and deregulation) to guarantee macroeconomic stabiluse market mechanisms (including a liberalized trade and exchange re-According to economists, petro-states should diversify away from oil and

rather, at least in the short run, they may understand only too well. avoiding the hasty industrialization, profligate overspending, and increased because leaders do not understand what might be in their own interests: litical and economic problems by doing precisely the opposite. This is not urge), political leaders seem to believe that they can ward off immediate poparency and "good governance" (as both USAID and the World Bank ment economists advocate), or promoting judicial reform, financial transdomestic consumption that has marked the OPEC countries (as developthe rising dominance of the state over the economy (as neo-liberals advise), decentralize power voluntarily to other stakeholders. Rather than checking efficient, and cautious in their policy making. And they have no reason to cal allocation of petrodollars and face no immediate incentives to be frugal, in oil-exporting countries. Rulers have every reason to engage in the politimassive and sustained external conditionality, which is especially unlikely such far-reaching economic reforms can seldom be accomplished short of to their friends, allies, and social support bases. Nor do they recognize that calculation of rulers to retain their political support by distributing petrodollars what is often economically inefficient decision making is an integral part of the But such prescriptions do not take into account a fundamental reality:

most often rest upon extensive information, monitoring, and participation lationship between these states and the oil companies. To be effective, they they are aimed solely at petro-states themselves rather than the symbiotic re-Virtually all of these proposals are only economic and technocratic, and instead, should be put forward as part of a larger process of political reform curse, which seem so very promising, are unlikely to work on their own and This is why many of the solutions proposed for overcoming the resource

> als demonstrates the importance of prior attention to addressing deficits in "stateness" that is lacking in oil exporters. Even a brief look at these proposconsent of the population. In sum, they require at least some of the very information, monitoring, and participation before they can be successful. generated by an environment suffused with respect for rights as well as the

"SOW THE PETROLEUM": ECONOMIC DIVERSIFICATION

is precisely what is in short supply can withstand the pressures of state capture. But this type of bureaucracy and monitoring generated by merit-based civil service bureaucracies that problem is that this remedy depends first and foremost on the information overspending, but in bad times they cannot stop over-borrowing.31 The bility means that in good times they are incapable of putting brakes on to mitigate the negative effects of boom/bust cycles. This budgetary instafication with sound fiscal and monetary policy; thus they have been unable that oil-dependent governments have not combined these efforts of diversiinvestment). One central reason for the failure of such diversification is tonishing "white elephants," most notably in steel and other forms of heavy projects that can better hide revenue siphoning (leading to some rather asties, they have had the added drawback of encouraging huge industrial huge petrodollar flows perversely affect the productivity of non-oil activisubsidies and protective tariffs combined with increased taxation. While cation that can withstand the political impact of the withdrawal of huge the first instance. There are painfully few successful examples of diversifias Venezuela and Iran, this is unlikely to resolve the problem, at least not in source rents. But although this has been attempted in countries as different activities, this in turn could reduce the state's dependence on natural rebe to diversify the tax base. Indeed economists have long argued that ecoleum dependence builds into the economy. By subsequently taxing these can overcome the "crowding out" of other productive activities that petronomic diversification, combined with better fiscal and monetary policies, Perhaps the most obvious solution to the problem of the rentier state may

THROUGH NATURAL RESOURCE FUNDS "STERILIZE" OR REMOVE REVENUES

source rents by putting those rents beyond their reach and into a natural A second solution might be to prevent governments from relying on re-

trols.32 This poses the danger of simply adding to fiscal chaos while ecutive and thus can constitute a type of parallel budget without conon paper, they are almost always set up under the direct control of the exwhere governments do not provide even the most basic information about countries like Kazakhstan, Republic of Congo, or Equatorial Guinea see chapter 8). Indeed, there is little point in talking about such funds in ensure their proper functioning do not yet exist (for more on this issue zations (NGOs), the types of accountability mechanisms that would they have been constituted to date, have major drawbacks. Because they important fiscal tool that can aid in planning. However, these funds, as the very different Alaska Permanent Fund, such funds are viewed as an resource fund. Whether modeled after Norway's State Petroleum Fund or difficult in countries that have become accustomed to a rentier culture of save oil money while simultaneously attempting to raise taxes is politically becoming a second "honey pot." Finally, claiming that it is necessary to their revenues from oil or gas. Further, while these funds may look good tions is not available to legislatures, the press, or nongovernmental organiare generally not transparent, and the information regarding their allocation requires information and open debate, and both are in short supply. whose populations live in acute poverty. Explaining the necessity of taxa-

PRIVATIZE": REALLOCATE RIGHTS TO OIL REVENUES

sia). But once again, the problem with these arrangements is political might mean inviting significant foreign participation (Kazakhstan) or perequipped to manage petroleum than their state counterparts (see chapter guardian by right of oil wealth. Further, where oil rents are concerned owner, and it runs counter to strong nationalist notions that the state is the mitting domestic private interests to take over—at least temporarily (Rustribution. Changing the ownership structure of the production process tion process or over the ensuing revenues, for example through direct dis-A third way to prevent too singular a reliance on revenues earned directly ple, school systems and health care, while failing to create citizen engage own problems; it threatens to abandon cherished public goods, for exam-2),53 Direct distribution to the population, modeled after Alaska, has its there is still no evidence that domestic private oil companies are any better Privatization raises the acutely partisan question of who gets to be the new from oil is to change the patterns of property rights either of the producment (see chapter 9). Alaska itself is a prime example. The distribution of

> educated populations, less rule of law, and less participation? over investment. Why should this be any different in countries with less lower than average productivity, and a pattern of favoring consumption sic: chronic budget deficits, public works projects that remain unfinished. tem, a personal income tax, and even a sales tax—and the results are claspetrodollars to individuals has substituted for a broad-based tax sys-

an oil fund invested abroad to sterilize excess revenues. It even protected seeking that followed in the wake of oil discoveries elsewhere (Karl 1997) its oil fortune under strict control, it was able to ward off the insidious rent taxes and permit oil revenues to replace its normal revenue base. By bringing the state's non-oil fiscal capacity by resisting the strong temptation to lower companies, sustain a diversified economy, rein in borrowing, and establish ate the highly efficient Statoil, define explicit roles for public and private propriate utilization of oil revenues, reorganize its Ministry of Industry, cremechanisms already available, it was able to hold a broad debate over the apdemocracy. With information, monitoring, and participation "stateness Development Index, Norway ranks as the number one country. The result speaks volumes: in recent reports of the United Nations Human ing merit-based, technically competent, and honest bureaucracy, and a strong a point of departure of an already high level of development, with a preexist has avoided the worst manifestations of the resource curse. But it did so from divided Iraq. Norway, which is held up as the example of "best practices," Norway—not war-torn Angola, post-communist Kazakhstan, or ethnically Perhaps any of these policies might work well if the state in question is

a technologically sophisticated "civil service state" has faced serious diffithe effective utilization of petrodollars to alleviate poverty and prevent culties controlling its oil rents.³⁴ Furthermore, Norway demonstrates that ulation, petrodollars simply cannot be spent efficaciously.³⁵ the free flow of information, monitoring, and the participation of the popconflict—not the other way around. Where serious deficits exist that block Good governance, transparency, and participation are prerequisites for sequence: good institutions must be in place prior to the exploitation of oil the problem of managing oil wealth is essentially a problem of historical ing. However well it has performed under the pressures of oil wealth, even But Norway's main lessons for other oil exporters could seem discourag-

nor corporations get to choose the historic sequence confronting them pipeline than an efficient and representative state. But since neither states The crux of the "oil trap" is this: it is much easier and faster to build a

economic and technocratic reforms discussed elsewhere in this volume. cussed above as a precondition for the appropriate functioning of the coming the informational, monitoring, and participation deficiencies disthe international environment in which they operate. This means over ing the basic historic deficits of petro-states that are also characteristic of of crucial forms of stateness. Escaping the resource curse requires target what is on the table is identifying and devising the functional equivalent

other proposals is its comprehensive focus, not only on petro-states but tutions and habits, but what differentiates the transparency initiative from pushed from below by a coalition of several hundred NGOs with partners emerging international convergence around the notion of transparencysional strategy to counteract the resource curse. In effect, it is the first also on the entire network of norms and practices that sustains opacity, have yet to see how effective it can be at changing deeply entrenched instiparticular—is so promising. Transparency in itself is no panacea and we in the exporting countries and from above by the British government in tive without prior attention to the problem of information. This is why the industry reform: neither monitoring nor citizen participation can be effecenergy sector. manifestation of what could become a fiscal social contract for the entire The belief is that transparency is the essential first step in a multidimen-Moreover, there is an appropriate sequence for these efforts at state and

FAST TRACK TO STATENESS: TOWARD A FISCAL CONTRACT IN THE ENERGY SECTOR

tionally violent new exporters of West Africa, and, most recently, the sharp by a number of high profile scandals, the morally reprehensible prospect of campaign, launched officially in June 2002, was joined a year later by a ernments where they operated. The "Publish What You Pay" (PWYP) ing for all natural resource companies to disclose their payments to the govdate) more than 230 other NGOs mounted an international campaign callrise in oil prices, Global Witness,36 the Open Society Institute,37 and (to the replication of devastating outcomes in the desperately poor and excep-That a convergence is growing about transparency is unmistakable. Pushec payments and revenues started a bandwagon effect. In 2004 alone, the EU pledge to voluntarily develop a framework to promote the transparency of broad alliance of governments and most of the major players in the oil inthe Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative (EITI). Their

> stock market disclosure rules to mandate that American companies make on transparency requirements. Even the World Bank and the International and efforts were started to condition development assistance to oil exporters their payments public, was launched in the U.S. House of Representatives; change; the "Publish What You Pay" Act, which called for the utilization of governments by extractive companies listed on the European Stock Exparliament passed legislation to promote the publication of payments to ining plans to institutionalize transparency clauses into all of their dealings side by domestic civil society groups linked to the international campaign. 38 Azerbaijan. At least 51 governments are using an EITI template for increasgun to improve public finance (McMillan 2005), but also in Nigeria, Chad, impacts, most especially in Angola, where the government and Chevron-Fund 2005). This transparency campaign has already shown some notable with oil and mining countries (see, for example, International Monetary Monetary Fund, not especially noted for their own transparency, are examcampaign, and the Extractive Industry Review of the World Bank can also ing transparency within their extractive industries—each pushed from in-Gabon, Timor-Leste, Sao Tome and Principe, Trinidad and Tobago, and Texaco have disclosed some of their oil receipts and where efforts have bebe traced in part to civil society pressure. Despite the growing indications of BP's more transparent actions in Angola and Azerbaijan are a result of this ment Plan and the Revenue Oversight Committee, reveal potential designs mechanisms developed in that project, especially the Oversight Managetransparency in the Chad-Cameroon pipeline project, certain innovative failure in what were poorly sequenced and very late attempts to improve ating procedures. country resource incomes and expenditures should become standard opernorms: a requirement that transparency about company payments and terest in turning the current "lose-lose" situation into a different set of suming countries, and the international financial institutions—have an incountries, the taxpayers in consuming countries, the governments in conbelief that all stakeholders—the companies, the people in oil-exporting social responsibility, these halting but initial actions are predicated on the for institutions in the future. Building on notions of rights and corporate

contested process. None of these initiatives have proceeded smoothly,40 former favored by NGOs and the latter by international corporations. The the debate over mandatory versus voluntary revenue disclosure models, the and some have not proceeded at all.41 The problem is best captured in But as the logic of the prisoners' dilemma suggests, 39 this is a highly

whole energy sector, each is also afraid of moving first and being undercut and that this consent can be won only through the combined pressure of government level, obviating the advantage of a recalcitrant company. Both country performance, most companies' bottom lines, and the health of the all stakeholders nominally agree that more transparency would improve financial institutions and the governments of consumer countries. While agree that the consent of host governments for transparency is essential ative advantage. 42 PWYP contends that coordination with financial institutions and petro-states themselves would ensure disclosure at the nies not listed on public stock exchanges would have a significant comparlevel playing field since Indian and Chinese competitors and state compapractices. They also claim that mandatory agreements would destroy a first steps to remove confidentiality clauses and other widespread secret national sovereignty make producing countries responsible for taking the companies argue that the sanctity of contracts and traditional notions of

(why not?) an international treaty. Certain recommendations flow from duty and the tools to make transparency into enforceable domestic law and governments, both in producing and consuming countries, that have the is also moving the process forward, creating clear political constraints anisms necessary to underwrite transparency. The weight of expectations ganizations that can design the necessary oversight and compliance mech pressure inside oil states, and at the level of regional and international or one that is likely to result in a compromise mixing both mandatory and gradually manufacturing the outlines of a new international consensusthis analysis: (rather than legal ones) against business as usual. In the end, however, it is country basis that focuses on aiding domestic groups to create internal voluntary actions. This is being pushed at two levels: on a country-byspread popular concerns about global warming and high energy prices are Nonetheless, merging agendas, constant interactions and growing wide-

- to publish revenue amounts disclosure clauses in contracts and providing guarantees of freedom and monitoring of the oil sector. This would include removing nonmove all obstacles, legal or political, to the transparent disclosure For all governments: Both host and home governments should re-
- national budget. Furthermore, information regarding revenue as For producing governments: Oil revenues should be included in the

- the polity through the press, the internet, and a variety of consultawell as expenditure allocations should be distributed widely within
- gardless of their enforcement inside oil-exporting countries. nity development funding. Companies should also pledge to respect to producing states, including compensation payments and commutimely manner, all net taxes, fees, royalties and other payments made For companies: Companies should publicly disclose, in a regular and internationally recognized environmental and health standards re-
- For international financial institutions: Transparency conditionality no turther assistance and those that engage in "best practices" should and companies that do not abide by these conditions should receive should be attached to all loans and assistance to oil states and to all be rewarded. 43 Export Credit Agency assistance to energy corporations. Countries
- For NGOs: Both nationally and transnationally, these organizations should strengthen the capacity to collect and disseminate informatroleum arrangements. indigenous rights, scientific, and other constituencies affected by peform "umbrella" coalitions that unite environmental, human rights, panies, and international financial institutions. NGOs should also tion, develop independent monitoring, and lobby governments, com-

CONCLUSION

term should be prompted by the desire of all concerned to avoid a worstgovernments are running out of oil rents. But negotiations in the medium porters themselves where reform proceeds furthest and fastest when veloped world for reform, they have the opposite effect inside the oil exwill not be easy. While high prices foster a growing constituency in the detransparent, and just distribution of the costs and benefits from the world's stitutions can only heat up-with terrible consequences only too easy to limited and more expensive energy, the problem of rich states and poor in-As the oil market moves from conditions of abundant and cheap supply to case scenario of violence and disorder by accepting a "second best" option. most valuable commodity. As such, it requires a political solution. This The resource curse is fundamentally a political problem about the efficient, foretell. And while reform may seem very unlikely to observers who may have become jaded by repeatedly witnessing the tremendous power of oil

may help to set off processes that represent a fast track to stateness. also liberal governance, and they do so, as Mahon (2005) has shown, within a very few years of their implementation. In this respect, transparency kick in. Direct taxes promote not only more efficient bureaucracies but revenues can be raised. At this point, another governance dividend could not so abundant after all will necessarily give rise to debate over how more the needs of a country, and showing that plentiful petrodollars are really ics of rentier states. Declaring what is being paid to governments, revealing tually will have to play a key role in escaping the all-too-common dynamof taxation-something that is still off the radar screen-but which evennot a sustainable basis for development. This in turn permits a discussion ness among rulers and citizens that dependence on petrodollars alone is monitoring, and participation deficits can help to create a new consciousthe resource curse begin to work. This is because overcoming information, sary conditions for making many of the current proposals for overcoming effects of information, monitoring, and participation can create the necesthe involvement of those who currently are (but need not be) adversely affected by petroleum exploitation. In a relatively short time, the interactive monitoring, and both information and monitoring create incentives for Greater access to information sets the framework for producing better well in as the international energy sector. Sequence matters in this story, reducing social tensions, and providing more stability. In this respect, it and market reforms, it promises real payoffs for managing expectations, and it is only a start. But if it is seen as a prerequisite to other types of state has the potential to provide real governance dividends in petro-states, as rents, its seeds are already in place. Transparency is not a stand-alone tool

within the international energy sector stemming from international oil portunity for oil governments? What are some identifiable "best practices taxation systems in the midst of "plenty" that might provide a learning optively created? Are there previous experiences in building more extensive outcomes), and how are such essential administrative apparatuses effecsuch governments accept the necessity of building or strengthening menttarian and thus view accountability and participation as anathema, would conditions are needed for it to operate? Where oil governments are authoribased civil service (the other basic requisite for more effective development pressures for monitoring, accountability, and citizen participation? What mental questions are evident: To what extent does transparency increase forms that are so badly needed in the energy sector. A number of funda-More empirical research is imperative for developing the specific re-

> oil? How will the transition from petroleum-based fuel to a bundle of difis most effective in mitigating the harmful consequences of dependence on companies and citizens? What types of organizational citizen-based action where oil is found? What type of conflict resolution mechanisms can difextensive environmental damage and human rights violations in regions companies or their host governments that effectively mitigate the risks of should they be taken? These and many more questions beg for attention. about a new fiscal contract in the energy industry, and in what sequence ferent fuels be managed? Finally, what specific steps can be taken to bring tuse tensions in oil regions and begin to build a new basis of trust between

continue their past practices simply will not work. Nor will technocratior partial efforts that single out solely one stakeholder while letting others and even reversing the resource curse with its accompanying slippery sovereignty" (Krasner 2005). Because partial reforms run the risk of merely outside in what is sometimes rather euphemistically referred to as "shared cally couched reforms that seek to design and control outcomes from the and other perverse effects of petroleum may seem, half-hearted attempts chairs on the Titanic. slope into violence and war. Anything less is simply rearranging the deck and backed by law, can begin to build accountability, perhaps slowing perative. A gradually emerging fiscal contract, especially if mandatory Ing new grievances in the process, a more comprehensive approach is immoving the huge rents from petroleum from one site to another and creat-However grandiose or out of reach a "big push" to curb rent-seeking

tional important readings on the resource curse, see note 4. more conflict than resource-poor economies (Collier and Hoeffler 2004). For addihave lower growth (Sachs and Warner 2000), worse institutions (Karl 1997), and 1. Here, the resource curse refers to the fact that natural resource-rich economies

countries but only those that live off the exports from a high rent-generating comas a subgroup because the nature of their rents is so qualitatively different different extent of the rents they generate. This is why oil exporters must be treated nomenon and thus applies differentially to natural resources depending on the very have been slow to understand that the "resource curse" is primarily a rentier phemodity. Despite their widespread utilization of the notion of rents, social scientists 2. Note that my argument does not apply to all natural resource-dependent

that lived primarily from the rents generated by this sector "successful" oil producer is misleading. The United States was never an oil exporter 3. This is why Wright and Czeslusta's (2004) example of the United States as a

- Kaldor et al. (forthcoming) on the relationship between oil and war. racy and rule of law; and Le Billon (2001), Collier and Hoeffler (2004, 2005), and Ross (2001a) on political economy outcomes, including the relationship to democeconomic outcomes; Karl (1997, 1999, 2000, 2004, 2005), Wantchekan (2000), and ple, Gelb (1988), Auty (1993, 2001), Sachs and Warner (2000), and Ross (2001b) on 4. There is extensive documentation about these poor outcomes. See, for exam-
- \$141,000 per day. San Francisco Chronicle, January 31, 2006, E.I. the most profitable year for any company in U.S. history, pocketing \$36.1 billion in 2005. Later, it was revealed that its CEO's salary amounted to approximately 5. On January 31, with crude oil at over \$68 per barrel, Exxon Mobil announced
- lent to the size of the state of Texas. 6. The Arctic Sea has lost 400,000 square miles of ice in the last 30 years, equiva-
- (2004) and Roberts (2005). 7. The titles of a spate of new books make the point. See, for example, Goodstein
- arguments in this section can be found in my writings listed in the bibliography. mechanisms affecting state-building is mentioned here. Unless otherwise cited, the Karl (1997), especially chapter 2. For space reasons, none of the numerous other 8. For a more thorough explanation of the argument briefly presented here, see
- tion, except in the field of fiscal sociology. and public finance shape political institutions has received surprisingly little attenrelate to their citizens. Nonetheless, the manner in which different types of taxation nues helps to explain differences in the form states take and the ways in which they them defines its very nature. More important, variations in the sources of state reve-9. The revenues a state collects, how it collects them, and the uses to which it puts
- tem to penetrate society and the national territory in order to effect policies. 10. This is defined here as the attempt to design a centralized administrative sys-
- what became a relatively honest and capable civil service. to develop complex bureaucratic organizations to assess and collect taxes and to train tion were mitigated (Zolberg 1980). Nonetheless, in both cases, states had incentives and demands for either administrative efficacy, the end of absolutism, or representaevident to the populace or where nobles or other powerful interests were exempt zens who became monitors of the public purse. Where taxes were indirect and less example, England, they were very visible, and this transparency helped to create cities from taxation completely, for example, France and Spain, their cost was less evident merous scholars have noted that where taxes were direct and based on property, for tional development did not progress evenly, uniformly, or in some ideal way. But nu-11. The manner in which this proceeded reveals important lessons. State institu-
- not openly debated, and collection was ineffective. evasion, especially by the wealthy, the tax base was extremely narrow, policies were 12. Historically, even where some tax policy was attempted, there was widespread
- davy (1970), Beblawi and Luciani (1987), Karl (1997), and Chaudry (1997). those enjoyed by most other rentier states. On rentier states, see, for example, Mah. the bulk of state revenues. Still, oil rents are far higher and of longer duration than rentier states as are those that rely on significant infusions of foreign aid over time for 13. Note that many natural resource-rich countries in the developing world are

- ally retarded state-building and prevented reform (Centano 2002; Karl 1997). could not play the same role as a complement to taxation and, in many cases it actu-14. The phrase is from Majon (2005). Under these circumstances, borrowing
- enlightening. 15. Tugwell's (1975) description of this bargaining in Venezuela is especially
- 16. Witness, for example, the well-known case of Mossadegh in 1954 in Iran.
- OPEC would continue these practices after its formation in 1960. company threats to move their production elsewhere. Thus, contacts between Venestrong enough to extract good bargains from multinational oil companies, they soon zuela and the Middle East, as early as the 1940s, established accords to jointly demand learned to band together, even across continents, to try to build a united front against "50:50" profit sharing agreements and effectively established new rules of the oil game 17. Because individual governments in oil-exporting countries were initially not
- exceptionally easy access to getting its oil from the ground, meant that bargaining over technology never became part of its skill set—a deficit it now has to face. though Kuwait has been an exporter for some time, geographic factors, especially the example, has developed these state skills, they are far less present in, say, Kuwait. Al-18. There are key differences among oil exporters, of course. While Venezuela, for
- 10 to 20 percent of GDP in some years. 19. Amuzegar (1998, 101) claims that subsidies in the Persian Gulf ran as high as
- themselves. These figures are estimates by Gelb (1988) and Amuzegar (1998). is no accurate accounting of the utilization of oil windfalls by the OPEC countries 20. One manifestation of the lack of transparency during oil booms is that there
- 21. I am grateful to Macartan Humphreys for helping with this formulation.
- \$30 in 2000, dropped to \$20 in 2002, and then exceeded \$60 in 2005 22. Prices plunged to \$12 per barrel in 1998, for example, more than doubled to
- these data in 1982. 23. OPEC stopped its annual and sometimes semiannual practice of publishing
- there is no way to verify what is the basis, if any, of the reported numbers. to a number of prestigious places, including the all-important IEA Monthly Report small grocery store in Geneva. Although this employee apparently feeds information though by some accounts has only one employee who conducts his business above a tion based on observations of tanker traffic at the world's leading loading docks. He consultants, the so-called tanker traffic counters, whose job is to estimate producthat this "data vacuum" has led to the proliferation of a whole new class of energy recounts the story of Petrologistics, which claims to have spies in all the major ports. 24. The extent to which this is true is difficult to convey. Simmons (2005) notes
- physical supply and demand. uid forward markets, and markets that trade. And the most "liquid" markets play the greatest role in oil price determination, but they are also the most removed from 25. Reference prices emerge from the interaction between spot markets, more liq-
- a longer period than other developing countries (Karl 1997). This permitted leaders minister (in the presence of this author), the oil minister retorted: "Yes, but you bankers were like drunken bartenders!" But borrow they did—more rapidly and over 26. When this description was made by an international banker to an OPEC oi

of petro-states to avoid badly needed structural changes for longer than other devel-

- 27. Some countries in the Gulf region, for example, have more foreigners than
- of waste and toxic substances can seriously damage local residents, plants, animals contributor to acid rain). Chronic small spills or the improper handling and release tion), hydrogen sulfide (a neuro and reproductive toxin), and sulfur dioxide (a major (a liver and kidney toxin), mercury, lead, sodium (which makes soil unfit for vegetatoxic substances and other pollutants. These include benzene (a carcinogen), toluene 28. Crude oil and the byproducts of extraction contain significant quantities of
- or religions, increased prostitution, AIDS, and crime. 29. This includes higher in-migration, often from other countries, ethnic groups,
- Rights Watch (2003); and on Chad/Cameroon, see Gary and Karl (2004). the Bakola/Bayeli pygmies around Kribi, Cameroon; and the communities of the Cohan indigenous people in Ecuador. For more on the case of Ecuador, see Gerlach (2003); on Colombia, see Dunning and Wirpsa (2004); on the Sudan, see Human 30. This is also the case, for example, of the municipality of Yopal in Colombia
- 31. For a long time, Indonesia was an exception here.
- dent is spending these revenues. conflict with Armenia, and most observers do not know where Kazakhstan's presi-Central America; the president of Azerbaijan could rap into the fund to support the 32. One Venezuelan president was able to secretly buy weapons to channel to
- sian private energy enterprises are very suspect. 33. For example, Norway's state industry is a model company, while many Rus-
- and Norway stands in marked contrast to other oil exporters. that wants to save. Nonetheless, this is a far cry from the effects of the resource curse, 834). In an interesting twist, it is the bureaucracy and the permanent government which some voters see as "a growing cake that voters cannot eat" (Listhaug 2005) 34. Norwegian public policy has led political conflicts over the use of oil revenues
- sued its recommendations in January 2004, reached a similar conclusion. 35. Note that the Extractive Industry Review of the World Bank Group, which is-
- panies operating in Angola to "publish what you pay." and embezzlement of oil revenues by Angolan elites, the report called on the oil comassets during Angola's 40-year civil war. Because the refusal to release financial information by major multinational oil companies encouraged the mismanagement the apparent complicity of the oil and banking industries in the plundering of state 36. In December 1999, Global Witness published A Crude Awakening, exposing
- and Transparency International UK. 37. The other founding members included Oxfam GB, Save the Children UK
- 38. Publish What You Pay Newsletter, August 2004.
- to the best possible outcome. 39. This occurs when an inability to commit makes all parties worse off relative
- Review, which resulted, to the leadership's astonishment, in a recommendation to 40. The World Bank, for example, launched an exhaustive Extractive Industry

withdraw gradually from all oil and mining activities—something it is not prepared

- Bush administration and are stalled in Congress. 41. Efforts toward legislation in the United States do not have the support of the
- offering a loan commitment that undercut the IMF's efforts to pressure for improved withdraw because of human rights concerns. In Angola, China won a concession by of the companies and rightly so. In Sudan, for example, Chinese and Indian compatransparency. nies quickly moved in when the Canadian firm, Talisman Energy, was forced to 42. Competitiveness with non-Western companies is an especially salient concern
- 43. See Global Witness (2005)

- Aizeman, J. and N. Marion. 1999. "Volatility and Investment: Interpreting Evidence from Developing Countries." Economia 66: 157-79.
- Amuzegar, J. 1998. "OPEC as Omen: A Warning to the Caspian." Foreign Affairs 77 (November/December): 95-112.
- Auty, R. 1993. Sustaining Development in Mineral Economies: The Resource Curse The sis. London: Routledge.
- Auty, R. 2001. Resource Abundance and Economic Development. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Beblawi, H. and G. Luciani, eds. 1987. The Rentier State. London: Croom Helm.
- Birdsall, N. and A. Suramanian. 2004. "Saving Iraq from Its Oil." Foreign Affairs 83(4): 77–89.
- Centano, M. 2002. Blood and Debt: War and the Nation-State in Latin America. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Chaudry, K. 1997. The Price of Wealth: Economics and Institutions in the Middle East Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Collier, P. and A. Hoeffler. 2004. "Greed and Grievance in Civil Wars." Oxford Eco nomic Papers 56: 663–95.
- Collier, P. and A. Hoeffler. 2005. "Resource Rents, Governance and Conflict." Special issue, Natural Resources and Violent Conflict 49(4): 625–33.
- Dunning, T. and L. Wirpsa. 2004. "Oil and the Political Economy of Conflict in Colombia." Geopolitics 9: 1.
- Gary, I. and T. L. Karl. 2004. Bottom of the Barrel: Africa's Oil Boom and the Poor. Baltimore: Catholic Relief Services Press. Also available at http://www.catholicrelief .org/africanoil.cfm
- Gerlach, A. 2003. Indians, Oil, and Politics: A Recent History of Ecuador. Wilmington: Scholarly Resources, Inc.
- Global Witness. 2004. Time for Transparency: Coming Clean on Oil, Mining, and Gas Revenues. Washington, DC: Global Witness Publishing.
- Global Witness. 2005. Extracting Transparency: The Need for an International Financial Reporting Standard for the Extractive Industries. London: Global Witness

- Goodstein, D. 2004. Out of Gas: The End of the Age of Oil. New York: W. W.
- Human Rights Watch. 1999. The Price of Oil: Corporate Responsibility and Human Human Rights Watch. Rights Violations in Nigeria's Oil Producing Communities. London, New York
- Human Rights Watch. 2003. Sudan, Oil and Human Rights. London, New York Human Rights Watch.
- International Monetary Fund. 2005. *Guide on Resource Revenue Transparency*. Wash ington, DC: International Monetary Fund.
- Kaldor, M., T. L. Karl, and Y. Said. (forthcoming). New and Old Oil Wars. London
- Karl, T. L. 1987. "Petroleum and Political Pacts." Latin American Research Review (January): 63-94.
- Karl, T. L. 1997. The Paradox of Plenty: Oil Booms and Petro-States. Berkeley: Uni versity of California Press.
- Karl, T. L. 1999. "The Perils of Petroleum: Reflections on The Paradox of Plenty." Special issue, Journal of International Affairs 53(1).
- Karl, T. L. 2000. "Crude Calculations: OPEC Lessons for Caspian Leaders." In *En* New York: Rowman and Littlefield, pp. 29-54. ergy and Politics in Central Asia and the Caucasus, R. Ebel and R. Menon, eds
- Karl, T. L. 2004. "The Social and Political Consequences of Oil." Encyclopedia of Energy, Cutler Cleveland, ed. San Diego: Elsevier, pp. 661-72.
- Krasner, S. D. 2005. "The Case for Shared Sovereignty." Journal of Democracy 16(1)
- Le Billon, P. 2001. "The Political Ecology of War: Natural Resources and Armed Conflicts." Political Geography 20: 561–84.
- Listhaug, O. 2005. "Oil Wealth Dissatisfaction and Political Trust in Norway: A Re source Curse?" West European Politics 28(4): 834-51.
- Mahdavy, H. 1970. "Patterns and Problems of Economic Development in Rentier States: The Case of Iran." Studies in the Economic History of the Middle East, M.A. Cook, ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 428-67.
- Mahon, J. E. 2003. "Liberal States and Fiscal Contracts: Aspects of the Political ican Political Science Association, September 1-4. Economy of Public Finance." Prepared for the 2005 annual meeting of the Amer-
- McMillan, J. 2005. "Promoting Transparency in Angola." Journal of Democracy 16(2)
- Moore, M. 2004. "Revenues, State-Formation and the Quality of Governance in Developing Countries." International Political Science Review 25: 297-19.
- Roberts, P. 2005. The End of Oil: On the Edge of a Perilous New World. Boston Houghton Mifflin.
- Ross, M. 2001a. "Does Oil Hinder Democracy?" World Politics 53: 325-61.
- Ross, M. 2001b. Extractive Industries and the Poor. Boston: Oxfam America.
- Sachs, J. D. and A. Warner. 2000. "Natural Resources Abundance and Economic Growth." HIID Development Discussion Paper 517a, October 1995. Later published in Leading Issues in Economic Development, New York: Oxford University Press.

- Simmons, M. R. 2005. Twilight in the Desert. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Smith, A. 1937. An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations. New York: Modern Library.
- Sutton, G. D. 2005. "Potentially Endogenous Borrowing and Developing Country Settlements. Sovereign Credit Ratings." Occasional Paper. Basel: Bank for International
- Tilly, C., ed. 1975. The Formation of National States in Western Europe. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Tilly, C. 1992. Coercion, Capital and European States, AD 990-1992. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.
- Tugwell, F. 1975. The Politics of Oil in Venezuela. Stanford: Stanford University
- Wantchekon, L. 2000. "Why Do Resource Abundant Countries Have Authoritarian Governments?" Paper presented at the American Political Science Association.
- Wright, G. and J. Czelusta. 2004. "The Myth of the Resource Curse." Challenge 47(3): 6-38.
- Yergin, D. 1991. The Prize: The Epic Quest for Oil, Money, and Power. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Zolberg, A. 1980. "Strategic Interactions and the Formation of Modern States: France and England." International Social Science Journal 32: 687-716