

Multi Culturism

by

DOUGLAS
HARTMANN
AND
JOSEPH
GERTEIS

ASSIMILATION

COSMOPOLITANISM

INTERACTIVE
PLURALISM

FRAGMENTED
PLURALISM

INTRODUCTION

- **Two-Dimensional Framework**
 - The theoretical terrain of visions of difference can be mapped in two dimensions: cultural and relational.
 - These dimensions reflect the sociological domains of cohesion and order.
- **Cultural Dimension**
 - The first dimension deals with the cultural bases for cohesion and the legal or moral foundations for order and justice.
 - Different theories emphasize either shared substantive bonds and practices (thick) or common legal codes (thin).
- **Relational Dimension**
 - The second dimension concerns the basis for social association, indicating the role of individual interactions or groups.
 - The core distinction is between visions that prioritize individual interactions and those that emphasize groups.

ASSIMILATIONISM

- **Introduction to Assimilationism**
 - Often considered the baseline vision of difference.
 - Lauded and criticized in recent years with a radical rethinking of the concept.
- **Foundations in Race and Ethnicity**
 - Rooted in works like Park (1939) and Gordon (1964).
 - Emphasizes the importance of substantive moral bonds for cohesion.
- **Individual and Social Whole**
 - Denies the mediating role of groups, making the connection between the individual and the social whole direct.
 - No strong distinction between internal and external boundaries; group characteristics resemble national characteristics.
- **Removal of Difference**
 - Assimilationism deals with difference by removing it.
 - Emphasizes cultural homogeneity and conformity.
 - Removal of out-group markers and traits is necessary for incorporation into the social whole.

ASSIMILATIONISM

- **Private vs. Public Difference**

- Tolerates private differences as long as they do not enter the public sphere.
- Shared core values create the societal "center," promoting conformity.

- **Pressure to Conform**

- Pressure to conform is strong, and individuals are made over in a rigid and uncompromising way.
- The "melting pot" metaphor symbolizes the loss of distinctiveness in favor of mutual understanding and responsibility.

- **Defense of the Center**

- Strong defense of the center against outsiders and their distinctive cultures.
- The national culture tends not to be subject to change.

- **Immigration and Assimilationism**

- Theoretically compatible with high rates of immigration if immigrants are willing to give up their group identities, practices, and values.

COSMOPOLITANISM

- **Introduction to Cosmopolitanism**
 - Recognizes diversity but questions the obligations and constraints of group membership.
 - Prioritizes individual rights and freedom over cultural conformity.
- **Lack of Cultural Specificity**
 - Unlike assimilationism, cosmopolitanism lacks cultural specificity.
 - The vision is characterized by vagueness in external markers.
- **Macro-Culture and Moral Solidarity**
 - Cosmopolitanism presents a thinner, procedural understanding of the macro-culture.
 - Weak public salience of subnational communities distinguishes it from other multicultural visions.

COSMOPOLITANISM

Tolerance and Individual Choice

- Emphasis on tolerance and individual choice rather than mutual obligations.
- Membership in the social whole is one source of identity but not necessarily the most salient.

• **Weak Group Identification**

- Group differences may be important, but group identities are not totalizing.
- Group membership is a choice and a source of individual identity.

• **Individualized and Voluntaristic Vision**

- Individualized in Simmel's sense with multiple and cross-cutting boundaries.
- Group differences are moved into safe contexts where they do not create tension.

• **Thinnest Form of Difference**

- Cosmopolitanism allows difference to exist without significant conflict.
- Inclusive elements coexist with exclusive pressures, resulting in neutralized, not negated, qualities.
- The vision promotes the possibility of forming group bonds that bridge particularities.

COSMOPOLITANISM

- **Balancing Inclusivity and Neutrality**

- Cosmopolitanism represents a middle ground between assimilation and true multiculturalism.
- Some scholars term it "neutral liberalism."

- **Lack of Constraints and Attraction**

- The lack of concrete constraints is attractive to those wishing for a more open model.
- Attracts scholars interested in choice, voluntarism, and permeable group boundaries.

- **David Hollinger's Perspective**

- David Hollinger's vision, exemplified in "Postethnic America," emphasizes individual rights and freedoms without compromising cultural diversity.
- Advocates for an equal platform for diverse narratives within society.
- Favors a society where every individual can freely choose their place in the ethnic mosaic.

INTERACTIVE PLURALISM

- **Interactive Pluralism Defined**
 - A specific form of multiculturalism emphasized by Alexander and Taylor.
 - Recognizes distinct cultural groups but promotes common understanding through mutual recognition and interaction.
 - Emphasizes cross-cultural dialogue and exchange as a core value.
- **Contrast with Assimilationism**
 - Unlike assimilationism, which seeks to assimilate cultural differences, interactive pluralism values the acceptance of differences.
- **Cohesion and Group Focus**
 - Both interactive pluralism and assimilationism emphasize the importance of strong bonds among groups.
 - While assimilationism focuses on mutual responsibilities based on common values, interactive pluralism stresses mutual recognition and respect of differences.

INTERACTIVE PLURALISM

- **Constant Pressure for Self-Reinvention**
 - With increased immigration and diversification, there's a continuous pressure for the macro-culture to reinvent itself.
 - This reinvention is not based on empty liberalism but involves a democratic hermeneutics, leading to a "fusion of horizons."
- **Incorporation of Outsiders**
 - In interactive pluralism, incorporation involves accepting the qualities and cultures of outsiders.
 - It goes beyond mere inclusion and aims for achieving diversity within the cultural sphere itself.

INTERACTIVE PLURALISM

Interactions Between Groups

- In interactive pluralism, the crucial interaction occurs between groups, not just within them.
- Group identity claims are considered legitimate entries into public life.

Decentered National Culture

- Rejects the idea of a single, uniform national culture.
- Recognizes a constantly evolving macro-culture that emerges from group interactions.

Emergent Substantive Moral Order

- The moral order is not fixed but constantly evolving through democratic interactions of groups.
- Substantive commitments are continually regenerated, shaping the nature of the macro-culture.

Fragmented Pluralism

- **Fragmented Pluralism Defined**
 - Focuses on distinctive and self-contained mediating communities.
 - Emphasizes the necessity and strength of diverse group identities.
- **Structural Contrast with Assimilation**
 - Opposite of assimilation in terms of structural characteristics.
 - Relies on procedural norms instead of common moral bonds.
 - Emphasizes strong internal group boundaries.
- **Group-Centric Model**
 - In fragmented pluralism, the individual is subsumed by the group rather than the nation.
 - Group membership is seen as essential and based on strong preexisting boundaries.
 - Maintenance of distinctive group cultures is a priority.

Fragmented Pluralism

- **Interplay of Diverse Group Values**
 - Different group value systems may be divergent or directly opposed.
 - Procedural norms, like legal rights to maintain separate institutions, are emphasized.
- **Role of the State**
 - The state plays a crucial role in mediating between group claims.
 - It manages discrepant rights claims without imposing substantive moral values.
- **Similarities to Assimilation**
 - While structurally different, fragmented pluralism can be seen as a version of assimilationism where groups are substituted for nations.
 - Each group acts as its own solidaristic community, policing internal boundaries.

Fragmented Pluralism

Challenges of Social Boundaries

- The social whole lacks clear-cut divisions between insiders and outsiders.
- Without a shared value consensus, it's challenging to define the limits of the social body.

Illustrative Works

- Works by Iris Young, Horace Kallen, Alejandro Portes, and Ruben Rumbaut exemplify fragmented pluralism.
- Alejandro Portes and colleagues' concept of "segmented assimilation" highlights the multiple forms of immigrant integration into American society.
- Fragmented pluralism reveals a vision of multidimensional difference, emphasizing group differences within a diverse society.

so finally guys, a meme for y'all, and

thanks!

