## D. Division polynomials

Let us fix a field $K$ of characteristic $p \neq 2,3$, and let $a, b \in K$ be such that $4 a^{2}+27 b^{2} \neq 0$. Use $E$ to denote the smooth Weierstraß curve given by $y^{2}=$ $x^{3}+a x+b$. Recall that $E[m]$ denotes the group of all $P \in E$ such that $[m] P=\infty$. This group is a subgroup of $E(\bar{K})$.

If $p \nmid m$, then $|E[m]|=m^{2}$, by Theorem G.1. There are thus $m^{2}-1$ affine points $P=(\alpha, \beta)$ for which $[m] P=\infty$.

Note that $(\alpha, \beta) \in E[m] \Leftrightarrow(\alpha,-\beta) \in E[m]$. This is because $(\alpha,-\beta)=\ominus P$. Hence, if $m$ is odd and $p \nmid m$, then there are exactly $\left(m^{2}-1\right) / 2$ different values of $\alpha$ that occur within the affine points $(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ that are of order that divides $m$.

If $m$ is even, then we have to be a bit more cautious since in this case $E[m]$ contains involutions. There are three of them, and they are equal to $\left(\zeta_{i}, 0\right)$, where $x^{3}+a x+b=\prod\left(x-\zeta_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq 3$. Hence in this case, provided $p \nmid m$, the number of $\alpha$ is exactly $\left(\left(m^{2}-1\right)-3\right) / 2+3=\left(m^{2}+2\right) / 2$.

It is thus not surprising that there exist polynomials $\tilde{\psi}_{m} \in K[x]$ of respective orders $\left(m^{2}-1\right) / 2$ and $\left(m^{2}+2\right) / 2$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in E[m] \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\psi}_{m}(\alpha)=0$.

Of course, if $m_{1} \mid m_{2}$, then $E\left[m_{1}\right] \leq E\left[m_{2}\right]$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{m_{1}}$ divides $\tilde{\psi}_{m_{2}}$.
Therefore $\tilde{\psi}_{2}$ divides $\tilde{\psi}_{m}$ if $m$ is even. A point $(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ is an involution if and only if $\alpha^{3}+a \alpha+b=0$. Hence $\tilde{\psi}_{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$.

Another criterion for $(\alpha, \beta)$ being an involution is that $\beta=0$. This criterion is more easy to check. Because of that (and because of compatibility with the theory of Weierstraß equations in characteristics 2 and 3) it is usual to use polynomials $\psi_{m}$ that are in defined in variables $x$ and $y$, and not polynomials $\tilde{\psi}_{m} \in K[x]$ that are defined only in $x$. The difference is small. In our case of $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$, $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq 2,3$, the polynomial $\psi_{2}$ is defined as $2 y$. Furthermore, $\psi_{m}=\tilde{\psi}_{m}$ if $m$ is odd and $\psi_{m}=2 y \tilde{\psi}_{m} /\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)$ if $m$ is even.

What is extremely important is the fact that the division polynomials $\psi_{m}$ may be defined recursively, e.g. in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{0} & =0 \\
\psi_{1} & =1 \\
\psi_{2} & =2 y \\
\psi_{3} & =3 x^{4}+6 a x^{2}+12 b x-a^{2},  \tag{D.1}\\
\psi_{4} & =4 y\left(x^{6}+5 a x^{4}+20 b x^{3}-5 a^{2} x^{2}-4 a b x-8 b^{2}-a^{3}\right), \\
\psi_{2 m+1} & =\psi_{m+2} \psi_{m}^{3}-\psi_{m-1} \psi_{m+1}^{3}, \text { where } m \geq 2, \text { and } \\
\psi_{2 m} & =\left(\psi_{m+2} \psi_{m-1}^{2}-\psi_{m-2} \psi_{m+1}^{2}\right) \psi_{m} / 2 y, \text { where } m \geq 3
\end{align*}
$$

However, the definition of $\psi_{2 m+1}$ and $\psi_{2 m}$ as given above is not correct without a further adjustment. The formula upon the right always yields a polynomial in $x$ and $y$. In this polynomial there may be occurences of $y^{i}$ with $i \geq 2$. If this happens then $y^{i}$ is replaced by $y^{i-2}\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)$ until the polynomial contains $y$ in power at most 1. The final polynomial is equal to some $a(x)$ in the case of $2 m+1$, and to $y a(x)$ in the case of $2 m$.

Every $P=(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
[m] P=\infty \Longleftrightarrow \psi_{m}(\alpha, \beta)=0 \tag{D.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is true for all $m \geq 1$, even for those with $p \mid m$. In addition to that the division polynomials can be used to express $[m] P$ for those $P=(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ that do not belong to $E[m]$. If $P \notin E[m], m \geq 2$ and $P \notin E[2]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
[m] P=\left(\alpha-\frac{\psi_{m-1} \psi_{m+1}}{\psi_{m}^{2}}, \frac{\psi_{m+2} \psi_{m-1}^{2}-\psi_{m-2} \psi_{m+1}^{2}}{4 \beta \psi_{m}^{3}}\right) \tag{D.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above formula is written compactly, for the sake of clarity. For example the numerator in the former fraction should be read as $\psi_{m-1}(\alpha, \beta) \psi_{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)$.

None of (D.1) and (D.3) is easy to prove. Below we shall verify (D.1) for $m \in$ $\{3,4,5\}$, and (D.3) for $m=2$.

Instead of polynomials $\tilde{\psi}_{m}$ it is usual to work with polynomials $\bar{f}_{m} \in K[x]$. The meaning is nearly the same. The difference is that polynomials $\bar{f}_{m}$ ignore the involutions. They are defined so that if $P=(\alpha, \beta) \in E$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \in E[m] \backslash E[2] \Longleftrightarrow \bar{f}_{m}(\alpha)=0 \tag{D.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The connection between $\bar{f}_{m}$ and $\psi_{m}$ is such that

$$
\bar{f}_{m}= \begin{cases}\psi_{m} & \text { if } m \text { is odd, and }  \tag{D.5}\\ \psi_{m} / 2 y & \text { if } m \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Thus $\bar{f}_{0}=0, \bar{f}_{1}=1, \bar{f}_{2}=1, \bar{f}_{3}=3 x^{4}+6 a x^{2}+12 b x-a^{2}$ and $\bar{f}_{4}=2\left(x^{6}+5 a x^{4}+20 b x^{3}-5 a^{2} x^{2}-4 a b x-8 b^{2}-a^{3}\right)$.

For $m \geq 5$ the polynomials $\bar{f}_{m}$ may be defined recursively. While the formula is straightforwardly derived from (D.1), it looks slightly more complicated. This is because only the variable $x$ is involved.

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{f}_{2 m+1} & = \begin{cases}\bar{f}_{m+2} \bar{f}_{m}^{3}-16\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)^{2} \bar{f}_{m-1} \bar{f}_{m+1}^{3} \quad \text { if } m \geq 3 \text { is odd }, \\
16\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)^{2} \bar{f}_{m+2} \bar{f}_{m}^{3}-\bar{f}_{m-1} \bar{f}_{m+1}^{3} \text { if } m \geq 2 \text { is even, and } \\
\bar{f}_{2 m} & =\bar{f}_{m}\left(\bar{f}_{m+2} \bar{f}_{m-1}^{2}-\bar{f}_{m-2} \bar{f}_{m+1}^{2}\right) \text { for any } m \geq 3\end{cases} \tag{D.6}
\end{align*}
$$

As may be guessed from the formulas above, division polynomials contain many nonzero coefficients of large values. Hence for large $q$ it is not possible to represent them in computer memory if $m$ is very big. Because of that the division polynomials cannot be used, say, to directly verify the order of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Nevertheless this order can be determined by considering the behaviour of polynomials $\bar{f}_{m}$ where $m$ runs through a set of not too large primes. This is how Schoof's algorithm works.

Note that polynomials $\bar{f}_{m}$ are not monic. In fact the leading coefficient of $\bar{f}_{m}$ is equal to $m$ when $m$ is odd, and to $m / 2$ when $m$ is even. This is important since when $m=p$ is the characteristic of the field, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(\bar{f}_{m}\right)<\left(m^{2}-1\right) / 2$.
D.1. The division polynomial for order 3. Let $P=(\alpha, \beta)$ be a point upon $E, \beta \neq 0$. The tangent of $E$ at $P$ can be expressed by the equation $y=\lambda x+\mu$ in which $\lambda=\left(3 \alpha^{2}+a\right) / 2 \beta$ and $\mu=\beta-\lambda \alpha$. The chord and tangent process, as described in Section A, considers the intersections of the tangent and the curve $E$.

The first coordinate of such an intersection is a solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda x+\mu)^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b . \tag{D.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the logic of the chord and tangent process it follows that $\alpha$ is always a double root of the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+a x+b-(\lambda x+\mu)^{2}=x^{3}-\lambda^{2} x^{2}+(a-2 \lambda \mu) x+b-\mu^{2} . \tag{D.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This may also be seen immediately if we write (D.7) in the form

$$
(\lambda x+\mu-\beta)^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b-2 \beta(\lambda x+\mu)+\beta^{2}
$$

and observe that $\alpha$ is a root not only of the polynomials on both sides of this equation, but also of their derivatives.

The point $P$ is of order 3 if and only if the tangent intersects $E$ in no other point of $E$. This happens if and only if $\alpha$ is the triple root of the polynomial in (D.8). We already know that the multiplicity of $\alpha$ is at least two. The multiplicity
is hence equal to three if and only if $\lambda^{2}=3 \alpha$. Substituting $\alpha^{3}+a \alpha+b$ for $\beta^{2}$ in the denominator of $\lambda^{2}$ turns the equation $\lambda^{2}=3 \alpha$ into

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(3 \alpha^{2}+a\right)^{2} & =12 \alpha\left(\alpha^{3}+a \alpha+b\right) \\
9 \alpha^{4}+6 a \alpha^{2}+a^{2} & =12 \alpha^{4}+12 a \alpha^{2}+12 b \alpha \text { and }  \tag{D.9}\\
3 \alpha^{4}+6 a \alpha^{2}+12 b \alpha-a^{2} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

We have verified the formula for $\psi_{3}=\bar{f}_{3}$. A point $(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ is of order 3 if and only if $\alpha$ is a root of $3 x^{4}+6 a x^{2}+12 b x-a^{2}$.

Note that in this way we obtain all elements of $E[3]$. Only some of them are $K$-rational. To get a $K$-rational point of $E[3]$ the root $\alpha$ has to be from $K$ and $\alpha^{3}+a \alpha+b$ has to be a square in $K$.
D.2. The division polynomial for order 4. Suppose that $P=(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ is not an involution. This means that $\beta \neq 0$. In such a case [4] $P=\infty$ if and only if [2] $P=\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ is an involution. This takes place if and only if $\beta^{\prime}=0$.

By (A.6) and (A.7), $\beta^{\prime}=\lambda\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right)-\beta, \alpha^{\prime}=\lambda^{2}-2 \alpha$ and $\lambda=\left(3 \alpha^{2}+a\right) / 2 \beta$. This gives the following expression of $\beta^{\prime}=\lambda\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right)-\beta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(3 \alpha-\lambda^{2}\right)-\beta=(2 \beta)^{-3}\left(\left(3 \alpha^{2}+a\right)\left(12 \alpha \beta^{2}-\left(3 \alpha^{2}+a\right)^{2}\right)-8 \beta^{4}\right) \tag{D.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta \neq 0$, then $\beta^{\prime}=0$ if and only if $(2 \beta)^{3} \beta^{\prime}=0$. In order to express $(2 \beta)^{3} \beta^{\prime}$ in terms of $\alpha$, observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
12 x\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)-\left(3 x^{2}+a\right)^{2} & =3 x^{4}+6 a x^{2}+12 b x-a^{2}, \\
\left(3 x^{2}+a\right)\left(3 x^{4}+6 a x^{2}+12 b x-a^{2}\right) & =9 x^{6}+21 a x^{4}+36 b x^{3}+3 a^{2} x^{2}+12 a b x-a^{3}, \\
\text { and }-8\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)^{2}=-8 x^{6} & -16 a x^{4}-16 b x^{3}-8 a^{2} x^{2}-16 a b x-8 b^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By summing up the latter two rows we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(3 x^{2}+a\right)\left(12 x\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)-\left(3 x^{2}+a\right)^{2}\right)-8\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=x^{6}+5 a x^{4}+20 b x^{3}-5 a^{2} x^{2}-4 a b x-a^{3}-8 b^{2}=\bar{f}_{4}(x) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

This verifies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(3 \alpha^{2}+a\right)\left(12 \alpha \beta^{2}-\left(3 \alpha^{2}+a\right)^{2}\right)-8 \beta^{4}=\bar{f}_{4}(\alpha) / 2 \text { for all }(\alpha, \beta) \in E \tag{D.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence if $(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ and $\beta \neq 0$, then $(2 \beta)^{3} \beta^{\prime}=0$ if and only if $\bar{f}_{4}(\alpha)=0$.
D.3. Doubling. Assume $m=2$ and suppose that $P=(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ is not an involution. By (D.1), $\psi_{m-1}(\alpha, \beta)=1, \psi_{m}^{2}(\alpha, \beta)=4 \beta^{2}$ and $\psi_{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)=3 \alpha^{4}+$ $6 \alpha^{2}+12 b \alpha-a^{2}$.

By (D.9) the latter is equal to $12 \alpha \beta^{2}-\left(3 \alpha^{2}+a\right)^{2}$. Set $\lambda=\left(3 \alpha^{2}+a\right) / 2 \beta$. We have

$$
\alpha-\left(\frac{\psi_{1} \psi_{3}}{\psi_{2}^{2}}\right)(\alpha, \beta)=\alpha-12 \alpha / 4+\lambda^{2}=\lambda^{2}-2 \alpha
$$

This verifies that if $m=2$, then the first coordinate of (D.3) corresponds to the doubling formula (A.6) and (A.7).

By these formulas the second coordinate of $[2] P$ is equal to $\lambda\left(3 \alpha-\lambda^{2}\right)-\beta$, and that can be expressed, by (D.10) and (D.11), as $(2 \beta)^{-3} \bar{f}_{4}(\alpha) / 2$. This agrees with formula (D.3) since for $m=2$ the second coordinate at the right hand side of (D.3) is equal to

$$
\psi_{4}(\alpha, \beta) / 4 \beta \psi_{2}^{3}(\alpha, \beta)=2 \beta \bar{f}_{4}(\alpha) / 4 \beta(2 \beta)^{3}=\bar{f}_{4}(\alpha) / 16 \beta^{3}
$$

D.4. Order and characteristic 5. As already mentioned, verifying formulas (D.1) and (D.3) in their generality is technically demanding. Here it will not be performed. However, we shall illustrate upon the case of $m=5$ why $\psi_{m}$ has much smaller number of roots when $\operatorname{char}(K)$ divides $m$.

What we shall do first is to use (D.6) to get the general formula for $\bar{f}_{5}$, and then we shall observe how dramatically $\bar{f}_{5}$ changes when it is considered in characteristic 5. By (D.6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{array}{c}
\bar{f}_{5}=16\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)^{2} \bar{f}_{4} \bar{f}_{2}^{3}-\bar{f}_{1} \bar{f}_{3}^{3}=16\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)^{2} \bar{f}_{4}-\bar{f}_{3}^{3} \\
\text { Since }\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)^{2}=x^{6}+2 a x^{4}+2 b x^{3}+a^{2} x^{2}+2 a b x+b^{2} \\
\quad \text { and } \bar{f}_{4} / 2=x^{6}+5 a x^{4}+20 b x^{3}-5 a^{2} x^{2}-4 a b x-8 b^{2}-a^{3}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

we may express $\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)^{2} \bar{f}_{4} / 2$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{12}+7 a x^{10}+22 b x^{9}+6 a^{2} x^{8}+48 a b x^{7}+\left(33 b^{2}-6 a^{3}\right) x^{6}+12 a^{2} b x^{5}+\left(21 a b^{2}-7 a^{4}\right) x^{4} \\
+\left(4 b^{3}-16 a^{3} b\right) x^{3}-\left(21 b^{2} a^{2}+a^{5}\right) x^{2}-\left(20 a b^{3}+2 a^{4} b\right) x-8 b^{4}-a^{3} b^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

while $\bar{f}_{3}^{3}=\left(3 x^{4}+6 a x^{2}+12 b x-a^{2}\right)^{3}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 27 x^{12}+162 a x^{10}+324 b x^{9}+297 a^{2} x^{8}+1296 a b x^{7}+\left(108 a^{3}+1296 b^{2}\right) x^{6}+1080 a^{2} b x^{5} \\
+ & \left(2592 a b^{2}-99 a^{4}\right) x^{4}+\left(1728 b^{3}-432 a^{3} b\right) x^{3}-\left(432 a^{2} b^{2}-18 a^{5}\right) x^{2}+36 a^{4} b x-a^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\bar{f}_{5}=16\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)^{2} \bar{f}_{4}-\bar{f}_{3}^{3}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 x^{12}+62 a x^{10}+380 b x^{9}-105 a^{2} x^{8}+240 a b x^{7}-\left(240 b^{2}+300 a^{3}\right) x^{6} \\
& -696 a^{2} b x^{5}-\left(1920 a b^{2}+125 a^{4}\right) x^{4}-\left(1600 b^{3}+80 a^{3} b\right) x^{3}-\left(240 b^{2} a^{2}+50 a^{5}\right) x^{2} \\
& -\left(640 a b^{3}+100 a^{4} b\right) x-\left(256 b^{4}+32 a^{3} b^{2}-a^{6}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Modulo 5 this yields $2 a x^{10}-a^{2} b x^{5}-b^{4}-2 a^{3} b^{2}+a^{6}$. Let $r, s, t \in \bar{K}$ be such that $r^{5}=2 a, s^{5}=-a^{2} b$ and $t^{5}=-b^{4}-2 a^{3} b^{2}+a^{6}$. If $K$ is assumed, as usual, to be a perfect field, then $r, s, t \in K$.

We see now that if $\operatorname{char}(K)=5$, then $\bar{f}_{5}(x)=\left(r x^{2}+s x+t\right)^{5}$. This implies $|E[5]|=5$, provided $a \neq 0$. If $a=0$, then $E[5]$ is a trivial group.

