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## Theorem (Cauchy's integral formula)

Let $\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simple closed curve, and let $z_{0} \in \operatorname{lnt}(\gamma)$. Let $f$ be a function analytic on a domain $\Omega$ with $\gamma \cup \operatorname{lnt}(\gamma) \subseteq \Omega$, and suppose $f$ admits the expansion
$f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}$. Then, for every $n \geq 0$ we have

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n+1}}
$$
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## Theorem (Cauchy's integral formula)

Let $\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simple closed curve, and let $z_{0} \in \operatorname{Int}(\gamma)$. Let $f$ be a function analytic on a domain $\Omega$ with $\gamma \cup \operatorname{lnt}(\gamma) \subseteq \Omega$, and suppose $f$ admits the expansion
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$$
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Consequence: The value $f\left(z_{0}\right)$ (which is equal to $a_{0}$ ) can be determined as $\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_{0}}$, and in particular, the value of $f$ in $z_{0}$ is uniquely determined by its values on $\gamma$.

Proof of Cauchy's integral formula

$\frac{f(z)}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n+1}}$ is analytic

$$
\text { on } \Omega \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\},
$$

WLOG 8 is a circle around $z_{0}$ of small enough radius, smaller then the radius of conner gence of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{m}$
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Hence the exponential growth rate of $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is at most $\frac{1}{R}$, and its radius of convergence is at least $R>\rho$, a contradiction.
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Let $\gamma$ be a closed simple curve, let $f$ be a function meromorphic on a domain $\Omega$ containing $\gamma \cup \operatorname{Int}(\gamma)$. Suppose that no pole of $f$ is on $\gamma$, and only finitely many poles of $f$ are in $\operatorname{Int}(\gamma)$. Let $P$ be the set of poles of $f$ in $\operatorname{Int}(\gamma)$. Then
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Note: Cauchy's formula is a special case of the Residue theorem, since $\operatorname{Res}_{z_{0}}\left(\frac{f(z)}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n+1}}\right)=a_{n}$.

$$
\int_{\gamma^{*}} \frac{g(z)}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n+1}}=2 \pi i a_{n}
$$

Proof of the Residue theorem

around $p_{j}: f(z)=\underbrace{\frac{a_{-d}}{\left(z-p_{\gamma}\right)^{d}}+\ldots+}_{p-i m f .} \frac{a_{-1}}{z-p_{\dot{\delta}}}+\underbrace{a_{0}+a_{1}\left(z-p_{\gamma}^{( }\right)}_{\text {analy } t_{i c}}$

$$
\int_{\gamma_{i}} f=\int_{\gamma_{i}} f^{p-i m f}=2 \pi i a_{-1}=2 \pi i \operatorname{Res}(f)
$$

${ }^{c}$ small circle around $P j$

$$
\int_{\gamma} f=2 \pi i \sum_{j=1}^{k} \operatorname{Res}_{p_{i}}(f) \text {. }
$$
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Let $s_{n}$ be the number of ordered set partitions of $[n]$. Here is what we already know:

- $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_{n} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}=\frac{1}{2-\exp (z)}$ for $|z|<\ln 2$. Hence the exponential growth rate of $s_{n} / n!$ is $\frac{1}{\ln 2}$.
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Goal: Show (for a suitably chosen $\gamma$ ) that $\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}}$ is small.
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With $f(z)=\frac{1}{2-\exp (z)}$ and $P$ as before, let $\gamma$ be a simple closed curve with $0 \in \operatorname{lnt}(\gamma)$ and with $P \cap \gamma=\emptyset$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
Residue theorem gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} & =2 \pi i \sum_{p \in(P \cap \operatorname{lnt}(\gamma)) \cup\{0\}} \operatorname{Res}_{p}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}}\right) \\
& =2 \pi i\left(\frac{s_{n}}{n!}+\sum_{p \in P \cap \operatorname{lnt}(\gamma)}-\frac{1}{2 p^{n+1}}\right) \\
& =2 \pi i\left(\frac{s_{n}}{n!}-\sum_{p \in P \cap \operatorname{lnt}(\gamma)} \frac{1}{2 p^{n+1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Goal: Show (for a suitably chosen $\gamma$ ) that $\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}}$ is small.
For $K \in \mathbb{N}$, take $\gamma_{K}$ to be the square whose vertices are $\pm(2 K+1) \pi \pm i(2 K+1) \pi$ Note: $\operatorname{lnt}\left(\gamma_{K}\right) \cap P=\left\{p_{j} ; j=-K,-K+1, \ldots, K-1, K\right\}$.

Recall: We know that

$$
\frac{s_{n}}{n!}-\sum_{j=-K}^{K} \frac{1}{2 p_{j}^{n+1}}=\underbrace{\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma_{K}} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}}}
$$

Ordered set partitions - endgame
Recall: We know that

$$
\frac{s_{n}}{n!}-\sum_{j=-K}^{K} \frac{1}{2 p_{j}^{n+1}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma_{K}} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}}
$$

We may check that for any $K$ and any $z \in \gamma_{K},|f(z)| \leq(1)$ and $|z| \geq(2 K+1) \pi$.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{s_{n}}{n!}-\sum_{j=-K}^{K} \frac{1}{2 p_{j}^{n+1}}\right| & =\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\gamma_{K}} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}}\right| \\
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$$

which tends to 0 as $K \rightarrow \infty$, and for $K=n$ is much smaller than $\frac{1}{n!}$. Hence:

$$
s_{n}=n!\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 p_{j}^{n+1}}, \text { and }
$$

- $s_{n}$ is the nearest integer to

$$
n!\sum_{j=-n}^{n} \frac{1}{2 p_{j}^{n+1}}=n!2\left(\frac{1}{\ln L}\right)^{n+1}+\ldots .
$$

