

Review of the Game

EU Simulation

Agenda



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Review of Voting Results
- 3. Evaluations of Key Questions

Agenda



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Review of Voting Results
- 3. Evaluations of Key Questions






It was voted on the acceptance of the proposed position paper.

Country	Position Paper by EU Commission	Position Paper by Germany	Position Paper by France	Position Paper by Greece and Spain	Position Paper by Czechia and Hungary
EU Commission	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Germany	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
France	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Greece and Spain	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Czechia and Hungary	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Results	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted






It was voted on the acceptance of the proposed position paper.

Country	Position Paper by EU Commission	Position Paper by Germany	Position Paper by France	Position Paper by Greece and Spain	Position Paper by Czechia and Hungary
EU Commission	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes, with conditionalities	No
Germany	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes, with conditionalities	No
France	Yes, with conditionalities	Yes	Yes	No	No
Greece and Spain	Yes, with conditionalities	Yes, with conditionalities	Yes, with conditionalities	Yes	Yes, with conditionalities
Czechia and Hungary	Yes, with conditionalities	Yes, with conditionalities	Yes, with conditionalities	Yes, with conditionalities	Yes
Results	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted	Vetoed	Vetoed




Do you personally agree with the voting outcome of the Game?





If you would have to choose one proposal, which one would you choose?



Agenda



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Review of Voting Results
- 3. Evaluations of Key Questions





EU4Health Proposal is sufficient

Further adaptations:


- Fair representation in e.g. steering group must be guaranteed (Spain, Greece, Hungary and Czech Republic)
- Adaption of the program for further Pandemics
- Program can be seen as the Foundation for joint health crisis action framework as wellas crisis prevention (France, Germany, European Commission)

Shall there be common EU health policy?



Agreement: Health policy in "normal" times is within the sovereignty of the member states

- No general common EU health policy
- Harmonization national healthcare expenditures (rise of lower than EU average to average) proposed by Germany and EU Commission
- Modernizing and digitalizing national health systems to increase cooperation in crisis
- Unified action in crisis:
 - Eu Commission as supervising and advising authority
 - national health ministries as executive authority of



Shall there be a solidarity with vaccination distribution in the EU?



- Member states agree on solidarity with vaccine distribution
- Agreement on joint procurement agreement
- Proposed distribution scheme:
 - 70% per capita
 - 30% ad hoc crisis response

03.05.2021 11

How to avoid border closures? Or shall we live with them?



- Border closures = measures of the last resort
- Joint framework for borer management (proposed by France)
- Joint external border management

How can we avoid them:

- Strong crisis prevention and R&D
- Strong local short-term/urgent response
- Restrictions of movements (ensuring movement of goods)

- Green Certificates

Vaccination passports/ Digital Green Certificate



Do we need them?


Sufficient measure to stabilize EU economy

What should the Digital Green Certificate entail?

- vaccinated with EMA-approved
- proof of health (in Covid: quarantine, and pcr/antigen test, covid recovery)
- (generic vaccines)

Necessary that:

- the rules are the same through the EU to promote equality
- → not easy to forge



5/3/2021

Conditionality of EU funding upon compliance with EU-wide rules?



Member states agree on the necessity of conditionality mechanism

However: Pillar of Solidarity should be kept in mind, as healthcare in crisis is essential.





Thank you!