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## Definition

Let $\Omega$ be a domain. A function $f$ is meromorphic on $\Omega$ if for every $p$ of $\Omega, f$ is either analytic in $p$ or has a pole in $p$.
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$$
\rightarrow f(z) \fallingdotseq \frac{\partial d}{(z-p)^{d}}+\frac{2-d+1}{(z-p)^{d-1}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial \chi_{1}}{z-R_{p}}+a_{0}+a_{1}(z-p)+\cdots
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Define, for every $p \in P$, the rational function

$$
\longrightarrow \quad R_{p}(z)=\frac{a_{-d}}{(z-p)^{d}}+\frac{a_{-d+1}}{(z-p)^{d-1}}+\cdots+\frac{a_{-1}}{z-p},
$$

and take $R(z)=\sum_{p \in P} R_{p}(z)$. We claim that $g(z):=f(z)-R(z)$ is analytic on $\Omega$. If $z_{0} \in \Omega \backslash P$, then clearly $g$ is analytic in $z_{0}$.
If $z_{0}=p \in P$, then on a punctured neighborhood of $p$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(z)=\overbrace{f(z)-R_{p}(z)}-\overbrace{\sum_{q \in P \backslash\{p\}} R_{q}(z)}^{a_{n}}=\underbrace{\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n}(z-p)^{n}\right)}-\underbrace{}_{q \in P \backslash\{p\}} R_{q}(z), \\
& \text { which is analytic in } z_{0}=p \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall: Ordered set partitions of [ $n$ ] are the ordered sequences of nonempty disjoint sets whose union is $[n]$. Let $p_{n}$ be their number. Their EGF is $f(z)=\frac{1}{2-\exp (z)}=\sum p_{n} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}$ Hence, $\frac{p_{n}}{n!}$ has radius of convergence $\ln 2$ and exponential growth rate $\frac{1}{\ln 2}$. Goal: Find a better estimate for $p_{n}$.
1
$f(z)=\frac{1}{2-e^{z}}$, meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$
Poles of $f: P=\{\ln 2, \ldots\}=,\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}_{j} e^{z}=2\right\}$
$\left.z=x+i y, x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad e^{z}=e^{x+i}\right\}=e^{x} \cdot e^{i y}=$
$=e^{x}(\cos y+i \sin y) \cdot\left|e^{z}\right|=\left|e^{x} \cdot e^{i} \gamma\right|=\left|e^{x}\right|=2$
$\Rightarrow x=\ln 2$
$e^{z}=2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Im}_{x}\left(e^{z}\right)=0=e^{x} \cdot\left\{\sin y \Rightarrow y \in\left\{\begin{array}{c}k \pi_{1} \\ k \in z\end{array}\right\}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{t}=2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{le}\left(e^{z}\right)=2=e^{x} \cdot \cos y \Rightarrow \cos y=1 \Rightarrow y \in\{2 k \pi, k \in \notin\} \\
& P=\left\{\ln 2+i 2 k \pi_{j} k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.f(z)=\frac{1}{2-e^{z}} ; \quad p_{k}:=\ln 2+2 k \pi i\right) f(z)=\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{k \in Z}+a_{0}+a_{1}\left(z-p_{0}\right) \\
& \text { Choose } \rho \in\left(\left|p_{0}\right|,\left|p_{1}\right|\right] \\
& \Omega=\text { 㤨 } \eta_{<\rho}(0) \\
& \text { I has pole } p_{0} \text { in } \Omega=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2 \cdot \ln 2} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{z}{\ln 2}\right)^{n}} \frac{\left.\ln ]^{n}\right] f(z)=\left[z^{n}\right] R_{n}+O\left(\frac{1}{\rho^{n}}\right)}{\left[\frac{11}{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$ rational, with pole po

Whats the order of $p_{0}$ as pole of $f ?=\min :\left(z-p_{0}\right)^{f} f(z)$ $\left(z-p_{0}\right) f(z)=\frac{z-p_{0}}{2-e^{z}}$ is bounded around is anapoifor $z \in \mathbb{R}$, hance it has no pole hence it has a removable singularity; $\lim _{z \rightarrow p_{0}} \frac{z-p_{0}}{2-z}=-\frac{1}{2}$ Hospital

Example: What is the probability that a random permutation of [ $n$ ] has no cycle of length $k$ ? (Assume $k$ fixed, $n \rightarrow \infty$.)
$\left.k=1 \cdots \frac{1}{e} \right\rvert\, k \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed: $g_{n}:=\#$ of perms without cycles of length $k$, wanted: $\frac{g_{n}}{n!}$
$f(A):=$ EGF of perms without $k$-cycles
$g(z)$ : EGF of perms with 1 cycle, whose length th

$$
\left.g(z)=\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} \frac{n i l}{n!}=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{n}\right)-\frac{z^{k}}{k}\right) \quad \frac{g^{2}(z)}{2 \text { EGF of pet }}=E G F
$$

of perms with ${ }^{n \neq k}$ two cycles, of length $\neq k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { of perms uilh }{ }^{n \neq k}+w o \text { cycles, of length } \neq k \\
& \left.f(z)=1+g(z)+\frac{g^{2}(z)}{2!}+\cdots \exp ^{2!} g(z)\right)=\left(\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{n} \frac{z^{n}}{n}\right)^{\prime}\right. \\
& f(z)=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!\frac{z^{n}}{n!}\right) \cdot e^{-z^{k} / k}=\frac{e^{-z^{k} / k}}{1-z}
\end{aligned}
$$

$f(z)=\frac{\exp \left(-\frac{z^{k}}{k}\right)}{1-z}$, meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$
only pole: $p=1, \Omega:=\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow[{\left(\frac{2_{n}}{n!} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-1 / k}\right.}]{ }$ $f(z)(z-1)$ is analytic in $p^{01} \Rightarrow$ order of $p=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(z)=\frac{a-1}{z-p}+a_{0}+a_{1}(z-p)+a_{2}(z-p)^{2}+\cdots(p=l) \\
& a_{-1}=\lim _{z \rightarrow p}(z-p) \cdot f(z)=-\exp \left(-\frac{1}{k}\right) \\
& f(z)=\frac{z \rightarrow p}{\substack{g_{n} \\
n!}}=\frac{\exp \left(-\frac{1}{k}\right)}{z-1}+(\text { something analytic }
\end{aligned}
$$
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Let $[a, b]$ be a real interval with $a<b$, let $p:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function with a finite derivative $p^{\prime}(t)$ everywhere on $(a, b)$ except at most finitely many points, and with finite right (and left) derivative everywhere on $[a, b$ ) (or ( $a, b]$, respectively). The curve parametrized by $p$ is the set $\gamma=\{p(t) ; t \in[a, b]\}$, together with the orientation from $p(a)$ to $p(b)$. The function $p$ is then the parametrization of $\gamma$.
The curve is said to be...

- simple if $p$ is injective,
- closed if $p(a)=p(b)$,
- simple closed if $p$ is injective on $[a, b)$ and $p(a)=p(b)$.


## Fact ("Jordan's curve theorem")

If $\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a simple closed curve, then $\mathbb{C} \backslash \gamma$ is a disjoint union of two domains, one of which is bounded and the other unbounded.
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## Fact ("Jordan's curve theorem")

If $\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a simple closed curve, then $\mathbb{C} \backslash \gamma$ is a disjoint union of two domains, one of which is bounded and the other unbounded. The bounded one is the interior of $\gamma$, denoted $\operatorname{lnt}(\gamma)$, the other is the exterior of $\gamma$, denoted $\operatorname{Ext}(\gamma)$.

## Contour integral

## Definition

Let $\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a curve with parametrization $p:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let $f: \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function.
The contour integral of $f$ along $\gamma$, denoted $\int_{\gamma} f$ is defined as

$$
\int_{\gamma} f:=\int_{a}^{b} f(p(t)) p^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t .
$$
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Fact: The value of the integral does not depend on the choice of the parametrization of $\gamma$; it only depends on $\gamma$ itself, including its orientation.
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Example: For a curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$, what is $\int_{\gamma} 1$ ?
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- Let $-\gamma$ denote the curve obtained from $\gamma$ by reversing its orientation. Then $\int_{-\gamma} f=-\int_{\gamma} f$.
- If $\gamma$ is the concatenation of two curves $\alpha$ and $\beta$, then $\int_{\gamma} f=\int_{\alpha} f+\int_{\beta} f$.


## Definition

Let $f$ be a function on a domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. A function $F: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a primitive function (or antiderivative) of $f$ on $\Omega$, if for every $z \in \Omega$, we have $F^{\prime}(z)=f(z)$.
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In particular, $\int_{\gamma} f$ only depends on the values of $F$ in the endpoints of $\gamma$. Moreover, if $\gamma$ is a closed curve, then $\int_{\gamma} f=0$.
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In particular, $\int_{\gamma} f$ only depends on the values of $F$ in the endpoints of $\gamma$. Moreover, if $\gamma$ is a closed curve, then $\int_{\gamma} f=0$.

Example: Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\gamma$ be the counterclockwise unit circle, parametrized by $p(t)=\exp (i t)$ with $t \in[-\pi, \pi]$. What is $\int_{\gamma} z^{k}$ ?

