

References

- Abrusán, M. (2008a). A semantic analysis of negative islands with manner questions. In A. Grønn (ed.), *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung* 12: 1–16.
- Abrusán, M. (2008b). Islands of contradiction: Presuppositional and negative islands. In *Proceedings of the 18th Semantics and Linguistics Theory Conference (SALT 18)*, pp. 1–18.
- Abrusán, M. (2011a). Presuppositional and negative islands: A semantic account. *Natural Language Semantics* 19: 257–321.
- Abrusán, M. (2011b). *Wh*-islands in degree questions: A semantic approach. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 4: 1–44.
- Adams, M. (1985). Government of empty subjects in factive clausal complements. *Linguistic Inquiry* 16: 305–313.
- Aldwayan, S., Fiorentino, R., and Gabriele, A. (2010). Evidence of syntactic constraints in the processing of *wh*-movement: A study of Najdi Arabic learners of English. In B. Van Patten and J. Jegerski (eds.), *Research in Second Language Processing and Parsing*, pp. 65–86. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Alexopoulou, T., and Keller, F. (2007). Locality, cyclicity and resumption: At the interface between the grammar and the human sentence processor. *Language* 83: 110–160.
- Allen, S. E. M. (1999). Learning about Argument Realization in Inuktitut and English: Graduate development in the use of non-ellipsed forms. Unpublished MS, Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics.
- Allwood, J. (1982). The complex NP constraint in Swedish. In E. Engdahl and E. Ejerhed (eds.), *Readings on Unbounded Dependencies in Scandinavian Languages*, pp. 15–32. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Ambridge, B., and Goldberg, A. (2008). The island status of clausal complements: Evidence in favor of an information structure explanation. *Cognitive Linguistics* 19: 357–389.
- Ambridge, B., Pine, J. M., Rowland, C. F., and Young, C. R. (2008). The effect of verb semantic class and verb frequency (entrenchment) on children's and adults' graded judgements of argument-structure overgeneralization errors. *Cognition* 106: 87–129.
- Anagnostopoulou, E. (1994). *Clitic Dependencies in Modern Greek*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Salzburg.
- Anderson, M. C., and Neely, J. H. (1996). Interference and inhibition in memory retrieval. In E. L. Bjork and R. A. Bjork (eds.), *Handbook of Perception and Memory*. Vol. 10: *Memory*, pp. 237–313. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

- Andersson, L.-G. (1982). What is Swedish an exception to? In E. Engdahl and E. Ejerhed (eds.), *Readings on Unbounded Dependencies in Scandinavian Languages*, pp. 33–46. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Aoun, J., and Choueiri, L. (1996). Resumption and last resort. Unpublished MS, University of Southern California.
- Aoun, J., Choueiri, L., and Hornstein, N. (2001). Resumption, movement, and derivational Economy. *Linguistic Inquiry* 32: 371–403.
- Aoshima, S., Phillips, C., and Weinberg, A. S. (2004). Processing filler-gap dependencies in a head-final language. *Journal of Memory and Language* 51: 23–54.
- Aoshima, S., Yoshida, M., and Phillips, C. (2009). Incremental processing of coreference and binding in Japanese. *Syntax* 12: 93–134.
- Ariel, M. (1988). Referring and accessibility. *Journal of Linguistics* 24: 65–87.
- Ariel, M. (1990). *Accessing Noun Phrase Antecedents*. London: Routledge.
- Ariel, M. (1999). Cognitive universals and linguistic conventions: the case of resumptive pronouns. *Studies in Language* 23 (2): 217–269.
- Arnold, J. (1998). *Marking Salience: The Similarity of Topic and Focus*. University of North Carolina.
- Arnold, J., Wasow, T., Asudeh, A., and Alrenga, P. (2004). Avoiding attachment ambiguities: The role of constituent ordering. *Journal of Memory and Language* 51: 55–70.
- Arnold, J., Wasow, T., Losongco, T., and Ginstrom, R. (2000). Heaviness vs. newness: The effects of structural complexity and discourse status on constituent ordering. *Language* 76: 28–55.
- Arnon, I., Estigarribia, B., Hofmeister, P., Jaeger T. F., Pettibone, J., Sag, I. A., and Snider, N. (2005). Long-distance dependencies without island constraints. Paper presented to the HOWL 3: Hopkins Workshop on Language, Johns Hopkins University.
- Arnon, I., Snider, N., Hofmeister, P., Jaeger, T. F., and Sag, I. A. (2006). Crosslinguistic variation in a processing account: The case of multiple wh-questions. *Proceedings of 32nd Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*.
- Asher, N., and Wada, H. (1988). A computational account of syntactic, semantic, and discourse principles of anaphora resolution. *Journal of Semantics* 6: 309–344.
- Aslin, R., Saffran, J., and Newport, E. (1998). Computation of conditional probability statistics by 8-month-old infants. *Psychological Science* 9(4): 321–324.
- Asudeh, A. (2012). *The Logic of Pronominal Resumption*. Oxford University Press.
- Aylett, M., and Turk, A. (2004). The smooth signal redundancy hypothesis: A functional explanation for relationships between redundancy, prosodic prominence and duration in spontaneous speech. *Language and Speech* 47: 31–56.
- Aylett, M., and Turk, A. (2006). Language redundancy predicts syllabic duration and the spectral characteristics of vocalic syllable nuclei. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 119: 3048–3058.
- Baayen, R. H. (2007). *Analyzing Linguistic Data: A practical introduction to statistics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Babby, L. (1980). *Existential Sentences and Negation in Russian*. Ann Arbor, MI: Karoma Publishers.
- Babko-Malaya, O. (2003). Perfectivity and prefixation in Russian. *Journal of Slavic Linguistics* 11: 5–36.

- Babyonyshev, M., Ganger, J., Pesetsky, D., and Wexler, K. (2001). The maturation of grammatical principles: Evidence from Russian unaccusatives. *Linguistic Inquiry* 32: 1–43.
- Baddeley, A. D. (1986). *Working Memory*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Badecker, W., and Straub, K. (2002). The processing role of structural constraints on the interpretation of pronouns and anaphors. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 28: 748–769.
- Bader, M., and Häussler, J. (2010). Toward a model of grammaticality judgments. *Journal of Linguistics* 46: 273–330.
- Bailyn, J. (1995). Underlying phrase structure and short verb movement in Russian. *Journal of Slavic Linguistics* 3: 13–58.
- Bailyn, J. (2001). On scrambling: A reply to Bošković and Takahashi. *Linguistic Inquiry* 32: 635–658.
- Bailyn, J. (2003). Does Russian scrambling exist? In S. Karimi (ed.), *Word Order and Scrambling*, pp. 156–176. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Bailyn, J. (2004). Generalized Inversion. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 22: 1–49.
- Baker, M. C. (1988). *Incorporation: A theory of grammatical function changing*. The University of Chicago Press.
- Baltin, M. (1982). A landing site theory of movement rules. *Linguistic Inquiry* 13: 1–38.
- Bard, E. G., Robertson, D., and Sorace, A. (1996). Magnitude estimation of linguistic acceptability. *Language* 72: 32–68.
- Basilico, D. (2003). The topic of small clauses. *Linguistic Inquiry* 34: 1–35.
- Beck, S. 2006. Intervention effects follow from focus interpretation. *Natural Language Semantics* 14: 1–56.
- Bennett, R. (2008). English resumptive pronouns and the highest-subject restriction: A corpus study. Paper presented at the Trilateral (TREND) Linguistics Weekend, University of California Santa Cruz.
- Berwick, R. C., and Weinberg, A. S. (1984). *The Grammatical Basis of Linguistic Performance*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Bever, T. G. and McElree, B. (1988). Empty categories access their antecedent during comprehension. *Linguistic Inquiry* 19: 35–43.
- Bever, T., and Sanz, M. (1997). Empty categories access their antecedents during comprehension: Unaccusatives in Spanish. *Linguistic Inquiry* 28: 69–91.
- Bhatt, R. (1999). *Covert Modality in Non-finite Contexts*. PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania.
- Bianchi, V. (2000). The raising analysis of relative clauses: A reply to Borsley. *Linguistic Inquiry* 31: 123–140.
- Biber, D., Johansson, S., Leech, G., Conrad, S., and Finegan, E. (1999). *The Longman Grammar of Spoken and Written English*.
- Bley-Vroman, R. W., Felix, S. W., and Ioup, G. L. (1988). The accessibility of universal grammar in adult language learning. *Second Language Research* 4(1): 1–32.
- Bock, K. and Miller, C. A. (1991). Broken agreement. *Cognitive Psychology* 23: 45–93.
- Boeckx, C. (2003). *Islands and Chains: Resumption and stranding*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Boeckx, C. (2008a). Islands. *Language and Linguistics Compass* 2: 151–167.

- Boeckx, C. (2008b). *Bare syntax*. Oxford University Press.
- Boland, J., Tanenhaus, M., Garnsey, S., and Carlson, G. N. (1995). Verb argument structure in parsing and interpretation, Evidence from wh-questions. *Journal of Memory and Language* 34: 774–806.
- Bolinger, D. (1963). Length, vowel, juncture. *Linguistics* 1: 5–29.
- Borovikoff, N. (2001). *Unaccusativity and Movement in Russian: Integrating formal syntax and discourse functions*. PhD Dissertation, Indiana University.
- Bourdages, J. (1992). Parsing complex NPs in French. In H. Goodluck and M. Rochemont (eds.), *Island Constraints: Theory, acquisition, and processing*, pp. 61–87. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Brandi, L., and Cordin, P. (1989). Two Italian dialects and the null subject parameter. In O. Jaeggli and K. Safir (eds.), *The Null Subject Parameter*, pp. 111–142. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Braze, F. (2002). *Grammaticality, Acceptability, and Sentence Processing: A psycholinguistic study*. PhD Dissertation, University of Connecticut.
- Brennan, S., and Clark, H. (1996). Conceptual pacts and lexical choice in conversation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition* 22: 1482–1493.
- Bresnan, J. (2001). *Lexical-Functional Syntax*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Bresnan, J., and Moshi, L. (1990). Object asymmetries in comparative Bantu syntax. *Linguistic Inquiry* 21: 147–185.
- Broadbent, D. E. (1958). *Perception and communication*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Brody, M. (1995). *Lexico-Logical Form: A radically minimalist theory*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Brown, J. D. (2008). Effect size and eta squared, *JALT Testing and Evaluation Newsletter* 12: 38–43.
- Brown, R. (1973). *A First Language: The early stages*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bybee, J. (2007). Review of constructions at work: The nature of generalizations in language. *Journal of Child Language* 34: 692–697.
- Cabeza, R., Dolcos, R., Prince, S. E., Rice, H. J., and Nyberg, L. (2003). Attention-Related Activity During Episodic Memory Retrieval: A Cross-Function fMRI Study. *Neuropsychologia* 41: 390–399.
- Cann, R., Kaplan, T., and Kempson, R. (2004). Data at the grammar–pragmatics interface: The case of resumptive pronouns. *Lingua* 115: 1551–1577.
- Caplan, D., and Waters, G. (1999). Verbal working memory and sentence comprehension. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 22: 77–94.
- Cardinaletti, A., and Guasti, M. T., eds. (1995). *Syntax and Semantics 28: Small clauses*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Carlson, K. (2001). The effects of parallelism and prosody in the processing of gapping structures. *Language and Speech* 44: 1–26.
- Carlson, K. (2002). Parallelism and prosody in the processing of ellipsis sentences [Mar]. *Dissertation Abstracts International, A: The Humanities and Social Sciences* 62: 3026-A.
- Carpenter, P. A., and Just, M. A. (1975). Sentence comprehension: A psycholinguistic model of verification. *Psychological Review* 82: 45–76.

- Carpenter, P. A., Just, M. A., Keller, A. K., Eddy, W. F., and Thulborn, K. R. (1999). Time course of fMRI activation in language and spatial networks during sentence comprehension. *NeuroImage* 10: 216–224.
- Cattell, R. (1976). Constraints on Movement Rules. *Language* 52: 18–50.
- Cattell, R. (1978). On the source of interrogative adverbs. *Language* 54: 61–77.
- Chafe, W. L. (1976). Givenness, contrastiveness, subject, topic, and point of view, in C. Li (ed.), *Subject and Topic*, pp. 27–55. New York: Academic Press.
- Chafe, W. L. (1987). Cognitive constraints on information flow. In R. Tomlin (ed.), *Coherence and Grounding in Discourse*, pp. 21–51. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Chafe, W. (1994). *Discourse, Consciousness and Time: The flow and displacement of conscious experience in speaking and writing*. University of Chicago Press.
- Chambers, S. M., and Forster, K. I. (1975). Evidence for lexical access in a simultaneous matching task. *Memory and Cognition* 3: 549–559.
- Chao, W., and Sells, P. (1983). On the interpretation of resumptive pronouns. In *Proceedings of the 13th Annual Meeting of the North East Linguistic Society*, pp. 47–61.
- Chen, E., Gibson, E., and Wolf, F. (2005). Online syntactic storage costs in sentence comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language* 52: 144–169.
- Chierchia, G. (1998). Reference to kinds across languages. *Natural Language Semantics* 6: 339–405.
- Chomsky, N. (1962). The logical basis of linguistic theory. In M. Halle (ed.), *Preprints of the Papers for the Ninth International Congress of Linguists, August 27–31, 1962*, pp. 509–574.
- Chomsky, N. (1964). Current issues in linguistic theory. In J. A. Fodor and J. J. Katz (eds.), *The Structure of Language: Readings in the Philosophy of Language*, pp. 50–118. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the Theory of Syntax*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1972). Some empirical issues in the theory of Transformational Grammar. In Paul Stanley Peters (ed.), *Goals of Linguistic Theory*, pp. 63–130. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Chomsky, N. (1973). Conditions on transformations. In S. Anderson and P. Kiparsky (eds.), *A Festschrift for Morris Halle*, pp. 232–286. New York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston.
- Chomsky, N. (1977). On Wh-Movement. In P. Culicover, T. Wasow, and A. Akmajian (eds.), *Formal Syntax*, pp. 71–132. New York: Academic Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1981). *Lectures on Government and Binding*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Chomsky, N. (1986). *Barriers*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1993). A Minimalist Program for linguistic theory. In Kenneth Hale and Samuel Jay Keyser (eds.), *The View from Building 20: Essays in Linguistics in Honor of Sylvain Bromberger*, pp. 1–52. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. (Also appears as chapter 3 of Chomsky 1995.)
- Chomsky, N. (1995). *The Minimalist Program*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N. (2000). Minimalist inquiries: The framework. In Roger Martin, David Michaels, and Juan Uriagereka (eds.), *Step by Step: Essays on Minimalist Syntax in Honor of Howard Lasnik*, pp. 89–157. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N. (2001). Derivation by phase. In M. Kenstowicz (ed.), *Ken Hale: A life in language*, pp. 1–52. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Chomsky, N. (2008). On phases. In R. Freidin, C. P. Otero, and M.-L. Zubizarreta (eds.), *Foundational Issues in Linguistics*, pp. 133–166. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N., and Lasnik, H. (1977). Filters and control. *Linguistic Inquiry* 8(3): 425–504.
- Chomsky, N., and Miller, G. (1963). Introduction to the formal analysis of natural languages. In R. Luce, R. Bush, and E. Galanter (eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Psychology*, pp. 269–321. New York: Wiley.
- Chung, S. (1982). Unbounded dependencies and Chamorro grammar. *Linguistic Inquiry* 13: 39–77.
- Chung, S. (1994). Wh-agreement and referentiality. *Linguistic Inquiry* 25: 1–44.
- Chung, S. (2005). Sluicing and the lexicon: The point of no return. In Rebecca T. Cover and Yuni Kim (eds.), *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*, pp. 73–91. Berkeley, CA: Berkeley Linguistics Society.
- Chung, S., Ladusaw, W. A., and McCloskey, J. (1995). Sluicing and Logical Form. *Natural Language Semantics* 3: 239–282.
- Chung, S., Ladusaw, W. A., and McCloskey, J. (2010). Sluicing: Between structure and inference. Unpublished MS, University of California Santa Cruz.
- Chung, S. and McCloskey, J. (1983). On the interpretation of certain island facts in GPSG. *Linguistic Inquiry* 14: 704–713.
- Cinque, G. (1990). *Types of A'-dependencies*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Cinque, G. (1995). The pseudo-relative and ACC-ing constructions after verbs of perception. In G. Cinque (ed.), *Italian Syntax and Universal Grammar*, pp. 244–275. Cambridge University Press.
- Cinque, G. (1999). *Adverbs and Functional Heads: A cross-linguistic perspective*. Oxford University Press.
- Cinque, G. (2010). On a selective “violation” of the complex NP constraint. In C. J. W. Zwart and de M. vries (eds.), *Structure Preserved: Studies in syntax for Jan Koster*, pp. 81–89. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Clahsen, H., and Featherston, S. (1999). Antecedent priming at trace positions, evidence from German scrambling. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 28: 415–437.
- Clark, H. H. (1976). *Semantics and Comprehension*. The Hague: Mouton.
- Clark, S., and Gronlund, S. (1996). Global matching models of recognition memory: How the models match the data. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* 3(1): 37–60.
- Clemens, L. E., Morgan, A., Polinsky, M., and Xiang, M. (2012). Listening to resumptions: An auditory experiment. Poster presented at the 25th Annual CUNY Conference on Human Sentence Processing, New York, March 2012.
- Clements, G. N. (1984). Binding domains in Kikuyu. *Studies in the Linguistic Sciences* 14: 37–56.
- Clifton, C., Fanselow, G., and Frazier, L. (2006). Amnestying superiority violations: Processing multiple questions. *Linguistic Inquiry* 37(1): 51–68.
- Clifton, C. E., Jr. and Frazier, L. (1989). Comprehending sentences with long-distance dependencies. In M. K. Tanenhaus and G. N. Carlson (eds.), *Linguistic Structure in Language Processing*, pp. 273–317. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Cole, P., Harbert, W., Sridhar, S., Hashimoto, S., Nelson, C., and Smietana, D. (1977). Noun Phrase accessibility and Island Constraints. In P. Cole and J. M. Sadock (eds.), *Syntax and Semantics Volume 8: Grammatical Relations*, pp. 27–46. New York: Academic Press.

- Cole, P., and Hermon, G. (1994). Is there LF *wh*-movement? *Linguistic Inquiry* 25: 239–262.
- Comorovski, I. (1989a). *Discourse and the Syntax of Multiple Constituent Questions*. PhD thesis, Cornell University.
- Comorovski, I. (1989b). Discourse-linking and the *wh*-island constraint. In J. Carter and R.-M. Déchaine (eds.), *Proceedings of the 19th Annual Meeting of the North East Linguistic Society*, pp. 78–96.
- Comrie, B. (1987). *Language Universals and Linguistic Typology*. University of Chicago Press.
- Conklin, K., Koenig, J. P., and Mauner, G. (2004). The role of specificity in the lexical encoding of participants. *Brain and Language* 90: 221–30.
- Conway, A. R. A., Jarrold, C., Kane, M. J., Miyake, A., and Towse, J. (2007). *Variation in Working Memory*. Oxford University Press.
- Conway, A. R. A., Kane, M. J., Bunting, M. F., Hambridge, D. Z., Wilhelm, O., and Engle, R. W. (2005). Working memory span tasks: A methodological review and users guide. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* 12: 769–786.
- Cooke, A., Zurif, E. B., DeVita, C., Alsop, D., Koenig, P., and Detre, J. (2002). Neural basis for sentence comprehension: Grammatical and short-term memory components. *Human Brain Mapping* 15(2): 80–94.
- Cornish, E. R., and Wason, P. C. (1970). The recall of affirmative and negative sentences in an incidental learning task. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology* 22: 109–114.
- Coulson, S., King, J. W., and Kutas, M. (1998). Expect the unexpected: Event-related brain response to morphosyntactic violations. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 13: 21–58.
- Cowan, N. (1995). *Attention and Memory: An integrated framework*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Cowan, N. (2001). The magical number 4 in short-term memory: A reconsideration of mental storage capacity. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 24: 87–114.
- Cowan, N. (2005). *Working Memory Capacity*. Hove, East Sussex: Psychology Press.
- Cowart, W. (1997). *Experimental Syntax: Applying objective methods to sentence judgments*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Crain, S., and Fodor, J. D. (1985). How can grammars help parsers? In D. Dowty, L. Karttunen, and A. Zwicky (eds.), *Natural Language Parsing: Psycholinguistic, computational, and theoretical approaches*, pp. 94–128. Cambridge University Press.
- Crain, S., and Fodor, J. D. (1987). Sentence matching and overgeneration. *Cognition* 26: 123–169.
- Crain, S., and Thornton, R. (1998). *Investigations in Universal Grammar*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Crawford, J. (2011). Using syntactic satiation to investigate subject islands. In *Proceedings of the 29th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics (WCCFL 29)*, pp. 38–45. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Creswell, C. (2002). Resumptive pronouns, *wh*-island violations, and sentence production. In *Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on Tree-Adjoining Grammar and Related Frameworks (TAG+6)*, pp. 101–109. Venice: Università di Venezia.

- Crocker, M., and Brants, T. (2000). Wide-coverage probabilistic sentence processing. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 29: 647–669.
- Croft, W. (2000). *Explaining Language Change: An evolutionary approach*. Harlow, Essex: Longman.
- Croft, W. (2001). *Radical Construction Grammar*. Oxford University Press.
- Culicover, P. (2001). Parasitic gaps: A history. In P. Culicover and P. Postal (eds.), *Parasitic Gaps*, pp. 3–68. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Culicover, P. W., and Jackendoff, R. (1997). Semantic subordination despite syntactic coordination. *Linguistic Inquiry* 28: 195–217.
- Culicover, P., and Postal, P., eds. (2001). *Parasitic Gaps*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Dabrowska, E. (2004). *Language, Mind and Brain*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Dabrowska, E., Rowland, C., and Theakston, A. (2009). The acquisition of questions with long-distance dependencies. *Cognitive Linguistics* 20: 571–97.
- Dalrymple, M., Shieber, S. M., and Pereira, F. C. N. (1991). Ellipsis and higher-order unification. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 14: 399–452.
- Daneman, M., and Carpenter, P. A. (1980). Individual differences in working memory and reading. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 19: 450–466.
- Deane, P. (1991). Limits to attention: A cognitive theory of island phenomena. *Cognitive Linguistics* 2: 1–63.
- Demirdache, H. (1991). *Resumptive Chains in Restricted Relatives, Appositives and Dislocation Structures*. PhD Dissertation, MIT.
- den Besten, H. (1985). The ergative hypothesis and free word order in Dutch and German. In Jindrich Toman (ed.), *Studies in German Grammar*, pp. 23–64. Dordrecht: Foris.
- den Dikken, M. (2003). *The Structure of the Noun Phrase in Rotuman*. Munich: LINCOM Europa.
- den Dikken, M., Bernstein, J., Tortora, C., and Zanuttini, R. (2007). Data and grammar: Means and individuals. *Theoretical Linguistics* 33(3): 335–352.
- den Dikken, M., and Naess, A. (1993). Case dependencies: The case of predicate inversion. *The Linguistic Review* 10: 303–336.
- de Villiers, J. (1995). Questioning minds and answering machines. In D. MacLaughlin and S. McEwan (eds.), *Proceedings of the 19th Boston University Conference on Language Development*, pp. 20–36. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- de Vincenzi, M. (1991). *Syntactic Parsing Strategies in Italian*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- Dickey, M. W. (1996). Constraints on the sentence processor and the distribution of resumptive pronouns. In M. W. Dickey and S. Tunstall (eds.), *Linguistics in the Laboratory*, pp. 157–192. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts.
- Dickey, M. W., and Bunker, A. (2010). Comprehension of elided structure: Evidence from sluicing. *Language and Cognitive Process* 26: 63–78.
- Diesing, M. (1992). *Indefinites*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Diessel, H. (2001). The ordering distribution of main and adverbial clauses: A typological study. *Language* 77: 345–365.
- Diessel, H. (2009). The emergence of relative clauses in early child language. Unpublished MS, University of Jena.

- Dobrovie-Sorin, C. (1990). Clitic doubling, *wh*-movement and quantification in Romanian. *Linguistic Inquiry* 21 (3): 351–397.
- Dresher, E. (1999). Charting the learning path: Cues to parameter setting. *Linguistic Inquiry* 30: 27–67.
- Dryer, M. S. (1986). Primary Objects, Secondary Objects and Antidative. *Language* 62: 808–845.
- Eberhard, K. M., Cutting, J. C., and Bock, K. (2005). Making sense of syntax: Number agreement in sentence production. *Psychological Review* 112: 531–559.
- Eide, K. and Áfarli, T. (1999). The syntactic disguises of the predication operator. *The Linguistic Review* 52: 155–181.
- Ellefson, M. R., and Christiansen, M. H. (2000). Subjacency constraints without Universal Grammar: Evidence from artificial language learning and connectionist modeling. In L. R. Gleitman and A. K. Joshi (eds.), *The Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, pp. 645–50. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Ellis, N. C. (2002). Frequency Effects in language processing: A review with implications for theories of implicit and explicit. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition* 24: 143–188.
- Engdahl, E. (1980). Wh-constructions in Swedish and the relevance of subjacency. In J. T. Jensen (ed.), *Cahiers Linguistiques d'Ottawa: Proceedings of the Tenth Meeting of the North East Linguistic Society*, pp. 89–108. Ottawa, ONT: University of Ottawa Department of Linguistics.
- Engdahl, E. (1982). Restrictions on unbounded dependencies in Swedish. In E. Engdahl and E. Ejerhed (eds.), *Readings on Unbounded Dependencies in Scandinavian Languages*, pp. 151–174. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Engdahl, E. (1983). Parasitic gaps. *Linguistic Inquiry* 6: 5–34.
- Engdahl, E. (1986). *Constituent Questions: The syntax and semantics of questions with special reference to Swedish*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Engdahl, E. (1997). Relative clause extractions in context. *Working Papers in Scandinavian Syntax* 60: 51–79.
- Engelhardt, P., Bailey, K., and Ferreira, F. (2006). Do speakers and listeners observe the Gricean Maxim of Quantity? *Journal of Memory and Language* 54: 554–573.
- Ericsson, K. A., and Kintsch, W. (1995). Long-term working memory. *Psychological Review* 102: 211–245.
- Eriksen, C. W., and Schultz, D. W. (1979). Information processing in visual search: A continuous flow conception and experimental results. *Perception and Psychophysics* 25: 249–263.
- Erteschik-Shir, N. (1973). *On the Nature of Island Constraints*. PhD dissertation, MIT.
- Erteschik-Shir, N. (1977). *On the Nature of Island Constraints*. Bloomington: Indiana University Linguistics Club.
- Erteschik-Shir, N. (1979). Discourse constraints on dative movement. In S. Laberge and G. Sankoff (eds.), *Syntax and Semantics*, pp. 441–467. New York: Academic Press.
- Erteschik-Shir, N. (1992). Resumptive pronouns in islands. In H. Goodluck and M. Rochemont (eds.), *Island Constraints: Theory, acquisition and processing*, Volume 15 of *Studies in Theoretical Psycholinguistics*, pp. 89–108. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

- Erteschik-Shir, N. (2007). *Information Structure: The syntax–discourse interface*. Oxford University Press.
- Evans, N., and Levinson, S. C. (2009). The myth of language universals: Language diversity and its importance for cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 32: 429–492.
- Featherston, S. (2005). Magnitude estimation and what it can do for your syntax: Some *wh*-constraints in German. *Lingua* 115: 1525–1550.
- Featherston, S. (2008). Thermometer judgments as linguistic evidence. In M. Claudia and A. Rothe (eds.), *Was ist linguistische Evidenz?*, pp. 69–89. Aachen: Shaker Verlag.
- Feldman, N., Griffiths, T., and Morgan, J. (2009). The influence of categories on perception: Explaining the perceptual magnet effect as optimal statistical inference. *Psychological Review* 116: 752–782.
- Ferreira, F. (2003). The misinterpretation of noncanonical sentences. *Cognitive Psychology* 47: 164–203.
- Ferreira, F., and Swets, B. (2005). The production and comprehension of resumptive pronouns in relative clause “island” contexts. In Anne Cutler (ed.), *Twenty-first Century Psycholinguistics: Four cornerstones*, pp. 263–278. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Ferreira, V. S. (2008). Ambiguity, accessibility, and a division of labor for communicative success. *Psychology of Learning and Motivation* 49: 209–246.
- Ferreira, V. S., and Dell, G. S. (2000). The effect of ambiguity and lexical availability on syntactic and lexical production. *Cognitive Psychology* 40: 296–340.
- Fiebach, C. J., Schleewsky, M., and Friederici, A. D. (2002). Separating syntactic memory costs and syntactic integration costs during parsing: The processing of German *wh*-questions. *Journal of Memory and Language* 47: 250–272.
- Fiengo, R., and Higginbotham, J. (1981). Opacity in NP. *Linguistic Analysis* 7: 395–421.
- Fillmore, C. J. (1965). *Indirect Object Constructions in English and the Ordering of Transformations*. The Hague: Mouton.
- Fodor, J. A., Bever, T. G., and Garrett, M. F. (1974). *The Psychology of Language: An introduction to psycholinguistics and generative grammar*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Fodor, J. D. (1978). Parsing strategies and constraints on transformations. *Linguistic Inquiry* 9: 427–473.
- Fodor, J. D. (1983). Phrase structure parsing and the island constraints. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 6: 163–223.
- Fodor, J. D. (1998a). Unambiguous triggers. *Linguistic Inquiry* 29: 1–36.
- Fodor, J. D. (1998b). Parsing to learn. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 27(3): 339–374.
- Fodor, J. D. (2009). Syntax acquisition: An evaluation measure after All? In M. Piatelli Palmarini, J. Uriagereka, and P. Salaburu (eds.), *Of Minds and Language: The Basque country encounter with Noam Chomsky*, pp. 256–277. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Fodor, J. D., and Inoue, A. (1994). The diagnosis and cure of garden paths. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 23(5): 407–434.

- Fodor, J. D., and Inoue, A. (1998). Attach anyway. In J. D. Fodor and F. Ferreira (eds.), *Reanalysis in Sentence Processing*, pp. 101–141. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Foraker, S., Regier, T., Khetarpal, A., Perfors, A., and Tenenbaum, J. (2009). Indirect evidence and the poverty of the stimulus: The case of anaphoric *one*. *Cognitive Science* 33: 287–300.
- Ford, M. (1983). A method of obtaining measures of local parsing complexity throughout sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 22: 203–218.
- Forster, K. I. (1979). Levels of processing and the structure of the language processor. In W. E. Cooper and E. Walker (eds.), *Sentence Processing: Psycholinguistic essays presented to Merrill Garrett*, pp. 27–75. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Forster, K. I., and Stevenson, B. J. (1987). Sentence matching and well-formedness. *Cognition* 26: 171–186.
- Fox, D., and Hackl, M. (2006). The universal density of measurement. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 29: 537–586.
- Fox, D., and Nissenbaum, J. (1999). Extraposition and scope: a case for overt QR. In S. Bird, A. Carnie, J. D. Haugen, and P. Norquest (eds.), *Proceedings of the 18th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*, pp. 25–36. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Francis, H. S., and Michaelis, L. A. (2007). Lexical subjects and the conflation strategy. In N. Hedberg and R. Zacharski (eds.), *Topics in the Grammar–Pragmatics Interface: Papers in Honor of Jeanette K. Gundel*, pp. 19–48. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Francom, J. (2009). *Experimental Syntax: Exploring the effect of repeated exposure to anomalous syntactic structure – evidence from rating and reading tasks*. PhD dissertation, University of Arizona.
- Frank, M. C., Goodman, S., and Tenenbaum, J. (2009). Using speakers' referential intentions to model early cross-situational word learning. *Psychological Science* 20(5): 578–585.
- Frank, R. (2002). *Phrase Structure Composition and Syntactic Dependencies*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Frazier, L. (1985). Syntactic complexity. In D. Dowty, L. Karttunen, and A. Zwicky (eds.), *Natural Language Processing: Psychological, computational, and theoretical perspectives*, pp. 129–189. Cambridge University Press.
- Frazier, L. (1987a). Syntactic processing: Evidence from Dutch. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 5: 519–560.
- Frazier, L. (1987b). Sentence processing: A tutorial review. In M. Coltheart (ed.), *Attention and Performance XII: The psychology of reading*, pp. 559–586. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Frazier, L., and Clifton, C. (1989). Successive cyclicity in the grammar and the parser. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 4: 93–126.
- Frazier, L., and Clifton, C. Jr. (1998). Comprehension of sluiced sentences. *Language and Cognitive Process* 13: 499–520.
- Frazier, L., and Clifton, C. Jr. (2000). Parsing coordinates and ellipsis: Copy alpha. *Syntax* 4: 1–22.
- Frazier, L., and Clifton, C. Jr. (2001). The syntax–discourse divide: Processing ellipsis. *Syntax* 8: 121–174.
- Frazier, L., and Clifton, C. Jr. (2002). Processing 'd-linked' phrases. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 31: 633–660.

- Frazier, L., and Flores d'Arcais, G. (1989). Filler-driven parsing: A study of gap filling in Dutch. *Journal of Memory and Language* 28: 331–344.
- Frazier, L., and Fodor, J. D. (1978). The sausage machine. A new two-stage parsing model. *Cognition* 6(4): 291–325.
- Frazier, L., Lori, T., Roeper, T., Clifton, C. Jr., and Ehrlich, K. (1984). Parallel structure: A source of facilitation in sentence comprehension. *Memory and Cognition* 12: 421–430.
- Frazier, L., Munn, A., and Clifton, C. Jr. (2000). Processing Coordinate Structures. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 29: 343–370.
- Freedman, S., and Forster, K. I. (1985). The psychological status of overgenerated sentences. *Cognition* 19: 101–131.
- Friedmann, N., Taranto, G., Shapiro, L., and Swinney, D. (2008). The leaf fell (the leaf): The online processing of unaccusatives. *Linguistic Inquiry* 39: 355–377.
- Garavan, H. (1998). Serial attention within working memory. *Memory and Cognition* 26: 263–276.
- Garnsey, S. M., Tanenhaus, M. K., and Chapman, R. M. (1989). Evoked potentials and the study of sentence comprehension. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 18: 51–60.
- Gazdar, G. (1981). Unbounded dependencies and coordinate structure. *Linguistic Inquiry* 12: 155–184.
- Gazdar, G., Klein, E., Pullum, G. K., and Sag, I. A. (1985). *Generalized Phrase Structure Grammar*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Georgopoulos, C. (1985). Variables in Palauan syntax. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 3: 59–94.
- Georgopoulos, C. (1991). *Syntactic Variables: Resumptive pronouns and binding in Palauan*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Gerken, L. (2006). Decision, decisions: Infant language learning when multiple generalizations are possible. *Cognition* 98: B67–B74.
- Gibson, E. (1998). Linguistic complexity: Locality of syntactic dependencies. *Cognition* 68: 1–76.
- Gibson, E. (2000). *The dependency locality theory, a distance-based theory of linguistic complexity*. In Y. Miyashita, A. Marantz, and W. O'Neil (eds.), *Image, Language, Brain*, pp. 95–126. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gibson, E., and Fedorenko, E. (2013). The need for quantitative methods in syntax and semantics research. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 28(1–2): 88–124.
- Gibson, E., and Grodner, D. J. (2005). Consequences of the serial nature of linguistic input for sentential complexity. *Cognitive Science* 29: 261–291.
- Gibson, E., Pearlmutter, N., Canseco-Gonzalez, E., and Hickok, G. (1996). Recency preference in the human sentence processing mechanism. *Cognition* 59: 2359.
- Gibson, E., and Thomas, J. (1999). Memory limitations and structural forgetting: The perception of complex ungrammatical sentences as grammatical. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 14: 225–248.
- Gibson, E., and Wexler, K. (1994). Triggers. *Linguistic Inquiry* 25: 355–407.
- Gieselman, S., Kluender, R., and Caponigro, I. (2011). Pragmatic processing factors in negative island contexts. In *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Meeting of the Western Conference on Linguistics*, pp. 65–76.

- Gieselman, S., Kluender, R., and Caponigro, I. (in press). Isolating processing factors in negative island contexts. *Proceedings of the 41st Annual Meeting of the North East Linguistic Society*.
- Gilligan, G. (1987). *A cross-linguistic approach to the pro-drop parameter*. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Southern California.
- Gillund, G., and Shiffrin, R. M. (1984). A retrieval model for both recognition and recall. *Psychological Review* 91(1): 1–67.
- Givón, T. (1979). *On Understanding Grammar*. New York: Academic Press.
- Givón, T. (1984). *Syntax: A functional-typological introduction*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Glenberg, A. M., Robertson, D. A., Jansen, J. L., and Johnson-Glenberg, M. C. (1999). Not propositions. *Journal of Cognitive Systems Research* 1: 19–33.
- Glushan, Z. (2006). *Japanese style scrambling in Russian: Myth and reality*. Master's thesis, University of Tromsøe.
- Goldberg, A. (2006). *Constructions at Work: The Nature of Generalization in Language*. Oxford University Press.
- Goldsmith, J. (1985). A principled exception to the Coordinate Structure Constraint. In *Papers from the Twenty-first Annual Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*, pp. 133–143. Chicago Linguistic Society.
- Goldwater, S., Griffiths, T., and Johnson, M. (2009). A Bayesian framework for word segmentation: Exploring the effects of context. *Cognition* 112(1): 21–54.
- Gomez, R. (2002). Variability and detection of invariant structure. *Psychological Science* 13: 431–436.
- Goodall, G. (2001). The EPP in Spanish. In W. Davies and S. Dubinsky (eds.), *Objects and Other Subjects: Grammatical functions, functional categories, and configurationality*, pp. 193–223. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Goodall, G. (2002). On preverbal subjects in Spanish. In T. Satterfield, C. Tortora, and D. Cresti (eds.), *Current Issues in Romance Languages: Selected papers from the 29th Linguistic Symposium on Romance languages*, pp. 95–109. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Goodall, G. (2004). On the syntax and processing of wh-questions in Spanish. In B. Schmeiser, V. Chand, A. Kelleher, and A. Rodriguez (eds.), *Proceedings of the 23rd West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*, pp. 101–114. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Goodall, G. (2011). Syntactic satiation and the inversion effect in English and Spanish wh-questions. *Syntax* 14: 29–47.
- Goodluck, H., and Tavakolian, S. L. (1982). Competence and processing in children's grammar of relative clauses. *Cognition* 11: 1–27.
- Gordon, P. and Hendrick, R. (1998). The representation and processing of coreference in discourse. *Cognitive Science* 22: 389–424.
- Gordon, P. C., Hendrick, R., and Johnson, M. (2001). Memory interference during language processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 27: 1411–1423.
- Gouvea, A., Phillips, C., Kazanina, N., and Poeppel, D. (2010). The linguistic processes underlying the P600. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 25: 149–188.
- Graf Estes, K., Evans, J., Alibali, M., and Saffran, J. (2007). Can infants map meaning to newly segmented words? *Psychological Science* 18(3): 254–260.

- Grewendorf, G. (1989). *Ergativity in German*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Gribanova, V. (2010). *Composition and locality: The morphosyntax and phonology of the Russian verb complex*. PhD dissertation, University of California Santa Cruz.
- Grice, P. (1969). Utterer's meaning and intentions. *Philosophical Review* 78: 147–177.
- Grice, P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole and J. Morgan (eds.), *Syntax and Semantics 3: Speech Acts*, pp. 41–58. New York: Academic Press.
- Grice, P. (1978). Further notes on logic and conversation. In P. Cole (ed.), *Syntax and Semantics 9: Pragmatics*, pp. 113–128. New York: Academic Press.
- Griffiths, T., and Tenenbaum, J. (2005). Structure and strength in causal induction. *Cognitive Psychology* 51: 334–384.
- Grimshaw, J. (1986). Subjacency and the S/S' Parameter. *Linguistic Inquiry* 17: 364–369.
- Grosz, B. J., Joshi, A. K., and Weinstein, S. (1983). Providing a unified account of definite noun phrases in discourse. In *Proceedings, 21st Annual Meeting of the Association of Computational Linguistics*, pp. 44–50.
- Guasti, M. T. (2002). *Language Acquisition: The growth of grammar*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gundel, J. (1974). *The role of topic and comment in linguistic theory*. PhD dissertation, University of Texas at Austin.
- Gundel, J. K., Hedberg, N., and Zacharski R. (1993). Cognitive status and the form of referring expressions in discourse. *Language* 69: 274–307
- Haegeman, L. (1992). Negation in west Flemish and the Neg criterion. In *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Meeting of the North East Linguistic Society*, pp. 195–211.
- Haegeman, L. (1995). *The Syntax of Negation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hagstrom, P. (1998). *Decomposing Questions*. Cambridge, MA: MIT dissertation.
- Hahne, A., and Friederici, A. D. (1999). Electrophysiological evidence for two steps in syntactic analysis: Early automatic and late controlled processes. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 11: 194–205.
- Haider, H. (1983). Connectedness effects in German. *Groninger Arbeiten zur Germanistischen Linguistik* 25: 83–117.
- Haider, H. (1993). *Deutsche Syntax-generativ*. Tübingen: Narr.
- Hale, J. (2001). A probabilistic Earley parser as a psycholinguistic model. In *Proceedings of the Second Meeting of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pp. 159–166.
- Halliday, A. K. (1967). Notes on transitivity and theme in English: Part II. *Journal of Linguistics* 3: 199–244.
- Han, C., and Kim, J. (2004). “Double relative clauses” in Korean? *Linguistic Inquiry* 35: 315–337.
- Han, C., Kirby, S., Elouazizi, N., Görgülü, N., Hedberg, N., Dyakonova, M., Galeano, C., Hinnell, J., Jeffrey, M., and Kim, K. (2012). Subject-object asymmetry in English resumption. Paper presented at the 86th Annual Meeting of the Linguistic Society of America, Portland, OR, January 2012.
- Hardt, D. (1993). *Verb Phrase ellipsis: Form meaning and processing*. PhD Dissertation, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia.
- Hardt, D. (1999). Dynamic interpretation of Verb Phrase ellipsis. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 22: 187–221.

- Harizanov, B. (2011). The acceptability of true resumption: An acceptability rating study in Bulgarian. Unpublished MS, University of California Santa Cruz.
- Hart, B., and Risley, T. R. (1995). *Meaningful Differences in the Everyday Experience of Young American Children*. Baltimore, MD: P. H. Brookes.
- Harves, S. (2002). *Unaccusative syntax in Russian*. PhD dissertation, Princeton University.
- Harves, S. (2003). Getting impersonal: Case, agreement, and distributive *po*-phrases in Russian. In W. Browne *et al.* (eds.), *Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics, Amherst Meeting*, pp. 235–254. Ann Arbor: Michigan Slavic Publications.
- Hasegawa, N. (1981). *A lexical interpretive theory with emphasis on the role of subject*. PhD dissertation, University of Washington.
- Hawkins, J. A. (1999). Processing complexity and filler-gap dependencies across grammars. *Language* 75: 244–285.
- Hawkins, J. (2004). *Efficiency and Complexity of Grammars*. Oxford University Press.
- Hayes, J. R. M. (1952). Memory span for several vocabularies as a function of vocabulary size. In *Quarterly Progress Report*, pp. 5–9. Cambridge, MA: Acoustics Laboratory, MIT.
- Heestand, D. (2010). *Conditions on the cross-linguistic acceptability of resumptive pronouns*. Senior thesis, Harvard University.
- Heestand, D., Xiang, M., and Polinsky, M. (2011). Resumption still does not rescue islands. *Linguistic Inquiry* 42: 138–152.
- Hendrick, R. (2005). Resumptive and bound variable pronouns in Tongan. In J. Heinz and D. Ntheliteos (eds.), *Proceedings of AFLA-XII*, pp. 103–115. Los Angeles: UCLA Dept. of Linguistics.
- Hinton, G. E. (1989). Connectionist learning procedure. *Artificial Intelligence* 40: 185–234.
- Hintzman, D. L. (1988). Judgments of frequency and recognition memory in a multiple-trace memory model. *Psychological Review* 95: 528–551.
- Hiramatsu, K. (1999). Subject and adjunct island asymmetries: Evidence from syntactic satiation. In S. Bird, A. Carnie, J. D. Haugen, and P. Norquest (eds.), *Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics* 18, pp. 183–192. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Hiramatsu, K. (2000). *Assessing linguistic competence: Evidence from children's and adults' acceptability judgments*. PhD dissertation, University of Connecticut.
- Hofmeister, P. (2007b). *Representational complexity and memory retrieval in language comprehension*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University dissertation.
- Hofmeister, P. (2011). Representational complexity and memory retrieval in language comprehension. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 26 (3): 376–405.
- Hofmeister, P., Jaeger, T. F., Arnon, I., Sag, I. A., and Snider, N. (2013). The source ambiguity problem: Distinguishing the effects of grammar and processing on acceptability judgments. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 28(1–2): 48–87.
- Hofmeister, P., Jaeger, T. F., Sag, I. A., Arnon, I., and Snider, N. (2007). Locality and accessibility in wh-questions. In S. Featherston and W. Sternefeld (eds.), *Roots: Linguistics in Search of its Evidential Base*, pp. 185–206. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

- Hofmeister, P., and Norcliffe, E. (2013). Does resumption facilitate sentence comprehension. In P. Hofmeister and E. Norcliffe (eds.), *The Core and the Periphery: Data-driven Perspectives on Syntax Inspired by Ivan A. Sag*, pp. 225–246. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- Hofmeister, P., and Sag, I. A. (2010). Cognitive constraints on syntactic islands. *Language* 86: 366–415.
- Hoshi, K. (2004). Parameterization of the external D-system in relativization. *Language, Culture, and Communication* 33: 1–50.
- Hsu, C.-C. N. (2006). *Issues in head-final relative clauses in Chinese: Derivation, processing, and acquisition*. PhD dissertation, University of Delaware.
- Huang, C.-T. J. (1982a). *Logical relations in Chinese and the theory of grammar*. Cambridge, MA: MIT dissertation.
- Huang, C.-T. J. (1982b). Move wh in a language without wh-movement. *The Linguistic Review* 1: 369–416.
- Huang, C.-T. J. (1984). On the distribution and reference of empty pronouns. *Linguistic Inquiry* 15: 531–574.
- Huang, Y. (2000). *Anaphora: A cross-linguistic approach*. Oxford University Press.
- Hunter, T. (2010). *The syntax and semantics of movement and adjunction in natural language*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland.
- Inoue, K. (1976). *Henkei-bunpo to nihongo*. Tokyo: Taishukan.
- Ishizuka, T. (2009). CNPC violations and possessor raising in Japanese. Unpublished MS, UCLA.
- Jackendoff, R. S., and Culicover, P. (1971). A reconsideration of dative movements. *Foundations of Language* 7(3): 397–412.
- Jaeger, F. (2006). *Redundancy and syntactic reduction in spontaneous speech*. PhD dissertation, Stanford University.
- Jaeger, T. F., and Snider, N. (2008). Implicit learning and syntactic persistence: Surprised and cumulativity. In *Proceedings of the 30th Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, pp. 1061–1066.
- Jaeggi, S. M., Buschkuhl, M., Jonides, J., and Perrig, W. (2008). Improving fluid intelligence with training on working memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105: 6829–6833.
- James, D. (1972). Some aspects of the syntax and semantics of interjections. Paper presented to the 8th Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society.
- James, W. (1890). *The Principles of Psychology*, 2 vols. New York: Holt.
- Jha, A. P., and McCarthy, G. (2000). The influence of memory load upon delay-interval activity in a working-memory task: An event-related functional MRI study. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 12(2): 90–105.
- Jonides, J., Lewis, R. L., Nee, D. E., Lustig, C. A., Berman, M. G., and Moore, K. S. (2008). The mind and brain of short-term memory. *Annual Review of Psychology* 59(1): 193–224.
- Junghanns, U., and Zybatow, G. (1997). Syntax and information structure of Russian clauses. In W. Browne *et al.* (eds.), *Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics 4: Cornell Meeting*, pp. 289–319. Ann Arbor: Michigan Slavic Publications.
- Jurka, J. (2010). *The importance of being a complement: CED effects revisited*. PhD dissertation, University of Maryland.
- Just, M., and Carpenter, P. (1980). A theory of reading: From eye fixations to comprehension. *Psychological Review* 87: 123–154.

- Just, M., and Carpenter, P. (1992). A capacity theory of comprehension: Individual differences in working memory. *Psychological Review* 99: 122–149.
- Just, M. A., Carpenter, P. A., Keller, T. A., Eddy, W. F., and Thulborn, K. R. (1996). Brain activation modulated by sentence comprehension. *Science* 274: 114–116.
- Just, M. A., Carpenter, P. A., and Woolley, J. D. (1982). Paradigms and processes in reading comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology* 111: 228–238.
- Kaan, E. (1997). *Processing subject–object ambiguities in Dutch*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Groningen.
- Kaan, E., Harris, A., Gibson, E., and Holcomb, P. (2000). The P600 as an index of syntactic integration difficulty. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 15: 159–201.
- Kallessinova, E. (2007). *Aspects of Word Order in Russian*. PhD Dissertation, University of Iowa.
- Kane, M. J., Conway, A. R. A., Miura, T. K., and Colflesh, G. J. H. (2007). Working memory, attention control, and the n-back task: A question of construct validity. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 33: 615–622.
- Kane, M. J., and Engle, R. W. (2002). The role of prefrontal cortex in working-memory capacity, executive attention, and general fluid intelligence: An individual differences perspective. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* 9: 637–671.
- Kane, M. J., Hambrick, D. Z., Tuholski, S. W., Wilhelm, O., Payne, T. W., and Engle, R. W. (2004). The generality of working memory capacity, a latent-variable approach to verbal and visuospatial memory span and reasoning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 133: 189–217.
- Kang, Y.-S. (1986). *Korean syntax and Universal Grammar*. PhD dissertation, Harvard University.
- Kanno, K., and Nakamura, M. (2001). Processing of relative clauses by Japanese native speakers and L2 learners. *Journal of Association for Japanese Language Education* 4: 134–148.
- Karttunen, L. (1976). Discourse referents. In J. D. McCawley (ed.), *Syntax and Semantics 7: Notes From the Linguistic Underground*, pp. 48–75. New York: Academic Press.
- Karttunen, L. (1977). Syntax and semantics of questions. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 1: 3–44.
- Kaschak, M. P., and Glenberg, A. M. (2004). This construction needs learned. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 133: 450–467.
- Kaup, B. (2006). What psycholinguistic negation research tells us about the nature of the working memory representations utilized in language comprehension. In H. Pishwa (ed.), *Language and Memory: Aspects of knowledge representation*, pp. 313–355. Berlin: Mouton.
- Kayne, R. (1983). Connectedness. *Linguistic Inquiry* 14: 223–249.
- Kayne, R. (1993). Toward a modular theory of auxiliary selection. *Studia Linguistica* 47: 3–31.
- Kayne, R. S. and Pollock, J.-Y. (1978). Stylistic Inversion, Successive Cyclicity, and Move NP in French. *Linguistic Inquiry* 9(4): 595–621.
- Kazanina, N., Lau, E. F., Lieberman, M., Yoshida, M., and Phillips, C. (2007). The effect of syntactic constraints on the processing of backwards anaphora. *Journal of Memory and Language* 56: 384–409.

- Kazanina, N., and Phillips, C. (2010). Differential effects of constraints in the processing of Russian cataphora. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology* 63: 371–400.
- Keenan, E. L., and Comrie, B. (1977). Noun phrase accessibility and universal grammar. *Linguistic Inquiry* 8(1): 63–99.
- Kehler, A. (2002). *Coherence, Reference, and the Theory of Grammar*. Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- Keller, F. (2000). *Gradience in grammar: Experimental and computational aspects of degrees of grammaticality*. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Edinburgh.
- Keller, F., Gunasekharan, S., Mayo, N., and Corley, M. (2009). Timing accuracy of web experiments: A case study using the WebExp software package. *Behavior Research Methods* 41 (1): 1–12.
- Kempen, G., and Harbusch, K. (2004). The relationship between grammaticality ratings and corpus frequencies: A case study into word order variability in the midfield of German clauses. In S. Kepser and M. Reis (eds.), *Linguistic Evidence: Empirical, theoretical, and computational Perspectives*, pp. 329–349. Berlin: Mouton De Gruyter.
- Kenstowicz, M. (1989). The null subject parameter in modern Arabic dialects. In O. Jaeggli and K. Safir (eds.), *The Null Subject Parameter*, pp. 263–275. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- King, T. H. (1995). *Configuring Topic and Focus in Russian*. Stanford: CSLI.
- King, J., and Just, M. A. (1991). Individual differences in syntactic processing, the role of working memory. *Journal of Memory and Language* 30: 580–560.
- King, J., and Kutas, M. (1995). Who did what and when? Using word- and clause-level ERPs to monitor working memory usage in reading. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 7: 376–395.
- Kiparsky, P., and Kiparsky, C. (1971). *Fact*. In M. Bierwisch and K. Heidolph (eds.), *Progress in Linguistics*, pp. 143–173. The Hague: Mouton.
- Kirchner, W. K. (1958). Age differences in short-term retention of rapidly changing information. *Journal of Experimental Psychology* 55: 352–358.
- Kiss, K. É. (1987). *Configurationality in Hungarian*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Kiss, K. É. (1995). *Introduction*. In K. É. Kiss (ed.), *Discourse Configurational Languages*, pp. 3–35. Oxford University Press.
- Kitagawa, Y., Tamaoka, K., and Tomioka, S. (2013). Prosodic matters in intervention effects in Japanese: An experimental study. *Lingua* 124: 41–63.
- Kjellmer, G. (1975). Are relative infinitives modal? *Studia Neophilologica* 47: 323–332.
- Klein, D. and Manning, C. (2002). A generative constituent-context model for improved grammar induction. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting for the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pp. 128–135. Stroudsburg, PA: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Klima, E. (1964). Negation in English. In Fodor and Katz (eds.), *The Structure of language*, pp. 246–323. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Kluender, R. (1991). *Cognitive constraints on variables in syntax*. Doctoral dissertation, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla.
- Kluender, R. (1992). Deriving island constraints from principles of predication. In H. Goodluck and M. Rochemont (eds.), *Island Constraints: Theory, acquisition and processing*, Volume 15 of *Studies in Theoretical Psycholinguistics*, pp. 223–258. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

- Kluender, R. (1998). On the distinction between strong and weak islands: A processing perspective. In Peter Culicover and Louise McNally (eds.), *Syntax and Semantics 29: The Limits of Syntax*, pp. 241–279. New York: Academic Press.
- Kluender, R. (2004). Are Subject islands subject to a processing account? In V. Chand, A. Kelleher, A. Rodriguez, and B. Schmeiser (eds.), *Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics 23*, pp. 475–499. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Kluender, R., and Kutas, M. (1993a). Bridging the gap – evidence from ERPs on the processing of unbounded dependencies. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 5: 196–214.
- Kluender, R., and Kutas, M. (1993b). Subjacency as a processing phenomenon. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 8: 573–633.
- Knoeferle, P., and Crocker, M. W. (2009). Constituent order and semantic parallelism in online comprehension: Eye-tracking evidence from German. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology* 62: 2338 – 2371.
- Kohonen, T. (1977). *Associative Memory: A system theoretical approach*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Koopman, H. (1984). *The Syntax of Verbs: From verb movement rules in the Kru languages to Universal Grammar*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Koopman, H., and Sportiche, D. (1986). A note on long extraction in Vata and the ECP. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 4: 357–374.
- Kothari, A. (2008). Frequency-based expectations and context influence bridge quality. Unpublished MS, Stanford University.
- Kravtchenko, E., Polinsky, M., and Xiang, M. (2009). Are all subject islands created equal? Poster presented at CUNY 2009.
- Kroch, A. (1981). On the role of resumptive pronouns in amnestying island constraint violations. In R. A. Hendrick, C. S. Masek, and M. F. Miller (eds.), *Papers from the 17th Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*, pp. 125–135. Chicago Linguistic Society, University of Chicago.
- Kroch, A. (1989). Amount quantification, referentiality, and long *wh*-movement. Unpublished MS, University of Pennsylvania.
- Kuno, S. (1972). Functional sentence perspective: A case study from Japanese and English. *Linguistic Inquiry* 3: 269–320.
- Kuno, S. (1973). *The Structure of the Japanese Language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kuno, S. (1976). Subject, theme, and speaker's empathy: A reexamination of relativization phenomena. In C. Li (ed.), *Subject and Topic*, pp. 417–444. New York: Academic Press.
- Kuno, S. (1987). *Functional Syntax: Anaphora, discourse and empathy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kuno, S., and Takami, K. (1997). Remarks on negative islands. *Linguistic Inquiry* 28: 553–576.
- Kuroda, S.-Y. (1988). Whether we agree or not: A comparative syntax of English and Japanese. In W. Poser (ed.), *Papers from the Second International Workshop on Japanese Syntax*, pp. 103–143. Stanford, CA: CSLI.
- Kush, D. (2011). On the (in-)escapability of islands in Scandinavian. Unpublished MS, University of Maryland.

- Kwon, N., Lee, Y., Gordon, P. C., Kluender, R., and Polinsky, M. (2010). Cognitive and linguistic determinants of the subject–object asymmetry: An eye-tracking study of pre-nominal relative clauses in Korean. *Language* 86: 546–582.
- Lakoff, G. (1986). Frame semantic control of the Coordinate Structure Constraint. In A. Farley, P. Farley, and K.-E. McCullough (eds.), *Papers from the Parasession on Pragmatics and Grammatical Theory at the 22nd Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistics Society*, pp. 152–167. Chicago Linguistic Society, University of Chicago.
- Lambrecht, K. (1994). *Information Structure and Sentence Form*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lane, L. W., and Ferreira, V. (2008). Speaker-external versus speaker-internal forces on utterance form: Do cognitive demands override threats to referential success? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory & Cognition* 34: 1466–1481.
- Langacker, R. W. (1987). *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar*, Volume 1. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Langendoen, D. T., Kalish-Landon, N., and Dore, J. (1973). Dative questions: a study in the relation of acceptability to grammaticality of an English sentence type. *Cognition* 2: 451–78.
- Larson, R. K. (1988). On the double object construction. *Linguistic Inquiry* 19: 335–391.
- Lasnik, H. (1992). Case and expletives. *Linguistic Inquiry* 23: 381–405.
- Lasnik, H. (1999). On the locality of movement. In M. Darnell, E. Moravcsik, F. Newmeyer, M. Noonan, and K. Wheatley (eds.), *Functionalism and Formalism in linguistics*, Volume 1: *General Papers*, pp. 33–54. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Lasnik, H. (2001). When can you save a structure by destroying It? In M. Kim and U. Strauss (eds.), *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Meeting of the North East Linguistic Society*, pp. 301–320.
- Lasnik, H. (2005). Review of the *Syntax of Silence* by Jason Merchant. *Language* 81: 259–265.
- Lasnik, H., and Park, M.-K. (2003). The Epp and the Subject Condition under Sluicing. *Linguistic Inquiry* 34: 649–660.
- Lasnik, H., and Saito, M. (1984). On the nature of proper government. *Linguistic Inquiry* 15: 235–289.
- Lasnik, H., and Saito, M. (1992). *Move Alpha: Conditions on its application and output*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Lau, E. F., Yeung, H. H., Hashimoto, R., Braun, A., and Phillips, C. (2006). Time-course and localization of syntactic and semantic anomaly responses in sentence processing, a within-subjects fMRI/MEG design. Poster presented at the 36th Society for Neuroscience Annual Meeting, Atlanta, GA.
- Leddon, E. M., and Lidz, J. L. (2006). Reconstruction effects in child language. In D. Bamman, T. Magnitkaia, and C. Zaller (eds.), *Proceedings of the 30th Annual Boston University Conference on Language Development*, pp. 328–339. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Lee, M.-W. (2004). Another look at the role of empty categories in sentence processing (and grammar). *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 33: 51–73.
- Lehmann, C. (1987). *Der Relativsatz: Typologie seiner Strukturen, Theorie seiner Funktionen, Kompendium seiner Grammatik*. Tübingen: Narr.

- Levin, B., and Rappaport Hovav, M. (1995). *Unaccusativity: At the Syntax–Lexical Semantics Interface*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Levy, R. (2008). Expectation-based syntactic comprehension. *Cognition* 106: 1126–1177.
- Levy, R. (2011a). Integrating surprisal and uncertain-input models in online sentence comprehension: formal techniques and empirical results. In *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pp. 1055–1065.
- Levy, R. (2011b). Resumption is not more common where it is least acceptable. Unpublished MS, University of California San Diego.
- Lewis, R. L. (1996). Interference in short-term memory: The magical number two (or three) in sentence processing. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 25(1): 93–115.
- Lewis, R. L. (2000). Specifying architectures for language processing: Process, control, and memory in parsing and interpretation. In M. W. Crocker, M. J. Pickering, and C. Clifton (eds.), *Architectures and Mechanisms for Language Processing*, pp. 56–89. Cambridge University Press.
- Lewis, R. L., and Vasishth, S. (2005). An activation-based model of sentence processing as skilled memory retrieval. *Cognitive Science* 29: 375–419.
- Lewis, R. L., Vasishth, S., and Van Dyke, J. A. (2006). Computational principles of working memory in sentence processing. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 10: 447–454.
- Li, Y.-H. A. (2002). Word order, Structure and Relativization. In Sze-Wing Tang and C.-S. Luther Liu (eds.), *On the Formal Way to Chinese Languages*, pp. 45–73. Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- Lidz, J., and Williams, A. (2009). Constructions on holiday: Commentary on Goldberg (2006). *Cognitive Linguistics* 20: 177–189.
- Lightfoot, D. (1991). *How to Set Parameters: Arguments from language change*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Lightfoot, D. (2010). Language acquisition and language change. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science* 1: 677–684.
- Linkins, J. (2011). What do journalists think about the debt crisis? They think that Americans got what they deserved. *Huffington Post*, August 1, 2011. [www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/08/01/journalists-debt-ceiling-crisis_n_915531.html]
- Lohndal, T. (2009). Comp-t effects: Variation in the position and features of C. *Studia Linguistica* 63: 204–232.
- Lohndal, T. (2011). Freezing effects and objects. *Journal of Linguistics* 47: 163–199.
- Lüdtke, J., Friedrich, C. K., De Filippis, M., and Kaup, B. (2008). Event-related potential correlates of negation in a sentence-picture verification paradigm. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 20: 1355–1370.
- Lüdtke, J. and Kaup, B. (2006). Context effects when reading negative and affirmative sentences, in R. Sun (ed.), *Proceedings of the 28th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, pp. 1735–1740. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Luka, B. J., and Barsalou, L. W. (2005). Structural facilitation: Mere exposure effects for grammatical acceptability as evidence for syntactic priming in comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language* 52: 436–459.
- Lutz, U. (2001). *Studien zu Extraktion und Projektion im Deutschen*. Doctoral dissertation, Universität Tübingen.

- MacDonald, M. C., Pearlmutter, N. J., and Seidenberg, M. S. (1994). The lexical nature of syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Psychological Review* 101: 676–703.
- Maclay, H., and Sleator, M. D. (1960). Responses to language: Judgements of grammaticalness. *Journal of American Linguistics* 26: 275–282.
- MacWhinney, B. (1977). Starting points. *Language* 53: 152–68.
- MacWhinney, B. (2000). *The CHILDES Project: Tools for analyzing talk*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Mahajan, A. (1990). *The A/A-bar distinction and movement theory*. PhD dissertation, MIT.
- Malhotra, S. (2009). On *wh*-quantifier interactions. Unpublished MS, University of Maryland.
- Maling, J. (1978). An asymmetry with respect to *wh*-islands. *Linguistic Inquiry* 9(1): 75–89.
- Maling, J., and Zaenen, A. (1982). A phrase structure account of Scandinavian extraction phenomena. In P. Jacobson and G. K. Pullum (eds.), *The Nature of Syntactic Representation*, pp. 229–282. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Manning, C., and Schütze, H. (1999). *Foundations of Statistical Natural Language Processing*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Manzini, M. R. (1992). *Locality: A theory and some of its empirical consequences*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Marcus, M., Santorini, B., Marcinkiewicz, M., and Taylor, A. (1999). *Treebank-3*. Philadelphia: Linguistic Data Consortium.
- Marr, D. (1982). *Vision: A computational investigation into the human representation and processing of visual information*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Martin, A., and MceLree, B. (2008). A content-addressable pointer mechanism underlies comprehension of verb-phrase ellipsis. *Journal of Memory and Language* 58: 879–906.
- Maxwell, S. E., and Delaney, H. D. (2003). *Designing Experiments and Analyzing Data: A model comparison perspective*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- May, R. (1985). *Logical Form: Its structure and derivation*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Maye, J., Werker, J. F., and Gerken, L.-A. (2002). Infant sensitivity to distributional information can affect phonetic discrimination. *Cognition* 82: B101–B111.
- McCawley, J. D. (1981). The syntax and semantics of English relative clauses. *Lingua* 53: 99–149.
- McCloskey, J. (1990). Resumptive pronouns, *A'*-binding and levels of representation in Irish. In R. Hendrick (ed.), *Syntax and Semantics 23: Syntax of the Modern Celtic languages* pp. 199–248. New York: Academic Press.
- McCloskey, J. (2000). Quantifier float and *wh*-movement in an Irish English. *Linguistic Inquiry* 31: 57–84.
- McCloskey, J. (2001). The morphosyntax of *WH*-extraction in Irish. *Journal of Linguistics* 37: 67–100.
- McCloskey, J. (2002). Resumption, successive cyclicity, and the locality of operations. In S. D. Epstein and T. D. Seely (eds.), *Derivation and Explanation in the Minimalist Program*, pp. 184–226. Oxford: Blackwell.
- McCloskey, J. (2006). Resumption. In Martin Everaert and Henk van Riemsdijk (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Syntax*, pp. 94–117. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.

- McDaniel, D., and Cowart, W. (1999). Experimental evidence for a minimalist account of English resumptive pronouns. *Cognition* 70: B15–B24.
- McElree, B. (1996). Accessing short-term memory with semantic and phonological information, a time-course analysis. *Memory & Cognition* 24(2): 173–187.
- McElree, B. (1998). Attended and nonattended states in working memory, accessing categorized structures. *Journal of Memory & Language* 38: 225–252.
- McElree, B. (2000). Sentence comprehension is mediated by content-addressable memory. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 29(2): 111–123.
- McElree, B. (2001). Working memory and focal attention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory & Cognition* 27: 817–835.
- McElree, B. (2006). Accessing Recent Events. *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation* 46(6): 155–200.
- McElree, B., and Doshier, B. A. (1989). Serial position and set size in short-term memory: Time course of recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 118: 346–373.
- McElree, B., Foraker, S., and Dyer, L. (2003). Memory structures that subserve sentence comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language* 48: 67–91.
- McElree, B., and Griffith, T. (1995). Syntactic and thematic processing in sentence comprehension: Evidence for a temporal dissociation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 21: 134–157.
- McElree, B., and Griffith, T. (1998). Structural and lexical constraints on filling gaps during sentence processing: A time-course analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 24: 432–460.
- McKinnon, R., and Osterhout, L. (1996). Event-related potentials and sentence processing: Evidence for the status of constraints on movement phenomena. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 11: 495–523.
- McKoon, G., and Ratcliff, R. (1994). Sentential context and on-line lexical decision tasks. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Language, Memory and Cognition* 20: 1239–1243.
- McMahon, L. E. (1963). *Grammatical analysis as part of understanding a sentence*. PhD thesis, Harvard University.
- Mehler, J. (1963). Some effects of grammatical transformations on the recall of English sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 2(4): 346–351.
- Merchant, J. (2001). *The Syntax of Silence*. Oxford University Press.
- Merchant, J. (2009a). Phrasal and clausal comparatives in Greek and the abstractness of syntax. *Journal of Greek linguistics* 9: 134–164.
- Merchant, J. (2009b). Islands and Lf-Movement in Greek Sluicing. *Journal of Greek Linguistics* 1: 39–62.
- Michel, D. (2011). Individual differences inform the syntax-processing island debate. Talk at *Islands in Contemporary Linguistic Theory*. Vitoria-Gasteiz, University of the Basque Country.
- Miller, G. A. (1956). The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychological Review* 63: 81–97.
- Miller, G. A., and Chomsky, N. (1963). Finitary models of language users. In R. Luce, R. Bush, and E. Galanter (eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Psychology*, Volume 2. New York: Wiley and Sons.
- Milsark, G. L. (1976). *Existential sentences in English*. Doctoral dissertation, MIT.

- Mintz, T. (2003). Frequent frames as a cue for grammatical categories in child directed speech. *Cognition* 90: 91–117.
- Mintz, T. (2006). Finding the verbs: Distributional cues to categories available to young learners. In K. Hirsh-Pasek and R.M. Golinkoff (eds.), *Action Meets Word: How children learn verbs*, pp. 31–63. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Miyake, A., and Shah, P. (1999). *Models of Working Memory: Mechanisms of active maintenance and executive control*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Miyamoto, E. T., and Nakamura, M. (2003). Subject/object asymmetries in the processing of relative clauses in Japanese. In G. Garding and M. Tsujimura (eds.), *Proceedings of the 22nd West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*, pp. 342–355. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Miyamoto, E. T., and Takahashi, S. (2004). Filler–gap dependencies in the processing of scrambling in Japanese. *Language and Linguistics* 5: 153–166.
- Montalbetti, M. (1984). *After binding*. PhD dissertation, MIT.
- Morgan, J. L. (1975). Some interactions of syntax and pragmatics. In P. Gole and J. L. Morgan (eds.), *Syntax and Semantics: Speech Acts*, Volume 3, pp. 289–303. New York: Academic Press.
- Müller, G. (2010). On deriving CED effects from the PIC. *Linguistic Inquiry* 41(1): 35–82.
- Murdock, B. B. (1982). A theory for the storage and retrieval of item and associative information. *Psychological Review* 89: 609–626.
- Musolino, J., and Lidz, J. (2006). Why children aren't universally successful with quantification. *Linguistics* 44: 817–852.
- Myers, J. (2006). An experiment in minimalist experimental syntax. Unpublished MS, National Chung Cheng University.
- Nairne, J. (2002). The myth of the encoding-retrieval match. *Memory* 10(5–6): 389–95.
- Nakano, Y., Felser, C., and Clahsen, H. (2002). Antecedent priming at trace positions in Japanese long-distance scrambling. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 31: 531–571.
- Neville, H. J., Nicol, J., Barss, A., Forster, K. I., and Garrett, M. F. (1991). Syntactically based sentence processing classes: Evidence from event-related brain potentials. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 3: 151–165.
- Newmeyer, F. (2004). Against a parameter-setting approach to language variation. *Linguistic Variation Yearbook* 4: 181–234.
- Nicol, J. L., Fodor, J. D., and Swinney, D. (1994). Using cross-modal lexical decision tasks to investigate sentence processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition* 20: 1229–38.
- Nicol, J., and Swinney, D. (1989). The role of structure in coreference assignment during sentence comprehension. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 18: 5–19.
- Nieuwland, M., and Kuperberg, G. (2008). When the truth is not too hard to handle: An event-related potential study on the pragmatics of negation. *Psychological Science* 19: 1213–1218.
- Nishigauchi, T. (1990). *Quantification in the Theory of Grammar*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Niyogi, P., and Berwick, R. (1996). A language learning model for finite parameter spaces. *Cognition* 61: 161–193.
- Nordgaard, T. (1985). *Word order, binding, and the empty category principle*. Cand. Philol. thesis, University of Trondheim.

- Oberauer, K. (2002). Access to information in working memory: Exploring the focus of attention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition* 28: 411–421.
- Oberauer, K. (2006). Is the focus of attention in working memory expanded through practice? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition* 32: 197–214.
- O'Bryan, E. (2003). *Event structure in language comprehension*. PhD dissertation, University of Arizona.
- Oehrle, R. (1976). *The grammatical status of the English dative alternation*. PhD dissertation, MIT.
- Omaki, A. (2010). *Commitment and flexibility in the developing parser*. PhD dissertation, University of Maryland.
- Omaki, A., Davidson White, I., Goro, T., Lidz, J., and Phillips, C. (2012). No fear of commitment: Children's incremental interpretation in English and Japanese *wh*-questions. Unpublished MS.
- Omaki, A., Lau, E., Davidson White, I., and Phillips, C. (2013). Hyper-active gap filling, pre-verbal object gap creation in English filler-gap dependency processing. Unpublished MS.
- Omaki, A., and Nakao, C. (2010). Does English resumption really help to repair island violations? *Snippets* 21: 11–12.
- Omaki, A., and Schulz, B. (2011). Filler-gap dependencies and island constraints in second language sentence processing. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition* 33: 563–588.
- Öztekin, I., McElree, B., Staresina, B. P., and Davachi, L. (2008). Working memory retrieval: Contributions of left prefrontal cortex, left posterior parietal cortex and hippocampus. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 138: 64–87.
- Pashler, H. (1994). Dual-task interference in simple tasks: Data and theory. *Psychological Bulletin* 116: 220–244.
- Pearl, L., Goldwater, S., and Steyvers, M. (2011). Online learning mechanisms for Bayesian models of word segmentation. *Research on Language and Computation*, special issue on computational models of language acquisition.
- Pearl, L., and Lidz, J. (2009). When domain-general learning fails and when it succeeds: Identifying the contribution of domain-specificity. *Language Learning and Development* 5(4): 235–265.
- Pearl, L., and Mis, B. (2011). How far can indirect evidence take us? Anaphoric One revisited. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, pp. 879–884. Boston, MA: Cognitive Science Society.
- Pearl, L., and Mis, B. (2013). What indirect evidence can tell us about universal grammar: Anaphoric one revisited. *Unpublished MS*, University of California, Irvine.
- Pearl, L., and Sprouse, J. (2013). Syntactic islands and learning biases: Combining experimental syntax and computational modeling to investigate the language acquisition problem. *Language Acquisition* 20: 23–68.
- Pelucchi, B., Hay, J., and Saffran, J. (2009a). Statistical learning in natural language by 8-month-old infants. *Child Development* 80(3): 674–685.
- Pelucchi, B., Hay, J., and Saffran, J. (2009b). Learning in reverse: Eight-month-old infants track backward transitional probabilities. *Cognition* 113(2): 244–247.

- Perfors, A., Tenenbaum, J., and Regier, T. (2011). The learnability of abstract syntactic principles. *Cognition* 118: 306–338.
- Perlmutter, D. (1971). *Deep and Surface Structure Constraints in Syntax*. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- Pesetsky, D. (1982). *Paths and categories*. PhD dissertation, MIT.
- Pesetsky, D. (1987). Wh-in-situ: movement and unselective binding. In E. Reuland and A. ter Meulen (eds.), *The Representation of (In)definiteness*, pp. 98–129. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Pesetsky, D. (2000). *Phrasal Movement and its Kin*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Pesetsky, D., and Torrego, E. (2001). T-to-C movement: Causes and consequences. In M. Kenstowicz (ed.), *Ken Hale: A life in language*, pp. 355–426. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Phillips, C. (1996). *Order and structure*. PhD dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Phillips, C. (2006). The real-time status of island phenomena. *Language* 82: 795–823.
- Phillips, C. (2013). Some arguments and non-arguments for reductionist accounts of syntactic phenomena. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 28: 156–187.
- Phillips, C., Kazanina, N., and Abada, S. (2005). ERP effects of the processing of syntactic long-distance dependencies. *Cognitive Brain Research* 22: 407–428.
- Phillips, C., and Lewis, S. (2013). Derivational order in syntax: Evidence and architectural consequences. *Studies in Linguistics* 6: 11–47.
- Phillips, C., and Wagers, M. (2007). Relating structure and time in linguistics and psycholinguistics. In G. Gaskell (ed.), *Oxford Handbook of Psycholinguistics*, pp. 739–756. Oxford University Press.
- Phillips, C., Wagers, M. W., and Lau, E. F. (2011). Grammatical illusions and selective fallibility in real-time language comprehension. In J. Runner (ed.), *Syntax and Semantics 37: Experiments at the Interfaces*, pp. 153–186. Bingley, UK: Emerald.
- Pickering, M. J., Barton, S., and Shillcock, R. (1994). Unbounded dependencies, island constraints, and processing complexity. In C. Clifton, Jr., L. Frazier, and K. Rayner (eds.), *Perspectives on Sentence Processing*, pp. 199–224. London: Erlbaum.
- Pickering, M. J., and Traxler, M. J. (2001). Strategies for processing unbounded dependencies, lexical information and verb-argument assignment. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 27: 1401–10.
- Pickering, M. J., and Traxler, M. J. (2003). Evidence against the use of subcategorisation frequency in the processing of unbounded dependencies. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 18: 469–503.
- Pinheiro, J. C., and Bates, D. M. (2000). *Mixed-effects models in S and S-PLUS*. New York: Springer.
- Platzack, C. (1999). Satsfläta med Relativsats. In I. Haskå and C. Sandqvist (eds.), *Alla tiders språk: En vänskrift till Gertrud Pettersson*, pp. 189–199. Lund: Institution för nordiska språk, Lunds universitet.
- Polinsky, M. (1998). A non-syntactic account of some asymmetries in the double object construction. In J.-P. Koenig (ed.), *Conceptual Structure and Language: Bridging the gap*, pp. 403–422. Stanford: CSLI.
- Polinsky, M., and Potsdam, E. (in press). Diagnosing covert A-movement. In L. Cheng and N. Corver (eds.), *Diagnostics in Syntax*.

- Polinsky, M., Gomez-Gallo, C., Graff, P., and Kravtchenko, E. (2012). Subject preference and ergativity. *Lingua* 122(3): 267–277.
- Pollack, I. (1953). The assimilation of sequentially encoded information. *American Journal of Psychology* 66: 421–435.
- Pollard, C., and Sag, I. A. (1994). *Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar*. University of Chicago Press.
- Polyn, S. M., Norman, K. A., and Kahana, M. J. (2009). A context maintenance and retrieval model of organizational processes in free recall. *Psychological Review* 116 (1): 129–156.
- Postal, P. M. (1994). Contrasting extraction types. *Journal of Linguistics* 30: 159–186.
- Postal, P. (1998). *Three Investigations of Extraction*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Potsdam, E. and Polinsky, M. (2011). Against covert A-movement in Russian unaccusatives. *Linguistic Inquiry* 42: 345–355.
- Poulsen, M. (2008). Acceptability and processing of long-distance dependencies in Danish. *Nordic Journal of Linguistics* 31: 73–107.
- Prince, A., and Smolensky, P. (1993). *Optimality Theory: Constraint interaction in generative grammar*. Technical Report #2, Center for Cognitive Science, Rutgers University.
- Prince, E. F. (1981). Towards a taxonomy of given-new information. In P. Cole (ed.), *Radical Pragmatics*, pp. 223–255. New York: Academic Press.
- Prince, E. (1990). Syntax and discourse: A look at resumptive pronouns. In *Berkeley Linguistic Society: Proceedings of the 16th Annual Meeting*, pp. 482–497.
- Prince, E. F. (1992). The ZPG letter: Subjects, definiteness, and information status. In S. A. Thompson and W. C. Mann (eds.), *Discourse Description: Diverse analyses of a fund raising text*, pp. 295–325. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Prince, E. (1998). On the limits of syntax, with reference to Left-Dislocation and Topicalization. In P. Culicover and L. McNally (eds.), *Syntax and Semantics, 29: The Limits of Syntax*, pp. 281–302. New York: Academic Press.
- Pritchett, B. L. (1991a). Subjacency in a principle-based parser. In R. C. Berwick, S. P. Abney, and C. Tenny (eds.), *Principle-based Parsing: Computation and psycholinguistics*, pp. 301–345. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Pritchett, B. L. (1991b). Head position and parsing ambiguity. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 20(3): 251–270.
- Pritchett, B. L. (1992). *Grammatical Competence and Parsing Performance*. The University of Chicago Press.
- Pullum, G. K., and Scholz, B. (2002). Empirical assessment of stimulus poverty arguments. *The Linguistic Review* 19: 9–50.
- Radó, J. (1999). Some effects of discourse salience on gap-filling. Poster presented at the 12th Annual CUNY Conference on Human Sentence Processing.
- Rafel, J. (2000). From complementizer to preposition. *Probus* 12: 67–92.
- Raposo, E., and Uriagereka, J. (1990). Long-distance Case assignment. *Linguistic Inquiry* 21: 505–538.
- Reali, F., and Christiansen, M. (2005). Uncovering the statistical richness of the stimulus: Structure dependence and indirect statistical evidence. *Cognitive Science* 29: 1007–1028.
- Redington, M., Chater, N., and Finch, S. (1998). Distributional information: A powerful cue for acquiring syntactic categories. *Cognitive Science* 22: 425–469.

- Regier, T., and Gahl, S. (2004). Learning the unlearnable: The role of missing evidence. *Cognition* 93: 147–155.
- Reinhart, T. (1997). Quantifier scope: How labor is divided between QR and choice functions. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 20: 335–397.
- Richards, N. (2001). *Movement in Language: Interactions and architectures*. Oxford University Press.
- Rizzi, L. (1978). Violations of the wh-island condition in Italian and the subjacency condition. *Montreal Working Papers in Linguistics*.
- Rizzi, L., ed. (1982a). *Issues in Italian Syntax*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Rizzi, L. (1982b). Violations of the wh-island constraint and the subjacency condition. In L. Rizzi (ed.), *Issues in Italian Syntax*, pp. 49–76. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Rizzi, L. (1990). *Relativized Minimality*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Rizzi, L. (1996). Residual verb second and the wh-criterion. In A. Belletti and L. Rizzi (eds.), *Parameters and Functional Heads: Essays in comparative syntax*, pp. 63–90. Oxford University Press.
- Rizzi, L. (1997). The fine structure of the left periphery. In L. Haegeman (ed.), *Elements of Grammar: Handbook of generative syntax*, pp. 281–337. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Rizzi, L. (2003). Relativized minimality effects. In M. Baltin and C. Collins (eds.), *Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Theory*, pp. 89–110. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Rizzi, L. (2004). Locality and left periphery. In A. Belletti (ed.), *Structures and Beyond: The cartography of syntactic structures*, Volume 3, pp. 223–251. Oxford University Press.
- Rizzi, L. (2006). On the form of chains: Criterial positions and ECP effects. In L. L.-S. Cheng and N. Corver (eds.), *Wh-movement: Moving on*, pp. 97–133. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Rizzi, L. (2007). On some properties of criterial freezing. In V. Moscati (ed.), *CISCL Working Papers on Language and Cognition, 1: StiL Studies in Linguistics*, pp. 145–158. University of Siena.
- Rizzi, L., and Shlonsky, U. (2007). Strategies of subject extraction. In H.-M. Gärtner and U. Sauerland (eds.), *Interfaces + Recursion = Language? Chomsky's minimalism and the view from syntax-semantics*, pp. 115–160. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Roberts, I., and Holmberg, A. (2010). Introduction: Parameters in minimalist theory. In T. Biberauer, A. Holmberg, I. Roberts, and M. Sheehan (eds.), *Parametric Variation: Null subjects in minimalist theory*, pp. 1–58. Cambridge University Press.
- Roberts, R., and Gibson, E. (2002). Individual differences in sentence memory. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 31: 573–598.
- Rohde, D. (2003). Linger: a flexible platform for language processing experiments, version 2.94. <http://tedlab.mit.edu/~dr/Linger/>
- Rooryck, J. (1992). Negative and factive islands revisited. *Journal of Linguistics* 28: 343–373.
- Romero, M. (1998). *Focus and reconstruction effects in wh-phrases*. PhD Dissertation, University of Massachusetts at Amherst.
- Romero, M. (2003). Correlate Restriction and Definiteness Effect in Ellipsis. In K. Schwabe and S. Winkler (eds.), *The Interfaces: Deriving and interpreting omitted structures*, pp. 263–300. Amsterdam: Benjamins.

- Rosengren, I. (2002). EPP: A syntactic device in the service of semantics. *Studia Linguistica* 56(2): 145–190.
- Ross, B. (2010). *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation: Advances in research and theory*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Ross, J. R. (1967). *Constraints on Variables in Syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT dissertation.
- Ross, J. R. (1969). Guess Who? In R. I. Binnick, A. Davison, G. M. Green, and J. L. Morgan (eds.), *Papers from the 5th Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*, pp. 252–286. Chicago Linguistic Society.
- Ross, J. R. (1984). Inner islands, in *Proceedings of the 10th Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*, pp. 258–265. University of California, Berkeley.
- Ross, J. R. (1987). Islands and syntactic prototypes. In B. Need, E. Schiller, and A. Bosch (eds.), *Papers from the 23rd Annual Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society: The General Session I*, pp. 309–320.
- Ruchkin, D. S., Johnson, R., Canoune, H., and Ritter, W. (1990). Short-term memory storage and retention: An event-related brain potential study. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* 76: 419–439.
- Rudin, C. (1988). On multiple questions and multiple *wh*-fronting. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 6: 445–501.
- Rullmann, H. (1995). *Maximality in the semantics of WH-constructions*. PhD thesis, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Rumsfeld, D. H. (Presenter). (2002, February 12). *Department of Defense News Briefing [TV Transcript]*. Washington, D.C., Department of Defense. Retrieved from www.defense.gov/transcripts/transcript.aspx?transcriptid=2636.
- Runner, J. T., Sussman, R., and Tanenhaus, M. (2003). Assignment of reference to reflexives and pronouns in picture noun phrases: evidence from eye movements. *Cognition* 81: 1–13.
- Saah, K., and Goodluck, H. (1995). Island effects in parsing and grammar: Evidence from Akan. *Linguistic Review* 12: 381–409.
- Saffran, J., Aslin, R., and Newport, E. (1996). Statistical learning by 8-month-old infants. *Science* 274: 1926–1928.
- Saffran, J. R., Hauser, M., Seibel, R. L., Kapfhamer, J., Tsao, F., and Cushman, F. (2008). Grammatical pattern learning by infants and cotton-top tamarin monkeys. *Cognition* 107: 479–500.
- Sag, I. A. (2010). English filler-gap constructions. *Language* 86: 486–545.
- Sag, I. A., Hofmeister, P., and Snider, N. (2007). Processing complexity in Subjacency violations: The complex noun phrase constraint. In M. Elliott, J. Kirby, O. Sawada, E. Staraki, and S. Yoon (eds.), *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*, pp. 215–229. University of Chicago.
- Sag, I. A., Wasow, T., and Bender, E. M. (2003). *Syntactic theory: A formal introduction*. Number 152 in CSLI Lecture Notes. CSLI Publications.
- Sagae, K., Davis, E., Lavie, A., MacWhinney, B., and Wintner, S. (2010). Morphosyntactic annotation of CHILDES transcript. *Journal of Child Language* 37(3): 705–729.
- Saito, M. (1985). *Some asymmetries in Japanese and their theoretical implications*. PhD dissertation, MIT.

- Sakai, H. (1994). Complex NP constraint and case conversions in Japanese. In M. Nakamura (ed.), *Current Topics in English and Japanese*, pp. 179–203. Tokyo: Hituzi Syobo.
- Sakas, W. G., and Fodor, J. D. (2001). The structural triggers learner. In S. Bertolo (ed.), *Language Acquisition and Learnability*, pp. 172–233. Cambridge University Press.
- Salzmann, M. (2006). *Resumptive Prolepsis: A study in indirect A'-dependencies*. Utrecht: LOT.
- Sanford, A. J., and Sturt, P. (2002). Depth of processing in language comprehension: Not noticing the evidence. *Trends in Cognitive Science* 6(9): 382–386.
- Schlesewsky, M., Fanselow, G., Kliegl, R., and Krems, J. (2000). The subject preference in the processing of locally ambiguous WH-questions in German. In B. Hemforth and L. Konieczny (eds.), *German Sentence Processing*, pp. 65–93. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Schneider, D., and Phillips, C. (2001). Grammatical search and reanalysis. *Journal of Memory and Language* 45: 308–336.
- Schulte im Walde, S. (1998). *Automatic semantic classification of verbs according to their alternation behavior*. Diplomarbeit, University of Stuttgart.
- Schütze, C. (1996). *The Empirical Base of linguistics: Grammaticality judgments and linguistic methodology*. The University of Chicago Press.
- Schwarz, F. (2007). Processing presupposed content. *Journal of Semantics* 24: 373–416.
- Seidenberg, M. S., and MacDonald, M. C. (1999). A probabilistic constraints approach to language acquisition and processing. *Cognitive Science* 23: 569–588.
- Sekerina, I. A. (2003). Scrambling and processing, dependencies, complexities and constraints. In S. Karimi (ed.), *Word Order and Scrambling*, pp. 301–324. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishers.
- Sells, P. (1984). *Syntax and semantics of resumptive pronouns*. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts dissertation.
- Sells, P. (1987). Binding resumptive pronouns. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 10: 261–298.
- Sharvit, Y. (1999). Resumptive pronouns in relative clauses. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 17: 587–612.
- Shieber, S. M., Pereira, F. C. N., and Dalrymple, M. (1999). Interaction of scope and ellipsis. In Shalom Lappin and Elabbas Benmamoun (eds.), *Fragments: Studies in Ellipsis and Gapping*, pp. 8–31. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Shields, R. (2005). Russian adverbs and Relativized Minimality. In R. Shields (ed.), *LSO (Linguistics Student Organization) Working Papers in Linguistics 5: Proceedings of the WIGL (Workshop in Generative Linguistics) 2005*, pp. 152–167.
- Shlonsky, U. (1992). Resumptive pronouns as last resort. *Linguistic Inquiry* 23: 443–468.
- Slobin, D.I. (1966). Grammatical transformations and sentence comprehension in childhood and adulthood. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 5(3): 219–227.
- Smolensky, P., and Legendre, G. (2006). *The Harmonic Mind*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Snedeker, J., and Trueswell, J. C. (2004). The developing constraints on parsing decisions: The role of lexical-biases and referential scenes in child and adult sentence processing. *Cognitive Psychology* 49: 238–299.

- Snyder, W. (2000). An experimental investigation of syntactic satiation effects. *Linguistic Inquiry* 31: 575–582.
- Sohn, H. (1980). Theme prominence in Korean. *Korean Linguistics* 2: 2–19.
- Solan, Z., Horn, D., Ruppin, E., and Edelman, S. (2005). Unsupervised learning of natural languages. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 102: 11629–11634.
- Sorace, A. (2000). Gradients in auxiliary selection with intransitive verbs. *Language* 76: 859–890.
- Sperber, D., and Wilson, D. (1986). *Relevance: Communication and cognition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Sperber, D., and Wilson, D. (1995). *Relevance: Communication and cognition*. 2nd edn. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Sportiche, D. (1981). Bounding nodes in French. *The Linguistic Review* 1: 219–246.
- Sprouse, J. (2007a). *A program for experimental syntax: Finding the relationship between acceptability and grammatical knowledge*. PhD dissertation, University of Maryland.
- Sprouse, J. (2007b). Continuous acceptability, categorical grammaticality, and experimental syntax. *Biolinguistics* 1: 118–129.
- Sprouse, J. (2008). The differential sensitivity of acceptability judgments to processing effects. *Linguistic Inquiry* 39: 686–694.
- Sprouse, J. (2009). Revisiting satiation: Evidence for a response equalization strategy. *Linguistic Inquiry* 40: 329–341.
- Sprouse, J. (2011). A test of the cognitive assumptions of magnitude estimation: Commutativity does not hold for acceptability judgments. *Language* 87: 274–288.
- Sprouse, J., and Almeida, D. (2012). Power in acceptability judgment experiments and the reliability of data in syntax. Unpublished MS, University of California Irvine and New York University Abu Dhabi.
- Sprouse, J., and Almeida, D. (2013). The role of experimental syntax in an integrated cognitive science of language. In K. Grohmann and C. Boeckx (eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Biolinguistics*, pp. 181–202. Cambridge University Press.
- Sprouse, J., Fukuda, S., Ono, H., and Kluender, R. (2011). Reverse island effects and the backward search for a licenser in multiple wh- questions. *Syntax* 14: 179–203.
- Sprouse, J., Wagers, M., and Phillips, C. (2012). A test of the relation between working memory capacity and syntactic island effects. *Language* 88: 82–123.
- Staab, J. (2007). *Negation in context: Electrophysiological and behavioral investigations of negation effects in discourse processing*. PhD thesis, University of California, San Diego/San Diego State University.
- Stabler, E. P. (1994). The finite connectivity of linguistic structures. In C. Clifton, Jr., L. Frazier, and K. Rayner (eds.), *Perspectives on Sentence Processing*, pp. 303–336. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Staub, A. (2007a). The parser doesn't ignore intransitivity, after all. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 33: 550–569.
- Staub, A. (2007b). The return of the repressed: Abandoned parses facilitate syntactic reanalysis. *Journal of Memory and Language* 57(2): 299–323.
- Staub Casasanto, L., Hofmeister, P., and Sag, I. A. (2010). Understanding acceptability judgments: Distinguishing the effects of grammar and processing on acceptability judgments. In S. Ohlsson and R. Catrambone (eds.), *Proceedings of the 32nd*

- Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, pp. 224–229. Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society.
- Steedman, M. (2000). *The Syntactic Process*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Stepanov, A. (2001a). *Cyclic domains in syntactic theory*. PhD dissertation, University of Connecticut.
- Stepanov, A. (2001b). Late adjunction and Minimalist phrase structure. *Syntax* 4: 94–125.
- Stepanov, A. (2007). The end of CED: Minimalism and extraction domains. *Syntax* 10: 80–126.
- Sternefeld, W. (1985). Deutsch ohne grammatische Funktionen: ein Beitrag zur Rektions und Bindungstheorie. *Linguistische Berichte* 99: 394–439.
- Stevens, S. S. (1957). On the psychophysical law. *Psychological Review* 64: 153–181.
- Stevens, S. S. (1975). *Psychophysics: Introduction to its Perceptual, Neural, and Social Prospects*. New York: John Wiley.
- Stevenson, B. (1999). Case study in information processing: Sentence processing. In J. Wiles and T. Dartnall (eds.), *Perspectives on Cognitive Science: Theories, experiments, and foundations*, pp. 277–292. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Stowe, L. A. (1986). Parsing WH-constructions: Evidence for on-line gap location. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 3: 227–245.
- Stowe, L. A. (1992). The processing implementation of syntactic constraints: The sentence matching debate. In H. Goodluck and M. Rochemont (eds.), *Island Constraints: Theory, acquisition, and processing*, pp. 419–443. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Stowe, L. A., Tanenhaus, M. K., and Carlson, G. M. (1991). Filling gaps on-line, Use of lexical and semantic information in sentence processing. *Language and Speech*, 34: 319–334.
- Strawson, P. (1964). Identifying reference and truth values. *Theoria* 30: 96–118.
- Stromswold, K., Caplan, D., Alpert, N., and Rauch, S. (1996). Localization of syntactic comprehension by positron emission tomography. *Brain and Language* 52: 452–473.
- Sturgeon, A., Polinsky, M., Gallo, C. G., Kravtchenko, E., Medova, L., and Koula, V. (2010). Subject islands in Czech. Paper presented at FASL-XIX, University of Maryland, May 2010.
- Sturt, P. (2003). The time-course of the application of binding constraints in reference resolution. *Journal of Memory and Language* 48: 542–562.
- Sturt, P., Pickering, M. J., Scheepers, C., and Crocker, M. W. (2001). The preservation of structure in language comprehension: Is reanalysis the last resort? *Journal of Memory and Language* 45(2): 283–307.
- Suppes, P. 1974. The semantics of children's language. *American Psychologist* 29: 103–114.
- Sussman, R. S., and Sedivy, J. C. (2003). The time-course of processing syntactic dependencies: Evidence from eye-movements. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 18: 143–163.
- Swinney, D., Ford, M., Frauenfelder, U., and Bresnan, J. (1988). On the temporal course of gap-filling and antecedent assignment (during sentence comprehension). In B. Grosz, R. Kaplan, M. Macken, and I. Sag (eds.), *Language and Structure Processing*, pp. 12–24. Stanford, CA: CSLI.

- Szabolcsi, A. (2006). Strong vs. weak islands. In M. Everaet, H. van Riemsdijk, R. Goedemans, and B. Hollebrandse (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Syntax*, Volume IV, pp. 479–531. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Szabolcsi, A., and den Dikken, M. (1999). Islands. *GLOT International* 4: 3–8.
- Szabolcsi, A., and den Dikken, M. (2003). Islands. In L. Cheng and R. Sybesma (eds.), *The Second Glot International State-of-the Article Book*, pp. 213–240. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Szabolcsi, A., and Zwarts, F. (1993). Weak islands and an algebraic semantics of scope taking. *Natural Language Semantics* 1: 235–284.
- Takahashi, D. (1994). *Minimality of movement*. PhD Dissertation, University of Connecticut.
- Takami, K.-I. (1989). Preposition stranding: Arguments against syntactic analyses and an alternative functional explanation. *Lingua* 76: 299–335.
- Tanenhaus, M. K., and Trueswell, J. C. (1995). Sentence comprehension. In J. L. Miller and P. D. Eimas (eds.), *Handbook of Perception and Cognition: Speech, language, and communication*, pp. 217–262. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Tanenhaus, M. K., Stowe, L. A., and Carlson, G. N. (1985). The interaction of lexical expectation and pragmatics in parsing filler-gap constructions. *Proceedings of the Seventh Annual Cognitive Science Society Meetings*, pp. 361–365.
- Taraldsen, K. T. (1982). Extraction from relative clauses in Norwegian. In E. Engdahl and E. Ejerhed (eds.), *Readings on Unbounded Dependencies in Scandinavian Languages*, pp. 205–221. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Tenenbaum, J., and Griffiths, T. (2001). Generalization, similarity and Bayesian inference. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 24: 629–640.
- Testelefs, J. (2006). Review of S. Karimi, ed. On scrambling. *Voprosy jazykoznanija* 4: 121–128.
- Thompson, S. A. (1990). Information flow and ‘dative shift’ in English. In J. Edmondson, K. Feagin, and P. Mühlhäusler (eds.), *Development and Diversity: Linguistic variation across time and space*, pp. 239–253. Dallas: Summer Institute of Linguistics.
- Tokimoto, S. (2009). Island phenomenon in Japanese and working memory: Syntactic constraints independent from working memory constraints. Poster presented at the 22nd annual CUNY Sentence Processing Conference, Davis, CA.
- Torrego, E. (1984). On inversion in Spanish and some of its effects. *Linguistic Inquiry* 15: 103–129.
- Trabasso, T., Rollins, H., and Shaughnessy, E. (1971). Storage and verification stages in processing concepts. *Cognitive Psychology* 2: 239–289.
- Traxler, M. J., Morris, R. K., and Seely, R. E. (2002). Processing subject and object relative clauses: evidence from eye movements. *Journal of Memory and Language* 47: 69–90.
- Traxler, M. J., and Pickering, M. J. (1996). Plausibility and the processing of unbounded dependencies: An eye-tracking study. *Journal of Memory and Language* 35: 454–475.
- Traxler, M. J., Williams, R. S., Blozis, S. A., and Morris, R. K. (2005). Working memory, animacy, and verb class in the processing of relative clauses. *Journal of Memory and Language* 53: 204–224.

- Tribus, M. (1961). *Thermodynamics and Thermostatistics: An Introduction to Energy, Information and States of Matter, with Engineering Applications*. New York: D. Van Nostrand Company Inc.
- Trueswell, J. C., Tanenhaus, M. K., and Kello, C. (1993). Verb-specific constraints in sentence processing: Separating effects of lexical preference from garden-paths. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 19: 528.
- Trueswell, J. C., Sekerina, I., Hill, N. M., and Logrip, M. L. (1999). The kindergarten path effect: Studying on-line sentence processing in young children. *Cognition* 73: 89–134.
- Truswell, R. (2007). Extraction from adjuncts and the structure of events. *Lingua* 117: 1355–1377.
- Truswell, R. (2011). *Events, Phrases, and Questions*. Oxford University Press.
- Tsai, W.-T. (1994). On nominal islands and LF extraction in Chinese. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 12: 121–175.
- Tsai, W.-T. D. (1997). On the absence of island effects. *Tsing Hua Journal of Chinese Studies* 27: 125–149.
- Tsimpli, I.-M. (1999). Null operators, clitics and identification: A comparison between Greek and English. In A. Alexiadou, G. Horrocks, and M. Stavrou (eds.), *Studies in Greek Syntax*, Volume 43 of *Studies in Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*, pp. 241–262. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Tulving, E. (1983). *Elements of Episodic Memory*. Oxford University Press.
- Tulving, E., and Thomson, D. M. (1973). Encoding specificity and retrieval processes in episodic memory. *Psychological Review* 80: 352–373.
- Ueno, M., and Garnsey, S. M. (2008). An ERP study of the processing of subject and object relative clauses in Japanese. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 23: 646–688.
- Uriagereka, J. (1999). Multiple Spell-out. In S. Epstein and N. Hornstein (eds.), *Working Minimalism*, pp. 251–282. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Valian, V. (1991). Syntactic subjects in the early speech of American and Italian children. *Cognition* 40: 21–81.
- Vallabha, G. K., McClelland, J. L., Pons, F., Werker, J. F., and Amano, S. (2007). Unsupervised learning of vowel categories from infant-directed speech. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104: 13273–13278.
- Vallduví, E. (1993). *Information Packaging: A survey*. HCRC/RP 44. Edinburgh: Human Communication Research Center (HCRC).
- Van Dyke, J. A. (2007). Interference effects from grammatically unavailable constituents during sentence processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 33(2): 407–30.
- Van Dyke, J. A., and Lewis, R. L. (2003). Distinguishing effects of structure and decay on attachment and repair: A retrieval interference theory of recovery from misanalyzed ambiguities. *Journal of Memory and Language* 49(3): 285–316.
- Van Dyke, J. A. and McElree, B. (2006). Retrieval interference in sentence processing. *Journal of Memory and Language* 55(2): 157–166.
- van Gompel, R., and Liversedge, S. (2003). The influence of morphological information on cataphoric pronoun assignment. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 29: 128–139.

- van Gompel, R. P. G. V., Pickering, M. J., and Traxler, M. J. (2001). Reanalysis in sentence processing: Evidence against current constraint-based and two-stage models. *Journal of Memory and Language* 45: 225–258.
- Van Hoek, K. (1995). Conceptual reference points: A Cognitive Grammar account of pronominal anaphora constraints. *Language* 71: 310–340.
- Van Valin, R. D. Jr. (1998). The acquisition of wh-questions and the mechanisms of language acquisition. In M. Tomasello (ed.), *The New Psychology of Language: Cognitive and functional approaches to language structure*, pp. 221–249. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Vasishth, S., Brüßow, S., Lewis, R., and Drenhaus, H. (2008). Processing polarity: How the ungrammatical intrudes on the grammatical. *Cognitive Science* 32: 685–712.
- Vasishth, S., and Lewis, R. L. (2005). An activation-based model of sentence processing as skilled memory retrieval. *Cognitive Sciences* 29: 375–419.
- Vasishth, S., Lewis, R., Kern, S., and Suckow, K. (2010). Short-term forgetting in sentence comprehension: Crosslinguistic evidence from verb-final structures. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 25(4): 533–567.
- Verhagen, A. (2006). On subjectivity and “long distance Wh-movement.” In A. Athanasiadou, C. Canakis, and B. Cornillie, (eds.), *Subjectification: Various paths to subjectivity*, pp. 323–346. New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Wagers, M. (2008). *The structure of memory meets memory for structure in linguistic cognition*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland.
- Wagers, M. W., Lau, E. F., and Phillips, C. (2009). Agreement attraction in comprehension: Representations and processes. *Journal of Memory and Language* 61: 206–237.
- Wagers, M., and McElree, B. (2011). Memory for linguistic features, evidence from the dynamics of agreement. Unpublished MS, University of California Santa Cruz and New York University.
- Wagers, M. W., and Phillips, C. (2009). Multiple dependencies and the role of the grammar in real-time comprehension. *Journal of Linguistics* 45: 395–433.
- Wagers, M. W., and Phillips, C. (2012). Going the distance: memory and decision making in active dependency construction. Unpublished MS, University of California Santa Cruz and University of Maryland.
- Wang, H., and Mintz, T. (2008). A dynamic learning model for categorizing words using frames. In H. Chan, H. Jacob, and E. Kapia (eds.), *BUCLD 32 Proceedings*, pp. 525–536. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Wanner, E., and Maratsos, M. (1978). An ATN approach to comprehension. In M. Halle, J. Bresnan, and G. A. Miller (eds.), *Linguistic Theory and Psychological Reality*, pp. 119–161. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Warren, T., and Gibson, E. (2002). The influence of referential processing on sentence complexity. *Cognition* 85: 79–112.
- Wason, P. C. (1961). Response to affirmative and negative binary statements. *British Journal of Psychology* 52: 133–142.
- Wason, P. C. (1965). The contexts of plausible denial. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 4: 7–11.
- Wasow, T. (1972). *Anaphoric Relations in English*. Cambridge, MA: MIT dissertation.
- Wasow, T. (2002). *Postverbal Behavior*. Stanford, CA: Center for Study of Language and Information.

- Wasow, T., and Arnold, J. (2005). *Intuitions in linguistic argumentation*. *Lingua* 115: 1481–1496.
- Webelhuth, G. (1989). *Syntactic Saturation Phenomena and the Modern Germanic Languages*. University of Massachusetts, GLSA.
- Weinberg, A. (1992). Parameters in the theory of sentence processing: Minimal commitment theory goes east. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 22(3): 339–364.
- Wellwood, A., Pancheva, R., Hacquard, V., and Phillips, C. (unpublished). Deconstructing a comparative illusion. Unpublished MS, University of Maryland and University of Southern California.
- Weskott, T., and Fanselow, G. (2011). On the informativity of different measures of linguistic acceptability. *Language* 87: 249–273.
- Wexler, K., and Culicover, P. (1981). *Formal Principles of Language Acquisition*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Wickelgren, W. A. (1976). Network strength theory of storage and retrieval dynamics. *Psychological Review* 83: 466–478.
- Wilcox, R. (1997). *Introduction to Robust Estimation and Hypothesis Testing*. New York: Academic Press.
- Wurmbrand, S. (2004). No TP-fronting meets Nearly Headless Nick. Unpublished MS, University of Connecticut.
- Wurmbrand, S. (2006). Licensing Case. *Journal of Germanic Linguistics* 18(3): 175–234.
- Xiang, M., Dillon, B. W., and Phillips, C. (2009). Illusory licensing effects across dependency types: ERP evidence. *Brain and Language* 108: 40–55.
- Xiang, M., Heestand, D., and Polinsky, M. (2008). Resumptive pronouns: (non)-rescuing function? Poster presented at the 21st Annual Meeting of the CUNY conference on Human Sentence Processing. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.
- Xu, F., and Tenenbaum, J. (2007). Word learning as Bayesian inference. *Psychological Review* 114: 245–272.
- Yang, C. (2002). *Knowledge and Learning in Natural Language*. Oxford University Press.
- Yngve, V. (1961). The depth hypothesis. In R. Jakobson (ed.), *Structure of Language and its Mathematic Aspects*, pp. 130–138. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.
- Yoshida, M. (2006). *Constraints and mechanisms in long-distance dependency formation*. PhD dissertation, University of Maryland.
- Yoshida, M., Aoshima, S., and Phillips, C. (2004). Relative clause prediction in Japanese. Talk at the 17th Annual CUNY Conference on Human Sentence Processing, University of Maryland.
- Yoshida, M., Dickey, M. W., and Sturt, P. (in press a). Predictive processing of syntactic structure: sluicing and ellipsis in real-time sentence processing. *Language and Cognitive Processes*. DOI: 10.1080/01690965.2011.622905
- Yoshida, M., Kazanina, N., Pablos, L., and Sturt, P. (in press b). On the origin of islands. *Language and Cognitive Processes*.
- Zaksas, D., and Pasternak, T. (2006). Directional signals in the prefrontal cortex and in area MT during a working memory for visual motion task. *Journal of Neuroscience* 26(45): 11726–11742.

- Zukowski, A., and Larsen, J. (2004). The production of sentences that we fill their gaps. Poster presented at the CUNY Sentence Processing Conference, University of Maryland.
- Zukowski, A., and Larsen, J. (2011). *Wanna* contraction in children: Retesting and revising the developmental facts. *Language Acquisition* 18: 211–241.

Index

- A-bar (A') dependencies 14, 67, 155–156, 183; *see also* adjective-*though* constructions; comparatives; relativization; topicalization
- A-bar movement 287, 299
- A- /A-bar properties 304–305
- A-over-A Principle* 78
- Abrusán, M. 204, 205
- acceptability 310
- acceptability judgments 43–44, 45, 225–227
- correlation with reading times 87
 - effects of lexical frequency 225–226
 - lexical bias effects 225–226
 - and relationship with working memory capacity 53–57
 - repeated exposure 50–53
 - and resumption 313–314, 344–345
 - rise of 49–50
 - satiation studies and 88–90
 - sentence processing 3
 - structure and 208–219
 - studies 211–215
 - unacceptability 51–53
- active dependency formation 170–174, 178
- Active Filler Strategy 175
- ACT-R model of sentence processing 169
- adjective-*though* constructions 1, 155
- adjunct islands 2, 4, 81, 132, 136, 209–211, 286, 305, 364
- and parasitic gap constructions 72, 147
 - and resumption 351–352
 - satiation in 88
 - variability in 76–77
- Alexapoulou, T. F. 17, 342, 344–345
- Allwood, J. 242
- altruistic speaker model 356
- Ambridge, B. 234
- Anagnostopoulou, E., referential hierarchy 315, 317–319, 324, 325, 327, 334
- Ancash Quechua, *wh*-in-situ 70
- Aoun, J. 13
- argument structure constructions 221
- Arnon, I. 60
- Augmented Transition Network (ATN) model 170–171, 175–177
- backgroundedness 223–229, 236–237
- BCI (backgrounded constituents cannot be extracted) hypothesis 226–227, 230, 233–234, 237
- Baddeley, A. D. 167
- Bantu languages 228
- Barsalou, L. W. 50
- Basque 76–77
- Berwick, R. C. 24, 219
- Bhatt, R. 203
- Binding Condition C 96
- Boland, J. 178
- Bolinger, D. 356
- bounding nodes 5–7, 76, 78, 146
- bridge verbs 225–227, 232–233, 236–237
- Bulgarian
- resumption and complementizers 354
 - wh*-fronting 70–71
- Bunger, A. 365–366, 367, 372
- Bunting, M. F. 54–55
- Carpenter, P. A. 55
- Case matching effects 363
- cataphoric dependencies 95–97
- Cattell, R. 265
- Centering Theory 225
- Chapman, R. M. 171, 173, 178
- Chen, E. 307
- Chichewa 228
- CHILDES database 112
- Chinese 8
- acceptability of *wh*-questions 198–199, 202
 - escapable relative clauses in 74–75, 152–153

- major subject constructions 100
wh-in-situ constructions and island effects 69–70, 77, 101–103
- Chomsky, N. 208–209, 210, 235, 242, 286
A-over-A Principle 78
Barriers (1986) 265
 Minimalist Program 46
 Subjacency Condition 5–7, 8, 76, 78, 146
 Subject Condition 44, 265
- Choueri, L. 13
- Chung, S. J. 242, 243, 364–365
- clefts 1, 161
- Clemens, L. E. 17
- Clifton, C. 175, 179, 365
- Clitic Left Dislocation (CLLD) 314–315, 316, 324
- Comorovski, I. 189
- comparatives 132, 155, 161
- complementizer-trace constraint 143–144, 149–154
- complementizers, role of in resumption 354–356
- Complex Noun Phrase Constraint (CNPC) 73, 210–219, 229, 239–240
- complex noun phrases /complex noun phrase (NP) islands 2, 4, 6–7, 45, 81, 87, 132, 136, 210–219, 221, 233, 235, 237; *see also* relative clauses/relative clause islands
- Condition on Extraction Domains (CED) effects 265–266, 267, 274, 282, 284–285, 287, 288, 306
- container node trigrams (CNTs) 126–127, 135, 139–141
 calculating probability of 128
 complementizer phrases in 141–143
 limits of 145–148
 tracking frequency of 127–128
- Conway, A. R. A. 54–55
- Cooperative Principle 356
- Coordinate Structure Constraint 2, 73, 81, 84, 147–148, 231–232, 234
- coordinate structures 235
- Cowan, N. 164, 167
- critical freezing 287, 294, 304, 305
- cross-linguistic similarities 64, 100–103, 133, 254–255
- cross-linguistic variation 4–5, 73–77, 133
 Complementizer-trace effects 74
 deep variation 74–77, 97–98, 149, 154–155
 escapable relative clauses 74–75
 and formal grammatical accounts 106–107
 islands in *wh*-in-situ construction 77
 and the parsing problem 148–149
 and processing accounts 60–61
- Subjacency Parameter effects 76
 surface variation 74–77, 98–100, 149–154
 variability in subject and adjunct islands 76–77
- Culicover, P. 14, 170
- Czech 307
- Daneman, M. 55
- Danish 204, 241
- Davidson White, I. 179
- Deane, P. 42, 217, 232, 235
- deep island variation 149, 154–155
- definiteness/specificity 188, 203, 204, 214
- dependencies 1–2
 forced construction of island-violating 85–87
 formation of 58, 95–97
see also long-distance “filler–gap” dependencies
- Dependency Locality Theory (DLT) 176, 249
- depth hypothesis 165
- Dickey, M. W. 17, 365–366, 367, 372
- Diesing, M. 268, 269
- Dillon, B. 17
- discourse sensitivity 222–223, 234, 237
- distributional learning model 133–137, 156–157
 complementizer-trace constraint 143–144
 cross-classification of dependencies 156
 and cross-language variation 148–149, 154
 and data sparseness 140–141
 difficulty and unacceptability 137–140
 input corpus and path fragments 145–148
- d*-linking 205–206, 217, 337
 and animacy 329–330, 334–335, 338–339
 gradient effect of 316–317
 resumption and 314–316, 319, 325–326, 334, 337
 and *wh*-islands 310, 324, 329, 334, 338
- Dosher, B. A. 166–167
- East Asian languages
 escapable relative clauses in 74–75, 152–153
 extractable relative clauses and major subject constructions 98–99, 100
see also Chinese; Japanese; Korean
- ellipsis 360–367, 368, 374
- Empty Category Principle 8–9
- Engdahl, E. 7, 15, 204, 239, 240, 245
- Engle, R. W. 54–55
- English 2
 acceptability of complementizer-trace effects 98, 149–154
 acceptability of resumption in 313–314

- English (*cont.*)
 amelioration of RC-island violations
 254–255, 257–260
 cross-linguistic similarities 254–255
 cross-language variation 76–77
 d-linking and resumption in *whether*-islands
 325–326, 327–329, 334
 extraction from clausal subjects 97
 filled-gap effect, disappearance of 83
 freezing effects 287
 long-distance dependency formation 179
 negative subject *wh*-questions 202
 predicate restriction 243–245
 relative clause islands 241–242
 resumption 341–342, 343–359
 and Romance languages, contrast in status
 of *wh*-islands 145–147
 small clause complements 243, 244, 258
 subextractions 286–287, 288–297
 subject restriction 242
 superiority effects and case marking 60
 types of island effects in 4–5
 verbs and direct objects 66
wh-fronting 70–71
- Ericsson, K. A. 169–170, 183
 Erteschik-Shir, N. 204, 223
 Event-related brain potential (ERP) studies
 measures of *wh*-dependency 90–91
 of object filler–gap dependencies 201
 and satiation effects 89–90
- factive clauses 132
 factive islands 81
 factive verbs 225–227, 233, 236–237
 Featherston, S. 51
 feature-driven (Case-related) freezing 287,
 294–295, 306
 Ferreira, F. 344
 Feibach, C. J. 176
 filled-gap effect 46–49, 82–83, 171–172, 180,
 255–256
 filler–gap dependencies *see* long-distance
 “filler–gap” dependencies
 finiteness/modality 188, 203, 206–207
 Flores, D’Arcais 175
 focus domain 223–225, 234
 Fodor, J. D. 170–171, 172, 256
 Form Chain 364
 Form–Identity generalization 363
 Forster, K. I. 52, 86–87
 forward accessibility 312
 Fox, D. 204, 205
 Francom, J. 52, 288
 Frazier, L. 179, 365
 Freedman, S. 52, 86–87
- free-variation languages 10
 freezing effects 265–266, 267, 287–288,
 294–295, 304, 306–307
- French
 extraction from *wh*-islands 76
 escape from *wh*-island 146
 filled-gap effect, disappearance of 83
- Friederici, A. D. 176
 Fukuda, S. 26
- Gallo, C. G. 17
 garden-path repair 256
 Garnsey, S. M. 171, 173, 178
 gender mismatch effects 96–97
 generalized freezing 287, 304
 Generalized Phrase Structure Grammar 86
 generative theory 374
- German 14–15
 acceptability of resumption in 313–314
 extraction out of non-finite clauses 282–285
 NP-subextraction: *Was-für* split 266–267,
 270, 274–277, 282–283, 284–285
 sluicing 363
 superiority effects and case marking 60
 transitive subject islands 307
- Gibson, E. 59, 175, 249, 307, 335–337
 Gieselmann, S. 17
 Goldberg, A. 17, 217, 234, 281
 Government and Binding Theory 86, 87, 265
 Graff, P. 17
 grammatical accounts 22–24, 43–44, 45,
 61–63, 78
 alternatives to 79
 amelioration effects 104–106
 correlation with nativist/Universal Grammar
 approach 109–110
 cross-language variation 106–107
 grounded 81
 and parasitic gap constructions 91–92
 vs. reductionism debate 21–22, 40–41, 131
 grammatical constraints 109–110
- Greek 17
 Clitic Left Dislocation (CLLD) 314–315,
 316
 resumption and 313–315, 334
wh-phrases 317–319
- Grice, P. 356
 Grodner, D. J. 175
 grounded theories 23–24, 81
- Hackl, M. 204, 205
 Halliday, A. K. 223
 Hambridge, D. Z. 54–55
 Hart, B. 121
 Hawkins, J. A. 24

- Hebrew 342–343
 Heestand, D. 17, 345
 Highest Subject Restriction 342
 Hindi, effects for *wh*-in-situ 68, 70, 77, 101–103
 Hiramatsu, K. 288
 Hofmeister, P. 1–2, 45, 47, 56, 58, 60, 80, 87, 217, 231, 375
 HOLD cell hypothesis 175
 Hornstein, N. 13, 17
 Huang, C.-T. J. 5
 Empty Category Principle 8–9
 Condition on Extraction Domains (CED) 265
 Hungarian, subject extraction 76, 97, 154
 Hunter, T. 271

 Icelandic 241
 implausibility/plausibility effects 83–84
 individuation 192, 193
 information processing devices, theories of 21
 information structure account 223–225, 229, 230–231, 235, 237
 Inoue, A. 256
 internal subject hypothesis 287
 interrogative clauses 132
 intrusive pronouns 12–13
 Irish, resumption 10, 12, 342
 island constraints 64–66, 68, 221
 cross-language similarities/variation 133
 double-object/ditransitive construction 227–229
 escape from 71–73
 learning of 64, 107, 132–133
 island effects 2, 44–46, 68, 132–133
 attenuation of 71–73
 basic 132
 diversity of application 68–69
 factorial definitions (absolute and relative) of 24–25, 26, 111–112, 120–121
 overgeneration argument 57–59
 scepticism regarding 79
 source of 3
 see also adjunct islands; complex noun phrases; Coordinate Structure Constraint; cross-linguistic similarities; cross-linguistic variation; grammatical accounts; left branch extraction violations; reductionist accounts; subject islands; relative clause islands; *wh(whether)*-islands
 Italian
 acceptability of complementizer-trace effects 98
 Complex Noun Phrase (NP) islands 6–7
 complementizer-trace constraint 149–154
 dual nature of spec,TP 304
 escape from *wh*-islands 146–147
 extraction from *wh*-islands 76
 Fiorentine dialect 151–152
 island types in 6–7

 Jackendoff, R. S. 170
 Jaeger, T. F. 60
 James, D. 222
 Japanese 9
 adjunct island 8
 escapable relative clauses in 74–75, 152–153
 filled-gap effect, disappearance of 83
 long-distance dependency formation 179
 major subject constructions 99, 100
 wh-in-situ and island effects 68, 69–70, 76–77, 101
 Jurka, J. 17, 282

 Kane, M. J. 54–55
 Kayne, R. 7
 Kazanina, N. 95–97
 Keller, F. 17, 342, 344–345
 Kinyarwanda 228
 Kiparsky, P. 236
 Kluender, R. 17, 26, 28–31, 40–41, 42, 80, 182, 218, 375
 Korean
 escapable relative clauses in 74–75, 152–153
 major subject constructions 100
 wh-in-situ and island effects 76–77
 Kothari, A. 233
 Kravtchenko, E. 17
 Kroch, A. 189–190, 357
 Kuno, S. 190, 203, 205, 233
 Kush, D. 17, 75, 254, 259
 Small Clause Hypothesis (SCH) 243–244
 Kutas, M. 28–31, 40–41, 42, 80, 182, 218

 Ladusaw, W. A. 364–365
 Lakoff, G. 232
 Lambrecht, K. 223
 language learning 103, 109–110, 132–133, 239
 biases 124–125, 128–129
 grammaticality preferences 119–124
 parsing sentences into phrase structure trees 125–126
 Principles and Parameters 150–151, 153–154
 process 114–115
 see also distributional learning model
 Larsen, J. 344

- Lau, E. 179
 Lebanese Arabic, resumptive pronouns 13, 341, 343
 Lee, M.-W. 17, 171
 Left branch extraction violations 2
 Lewis, R. L. 169
 lexical decision, cross-modal 176–177
 Lidz, J. 114–115, 281
 light verb complements 229–230
 Liversedge, S. 96
 long-distance “filler-gap” dependencies 1–2, 67, 109, 132–133, 155–156, 170–174, 175, 255–256, 312, 335–338, 374
 constraints 221, 227–229, 232–233, 235–238
 cost of processing 25–28, 284
 effect of islands upon 82–85
 gap position 1–2, 5–7, 10, 116, 130, 171, 177, 201, 359, 373
 island sensitivity 172–174
 islands and impact of on-line construction of 82–85
 object and subject 190–191
 processing accounts 234–235
 processing disruption by island boundaries 84–85
 and resumption 183
 retrieval interference and failures in completion of 177–179, 182–184
 sustained anterior negativity (SAN) 175–176
 transitivity and 307
 and working memory 161–164
 see also A-bar dependencies; parasitic gap constructions; *wh*-dependencies
- Luka, B. J. 50
- Maclay, H. 52
 major subject constructions 74–75, 98–99, 152–153
 Malayalam 76–77
 manner-of-speaking verbs 222, 225–227, 233, 236–237
- Marastos, M. 170–171, 175–177
 Marr, D. 21
 McCloskey, J. 10, 242, 243, 344
 McEltree, B. 166–167, 177, 184
 McKinnon, R. 84
 Mechanical Turk 227
 Merchant, J. 362–364
 Michel, D. 95
 Miller, G. A. 164–165
 modal verbs 189
 Morgan, J. L. 17, 222
 Multiple Spell-Out (MSO) account 265, 271
- Nakano, Y. 179
 Nakao, C. 351
 Naked Infinitive Constructions (NIC) 210–219
 negation 188, 191–192, 200
 experimental manipulation of 193–200
 negative clauses 132
 negative islands 81, 187–188
 acceptability judgment studies and 193–200
 definiteness/specificity 188, 203, 204, 214
 extraction from 188, 190–191, 193–200
 finiteness/modality 188, 203, 206–207
 pragmatic and information-structural accounts 189–190
 semantic accounts 189
 and strong islands 202–207
 syntactic accounts 188–189
 and verbal working memory 200–202
 see also wh(whether)-islands
- Nishigauchi, T. 9
 non-parallel coordinate structures 132
 Norwegian 204, 240
 Noun-Complement Constructions (NCC) 210–219
- Oberauer, K. 167
 Omaki, A. 17, 179, 351
 Ono, H. 26
 Optimality Theory 87
 Oosterhout, L. 84
- Pablos, L. 95–97, 154
 Palauan 76
 parallelism penalty 366–368, 372–374
 parasitic gap constructions 13–15, 72–73, 91–93, 130–131, 147
 c-command 14–15
 grammaticality of 130–131
 parsing theories 21–22
 Pearl, L. 16, 65, 114–115, 133–137
 Pesetsky, D. 205, 315
 Phillips, C. 16, 26
 active dependency formation 92, 172–173, 177, 179
 Coordinate Structure Constraint (CSC) 84
 filled-gap effect 49, 171, 180
 reductionism 23, 57–58, 59–61
 working memory capacity and island effects 30, 31–34, 40, 91, 94, 111–114, 120–121, 136, 139, 184
- Pickering, M. J. 47, 48, 83, 172, 178–179, 180, 255–256
 picture nouns 230–231, 235
 Platzack, C. 242
 plausibility studies 47, 171, 173, 178–179

- Polinsky, M. 17, 345
 Polish, *wh*-fronting 70–71
 Pollock, I. 7
 Poverty of the Stimulus arguments 133
 Predicate Restriction 242–245
 presupposed adverbials 222
 priming 49–50
 Pritchett, B. L. 80
 processing accounts *see* reductionist accounts
 probe recognition 176–177
- quantificational islands 81
 Quechua, *wh*-in-situ constructions 77
- reductionist accounts 22–24, 25, 40–41, 45–46, 53–54, 63, 65, 95–97, 133
 arguments against 57–59
 criticisms of 59–61
 cross-linguistic variation 99–100
 learning problem 61, 103
 negative islands and 187–188
 and parasitic gaps 92–93
 resource-based accounts 79–80, 93–95, 104–106
 semantic and pragmatic accounts 81
 simple 25–28
 simultaneity/cross-language similarities 100–103
 and *wh*-dependencies 90–91
- referential hierarchy (Anagnostopoulou's) 315, 317–319, 324, 325, 327, 334
 referentiality 188, 192–200; *see also* d-linking
 relative clauses/relative clause islands 2, 4, 11, 71, 221, 222, 234
 backgroundedness and 224, 231
 escapable 74–75, 152–153, 161
 extraction from 17, 239–260
 and felicitous replies 193, 222, 233, 237
 Highest Subject Restriction 342
 long-distance filler–gap dependencies in 132
 resistance to extraction 148–149
 and resumption 348–351, 353–354
 relativization 1, 68, 74–75, 155, 161
 plausibility contrast 173
- Relevance theory 356
 resource capacity theory 28–31, 33–34, 40–41
- restricted distribution languages 10–11
 resumption 183, 313–314, 337
 and coreference 353–354
 d-linking and 314–316
 distinction between intrusive and apparent 343–344
 grammatical 314
 grammaticality 343–344
 highest-subject 343, 355
 and selfish speakers 356–358
 resumptive pronouns 9–13, 341–342
- Richards, N. 71
 Risley, T. R. 121
 Rizzi, L. 146
 critiques of 190
 cross-language variation 76
 negative islands 188–189
Revitalized Minimality constraint 78
 theory of island variation 5–7
- Romanian, *wh*-fronting 70–71
 Romero, M. 365
 Ross, J. R. 2, 5, 44, 67, 187, 203, 204, 231, 239, 265, 341, 357, 362
- Russian 17, 76–77
 A-bar movement 299
 clause structure 297–299
 freezing effects 304
 preverbal and postverbal subjects 305–306
 subject extraction 76, 97, 154, 300–306
 subject islands 288
 superiority effects and case marking 60
wh-fronting 70–71
- Sag, I. A. 16, 45, 47, 56, 58, 60, 80, 87, 217, 231, 375
 satiation 49–50, 88–90, 288
- Scandinavian languages
 cross-linguistic similarities 254–255
 escapable relative clauses in 75
 extraction from relative clauses 240–245
 island effects 7
 predicate restriction 244–245
 subject restriction 242
see also Danish; Norwegian; Swedish
- Schlesewsky, M. 176
 scrambling operations 68
 self-embedding 165, 186
 selfish speaker model 356–358
- Sells, P. 12
 sentential subject islands *see* subject islands
 Shlonsky, U. 357
 similarity-based interference 168–170
 Single Event Grouping Condition 351
 Sinhala 8, 9
 Sleator, M. D. 52
- sluicing 360, 361–362
 clausal ellipsis in 374
 contrast with sprouting 364–365
 island (in)sensitivity 362–365
 parallelism penalty 366–368, 372–374
 and real-time sentence processing 365–368
 regular 362
see also sprouting

- Small Clause Hypothesis (SCH) 243–244, 257–260
- Snider, N. 60
- Snyder, W. 45–46, 88, 288
- Sorace, A. 276, 289
- Spanish 76–77
 - dual nature of spec,TP 304
 - extraction from *wh*-islands 76
 - escape from *wh*-island 146
 - Subjacency theory and islands effects 6–7
- Sprouse, J. 16, 23, 26
 - acceptability and grammatical knowledge 50
 - difficulty of island structures 91, 94
 - distributional learning model 133–137
 - learning of island constraints 65
 - satiation effects 67–68, 288
 - working memory capacity and island effects 30, 31–34, 40, 53–54, 56, 91, 94, 111–114, 120–121, 136, 139, 184
- sprouting 361, 364–376
 - and real-time sentence processing 365–368
- Staub, A. 179, 256
- Staum Casasanto, L. 16, 56
- Stepanov, A. 76, 97–98, 154, 265–266, 267, 269
- Stowe, L. A. 46–47
 - filled-gap effect 82–83, 171, 255–256
- Strong Crossover effect 15
- strong islands 305
 - vs. weak islands 239–240, 286–287
- structural facilitation 49–50
- structural paths 134–140
- structural repair 256
- structure 208–219
- Sturgeon, A. 17
- Sturt, P. 95–97
- subcategorization 179
- subextraction 76, 97, 154, 203, 265, 286–309
 - reductionist account of 265–266, 267
 - see also* Condition on Extraction Domains (CED)
- Subjacency Condition 5–7, 8, 9, 44
- subject islands 2, 4, 132, 136, 221, 222
 - discourse status 358
 - and parasitic gap constructions 57–58, 72, 147
 - transitive subjects 304, 307
 - variability in 76–77
 - see also* subextraction
- Subject Restriction 242
- superadditive effects
 - acceptability effect 32–33
 - and working memory 28–31, 40–41
- superiority effects 60
- surface variation 74–77, 98–100, 149–154
- Swedish 17, 204, 240
 - amelioration of RC-island violations 254–255, 259–260
 - escapable relative clauses in 75
 - resumption 342
- Swets, B. 344
- syntactic satiation effects *see* satiation
- Szabolsci, A. 190
- Takahashi, D. 271
- Takami, K. 190, 203, 205, 223
- Tanenhaus, M. K. 171, 173, 178
- Taraldsen, K. T. 204, 240
- that*-clauses
 - processing complexity 337
 - acceptability of 338–339
 - extractions out of 310, 319, 336–337
- Thermometer Judgment studies 51
- topic 223–225
- topicalization 1, 68, 132, 155, 161
- Torrego, E. 6–9
- transitive subjects 304, 307
- transitivity penalty 296, 307
- Traxler, M. J. 47, 48, 83, 172, 178–179, 180, 255–256
- Truswell, R., Single Event Grouping Condition 351
- unaccusatives and unergatives 279–281, 289, 294, 303–308
 - Russian 298–299, 303–306, 308
- unbounded dependencies 67–68, 78, 101–102, 132, 161; *see also wh*-dependencies
- Universal Grammar 64, 109–110, 128–129, 131, 132, 143, 239, 244
- Uriagereka, J. 265, 271
- Van Dyke, J. A. 169, 177, 184
- van Gompel, R. 96
- Van Valin, R. D., Jr. 223
- Vasishth, S. 169
- Vata (Kru language of North Africa),
 - resumptive pronouns 10–11, 12
- Wagers, M. W. 16, 23, 26, 30, 166–167
 - active dependency formation 172–173, 177
 - Coordinate Structure Constraint 84
 - difficulty of island structures 91, 94
 - filled-gap effect 171, 180
 - working memory capacity and island effects 30, 31–34, 40, 91, 94, 111–114, 120–121, 136, 139, 184
- Wanner, E. 170–171, 175–177

- Was-für* (*what for*) construction 266–267, 274–277, 282–283
- weak island effects 78
- weak islands *see* negative islands;
wh(*whether*)-islands
- Webelhuth, G. 269
- Weinberg, A. S. 24, 219
- West Flemish 304
- wh*-dependencies 1–2, 77–78, 82–83, 90–91, 134–140, 155–156
- acquisition of syntactic constraints on 112–114, 129–131
 - illicit and licit occurrence of 140
 - simultaneous processing of 70–71
 - see also wh*(*whether*)-islands
- wh*-expressions 192–193
- wh*-fillers 217
- wh*-fronting 70, 74, 77
- wh*-in-situ
- argument/adjunct distinction 7–9
 - cross-language variations 69–71, 77
 - parasitic gaps and 14
 - reductionist accounts and 100–103
 - see also wh*-questions
- wh*(*whether*)-islands 2, 4, 11, 25–28, 45, 71, 81, 87, 136, 200–202, 203, 239
- d-linking 310–313, 324–325, 335, 338
 - acceptability and 315–316, 337
 - animacy and 335, 338–339
 - resumption in 319, 334, 338
 - extractions out of 310–313
 - English 325–326, 327
 - Greek 324–325, 334
 - integration costs 338
 - satiation in 88
- wh*-movement 4–5, 7, 8–9, 25, 363
- parasitic gaps and 14
- wh*-phrases 45, 311, 314–315, 324, 325–326
- case marking 60
 - extraction 142–143
 - features of structural path between and the gap 142
 - and parasitic gaps 72–73
 - specificity and attenuation of island effects 71–72
 - and verbs 67–68
 - see also wh*-in-situ
- wh*-questions 130–131, 132, 161, 221
- children's exposure to 136–137, 140
 - in Chinese 198–199, 202
 - existential presupposition/acceptability of negative 189–190
 - grammaticality 144–145
 - informative answers 189
 - and resumption 352–353
 - subject and object, negation in 191–192, 198–199, 202
 - see also* parasitic gap constructions
- Wilhelm, O. 54–55
- Williams, A. 281
- Wolf, F. 307
- working memory 164–166
- and acceptability judgments 53–57, 184
 - bi-partite and tri-partite architecture 167–168
 - capacity 31–40, 162–163
 - capacity-constrained model 200
 - content-addressable/cue-based model 168–170, 201
 - focus of attention 165–167
 - and long-distance dependencies 161–164, 234–235
 - long-term 167–168, 169–170
 - maintenance and unresolved long-distance dependency 174–177
 - negative islands and 200–202
 - resources and island effects 93–95, 139
 - retrieval 167–168, 170, 177–179, 182–184, 201
 - short-term store 167
 - similarity-based interference 168–170
 - span 164
 - two concepts of 165
- Xiang, M. 17, 345
- Yngve, V. 165
- Yoshida, M. 17, 76–77, 95–97
- Zukowski, A. 344
- Zwarts, F. 190