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## Review of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ declension adjectives

|  | Singular |  | Plural |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | m./f. | n. | m./f. | n. |
| Nom. | brev-is | brev-e | brev-es | brev-ia |
| Gen. | brev-is |  | brev-ium |  |

## Comments

- Note that $3^{\text {rd }}$ declension adjectives borrow endings from $3^{\text {rd }}$ declension parisyllabics.
- As result they have Gen. Pl. ending "-ium", with "-i-" in contrast with "-um" as seen in paradigm dolor or caput.
- Furthermore neutral form has Nom. Pl. endign "-ia" as in retia.


## Full paradigm

|  | Singular |  |  | Plural |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | m./f. | n. | m./f. | n. |  |
| Nom. | brev-is | brev-e | brev-es | brev-ia |  |
| Gen. | brev-is |  | brev-ium |  |  |
| Acc. | brev-em | brev-e | brev-es | brev-ia |  |
| Abl. | brev-i |  | brev-ibus |  |  |

## Comments

- The full paradigm is based on nouns from the $3^{\text {d }}$ declension.
- Note the "-i" ending in the Abl. Sg. which otherwise occur only in paradigm rete.


## Three-, two-, and onetermination

- The paradigm brevis, $e$ is what we call "two-termination" adjective.
- It has two different forms in Nom. Sg., one for masculines or feminines, and one separate for neutrals.
- There are two other types: three-termination and one-termination adjectives.
- Three-termination type has three separate forms in Nom. Sg.:(1) for masculines, (2) for feminines, and (3) for neutrals.
- One-termination type has just one ending for all three genders.
- Remember that Nom. Sg. is the only place, where those three groups differ.
- The paradigm brevis, $e$ is applied everywhere else with one exception.
- This means that for example even though a threetermination adjective has three separate forms in Nom. Sg., its Acc. Sg. will have only two, just like in brevis.


## Exception

- There is one rule, which has to be superimposed over the rule about brevis, $e$ being a universal paradigm.
- In neutrals the Acc. ending must be always similar to the Nom. ending. This leads in one-termination adjectives to a difference from paradigm brevis, $e$ in Acc. Sg. for neutrals.


## Three-termination paradigm

|  | Singular |  |  | Plural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | m. | f. | n. | m. | f. | n. |
| Nom. | ace -r | $a c r-i s$ | $a c r-e$ | acr -es |  | acr -ia |
| Gen. | acr -is |  |  | acr-ium |  |  |
| Acc. |  |  | acre |  |  | $a c r-i a$ |
| Abl. | acr -i |  |  | acr -ibus |  |  |

## One-termination paradigm



## Test

- Make all the grammatical cases in both numbers from following expressions:
- dolor acer
- vena similis
- os latens.

