Undirected (Pairwise, Continuous) Graphical Models

- The generative model represents the full probability distribution P(X).
- Missing edges represent conditional independence of the variables.

- Cytometry dataset (ESLII)
- N = 7466 cells
- p = 11 proteins
- We ame to model protein co-occurence probability.



sklearn.covariance.GraphicalLasso # basics

gRbase # the recommended R package

Other Application

Yin, Jianxin & Li, Hongzhe. (2011). A sparse conditional Gaussian graphical model for analysis of genetical genomics data. The annals of applied statistics. 5. 2630-2650.

- Cytometry dataset (ESLII)
- $p_Y = 54$ gene level expressions
- $p_X = 188$ markers (discrete)
- $Y^{p_Y}|X^{p_X} \sim \mathcal{N}(M^{p_Y \times p_X} X^{p_X}, \Sigma^{p_Y \times p_Y})$ conditional Gaussian distribution
- Top: Black color indicates significant association p value < 0.01 in the linear regression.
- Bottom: The undirected graph of 43 genes constructed on the cGGM.



Data: carcass #Source: Soren Hojsgaard, David Edwards, Steffen Lauritzen: *Graphical Models with R*, Springer.

	mean.					
Fat11	16.00	_				
Meat11	52.00					
Fat12	14.00					
Meat12	52.00					
Fat13	13.00					
Meat13	56.00					
LeanMeat	59.00					
Σ	Fat11	Meat11	Fat12	Meat12	Fat13	Meat13
Fat11	11.34	0.74	8.42	2.06	7.66	-0.76
Meat11	0.74	32.97	0.67	35.94	2.01	31.97
Fat12	8.42	0.67	8.91	0.31	6.84	-0.60
Meat12	2.06	35.94	0.31	51.79	2.18	41.47
Fat13	7.66	2.01	6.84	2.18	7.62	0.38
Meat13	-0.76	31.97	-0.60	41.47	0.38	41.44
LeanMeat	-9.08	5.33	-7.95	6.03	-6.93	7.23
Ecamineat	5.00	0.00	1.55	0.00	0.50	

LeanMeat -9.08 5.33 -7.95 6.03 -6.93 7.23 12.90

Gaussian Graphical Models (Undirected Graphs)

• Multivariate Gaussian Distribution on variables $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_p)$

•
$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\pi\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)}$$

- |.| is the determinant. we denote *p* the number of components in **x**. Then $|2\pi\Sigma| = (2\pi)^p |\Sigma|$.
- If Σ is not invertible it has dependent columns. It means that the variables \mathbf{x}_j are lineary dependent.
 - If the rank of Σ is ℓ then there exists a matrix A and a vector ν so:
 - $x = Az + \nu$ for new coordinates z with ℓ dimensions
 - $\bullet\,$ We just consider the new coordinates and assume Σ has a full rank.



• Concentration (Precision, koncentrační) matrix

 $K = \Sigma^{-1}$

Lemma

For $u \neq v$, $k_{uv} = 0$ if and only if y_u and y_v are conditionally independent given all other variables.

k*100	Fat11	Meat11	Fat12	Meat12	Fat13	Meat13	LeanMeat
Fat11	44	3	-20	-7	-16	4	10
Meat11	3	16	-3	-6	-6	-6	-3
Fat12	-20	-3	54	6	-21	-5	9
Meat12	-7	-6	6	14	-1	-9	-0
Fat13	-16	-6	-21	-1	56	3	7
Meat13	4	-6	-5	-9	3	16	-1
LeanMeat	10	-3	9	-0	7	-1	26

• If looking for small values better to 'scale' the entries into Partial Correlation matrix.

Partial correlation matrix

Definition (Partial correlation matrix)

Partial correlation matrix is defined from K by

$$\rho_{uv|V\setminus\{uv\}} = \frac{-k_{uv}}{\sqrt{k_{uu}k_{vv}}}.$$

Lemma

In contrast to concentrations, the partial correlations are invariant under a change of scale and origin in the sense that if $X_i^* = a_i X_i + b_i$, j = 1, ..., p then $a_v a_u k_{uv}^* = k_{uv}$ and $\rho_{uv|V\setminus\{uv\}}^* = \rho_{uv|V\setminus\{uv\}}$.

ho*100	Fat11	Meat11	Fat12	Meat12	Fat13	Meat13	LeanMeat
Fat11	-	-11	41	30	32	-16	-29
Meat11	-11	-	9	41	19	35	16
Fat12	41	9	-	-24	38	18	-24
Meat12	30	41	-24	-	2	61	2
Fat13	32	19	38	2	-	-9	-18
Meat13	-16	35	18	61	-9	-	7
LeanMeat	-29	16	-24	2	-18	7	-

Undirected (Pairwise Continuous) Graphical Models 2 Machine Learning 38 - 120

Models

• The simplest model just removes edges with small $|\rho_{uv|V\setminus\{uv\}}|$. Penalized criteria will be introduced later.



Undirected Gaussian graphical model

Definition (Undirected Gaussian graphical model)

An **undirected Gaussian graphical model** is represented by an undirected graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, E), X = \{X_1, \dots, X_p\}$ represent the set of variables and E is a set of undirected edges.

When a random vector **x** follows a Gaussian distribution $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$, the graph *G* represents the model where $K = \Sigma^{-1}$ is a positive definite matrix with $k_{u,v} = 0$ whenever there is no edge between vertices u, v in *G*. This graph is called the **dependence graph** of the model.

Lemma

For any non adjacent vertices $u, v \in \mathcal{G}$ it holds: $u \perp \!\!\!\perp v | \mathbf{X} \setminus \{u, v\}$.

Definition (Generating class)

Let $C = \{C_1, \ldots, C_k\}$ be the set of cliques of the dependence graph G. A set of functions $g_1(), g_2(), \ldots, g_k()$ defined on $g_i(\mathbf{x}_{C_i})$ is called a **generating class** for the distribution

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} g_i(\mathbf{x}_{C_i}).$$

Marginalization

• We have
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\pi\Sigma|}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)}$$

• We want the distribution over variables $\{x_3, x_5, x_7\} \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$

Marginal of a Gaussian Distribution

The marginal of a Gaussian distribution is calculated by removing appropriate dimensions from the mean and covariance matrix.

•
$$\mu_{3,5,7} = (\mu_3, \mu_5, \mu_7)$$
 and
 $\Sigma_{3,5,7} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{33} & \Sigma_{35} & \Sigma_{37} \\ \Sigma_{53} & \Sigma_{55} & \Sigma_{57} \\ \Sigma_{73} & \Sigma_{75} & \Sigma_{77} \end{bmatrix}$

•
$$\phi_{\mathbf{x}_3,\mathbf{x}_5,\mathbf{x}_7} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\pi\Sigma_{3,5,7}|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{3,5,7} - \mu_{3,5,7})\Sigma_{3,5,7}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_{3,5,7} - \mu_{3,5,7})}$$

Histogram of s1[, 2]



Conditioning

• We ame for $\phi(A|B)$ where

- $A \subset \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$ having q elements,
- the rest $B = \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\} \setminus A$ has (p q) elements.

• We rearrange the rows and columns to have A together. Then we get $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_A \\ x_B \end{bmatrix} \text{ (one column), } \mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_A \\ \mu_B \end{bmatrix} \text{ (one column),}$ $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{AA} & \Sigma_{AB} \\ \Sigma_{BA} & \Sigma_{BB} \end{bmatrix} \text{ with dimensions } \begin{bmatrix} q \times q & q \times (p-q) \\ (p-q) \times q & (p-q) \times (p-q) \end{bmatrix}.$

The parameters of the conditional Gaussian distribution $\phi(A|B = b) = N(\mu_{A|B=b}, \Sigma_{A|B=b})$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{A|B=b} &= \mu_A + \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_{BB}^{-1} (b - \mu_B) \\ \Sigma_{A|B=b} &= \Sigma_{AA} - \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_{BB}^{-1} \Sigma_{BA}. \end{aligned}$$

Covariance matrix differs but does not depend on the observation b. It depends on the fact B was observed.

Conditional Gaussian Example

•
$$\mu^{T} = (1, 2, 3, 4)$$

• $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 & 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 10 & 2 & 6 \\ 5 & 2 & 10 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 & 3 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$

- We observed (*X*₃, *X*₄) to be (2.8, 4.1)
- We ask for $\phi(A|B) = \phi(\{X_1, X_2\} | \{X_3, X_4\})$ • $\Sigma_{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ • $\Sigma_{BB} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 3 \\ 3 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$ • $\Sigma_{BB}^{-1} \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 0.11 & -0.033 \\ -0.033 & 0.11 \end{bmatrix}$

•
$$\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BB}^{-1} \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 0.418 & 0.275 \\ 0.0220 & 0.593 \end{bmatrix}$$

• $\mu_{A|B=b} = \mu_A + \Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BB}^{-1}(b - \mu_B)$
• $\mu_{A|B} \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.418 & 0.275 \\ 0.0220 & 0.593 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (2.8 - 3) \\ (4.1 - 4) \end{bmatrix}$
• $\mu_{A|B} \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -0.056 \\ 0.055 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.944 \\ 2.055 \end{bmatrix}$
• $\Sigma_{A|B=b} = \Sigma_{AA} - \Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BB}^{-1}\Sigma_{BA}$
• $\Sigma_{A|B=b} \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 \\ 1 & 10 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2.53 & 2.26 \\ 2.26 & 4.13 \end{bmatrix}$
• $\Sigma_{A|B=b} \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 7.47 & -1.26 \\ -1.26 & 3.65 \end{bmatrix}$

Partition Matrix Inverse Properties

• The concentration matrix ${\cal K}=\Sigma^{-1}$ is the inverse of the correlation matrix, therefore:

$$\begin{pmatrix} K_{AA} & K_{AB} \\ K_{BA} & K_{BB} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{AA} & \Sigma_{AB} \\ \Sigma_{BA} & \Sigma_{BB} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{AA} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{BB} \end{pmatrix}$$

• From the top right part we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}_{AA}\Sigma_{AB} + \mathcal{K}_{AB}\Sigma_{BB} = \mathbf{0} \\
& -\mathcal{K}_{AA}\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BB}^{-1} = \mathcal{K}_{AB}(1) \\
& \Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BB}^{-1} = -\mathcal{K}_{AA}^{-1}\mathcal{K}_{AB}(2).
\end{aligned}$$
(5)

• Take the top left part and substitute (1):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{K}_{AA}\Sigma_{AA} & + & \mathcal{K}_{AB}\Sigma_{BA} = \mathcal{I}_{AA} \\ \mathcal{K}_{AA}\Sigma_{AA} & + & \left(-\mathcal{K}_{AA}\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BB}^{-1}\Sigma_{BA}\right) = \mathcal{I}_{AA} \\ \mathcal{K}_{AA}^{-1} & = & \Sigma_{AA} - \Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BB}^{-1}\Sigma_{BA}. \end{array}$$

Regression Coefficients

$$\mu_{A|B=b} = \mu_A + \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_{BB}^{-1} (b - \mu_B)$$

$$\Sigma_{A|B=b} = \Sigma_{AA} - \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_{BB}^{-1} \Sigma_{BA}$$

• Consider x_1 to be a linear function of others with the noise $\epsilon_1 \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2)$: $x_{1|2...p} = \beta_1 + \beta_{12}x_2 + \beta_{13}x_3 + \ldots + \beta_{1p}x_p + \epsilon_1$

Set A the first dimension, B the remaining (p − 1) × (p − 1) matrix:

$$x_{1|B=(x_2,\ldots,x_p)^{\tau}} = \mu_{A|B} + \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_{BB}^{-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ \cdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix} - \mu_B \right) + \epsilon$$

• Recall (2):
$$\sum_{AB} \sum_{BB}^{-1} = -K_{AA}^{-1} K_{AB}$$

• then $\sigma_1^2 = \frac{1}{k_{11}}$ with coefficients β
 $(\beta_{12}, \dots, \beta_{1p}) = -\frac{(k_{12}, \dots, k_{1p})}{k_{11}}.$

Fit Linear Gaussian CPD

- To fit ML model of a linear gaussian CPD,
 - you fit the linear regression.

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \beta_p x_p + \epsilon_1$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_Y = Cov(Y, Y) - \sum_i \sum_j \beta_i \beta_j Cov[X_i; X_j]$$

$$Cov(X_i; X_j) = \mathbb{E}[X_i \cdot X_j] - \mathbb{E}[X_i] \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_j]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_j] = \frac{1}{N_{rows}} \sum_{i \in rows} x_{ij}$$

from pgmpy.factors.continuous import LinearGaussianCPD ml=maximum_likelihood_estimator(data, states) cpdY.fit(data, states, estimator=ml, complete_samples_only=True)

 $https://cedar.buffalo.edu/\sim srihari/CSE674/Chap7/7.2-GaussBNs.pdf$

Parameter Learning for a Gaussian Graphical Model

- Let us have the data $\mathbf{x}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N^T$ over variables $\mathbf{x} \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$.
- $S = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_i \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_i \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T$ is the empirical covariance matrix.
- Our model is represented by the concentration matrix $\Theta = \Sigma^{-1}$ and mean μ .
- Log-likelihood of the data is

$$loglik(\Theta, \mu) = \frac{N}{2} \log |\Theta| - \frac{N}{2} tr(\Theta S) - \frac{N}{2} (\bar{x} - \mu)^T \Theta(\bar{x} - \mu).$$

- for a fixed Θ is the maximum for μ : $\mu = \bar{x}$ and the last term is 0. We get
- $\textit{loglik}(\Theta,\mu) \propto \textit{log}|\Theta| \textit{tr}(\Theta S)$
- where $tr(\Theta S) = \sum_{u} \sum_{v} \theta_{uv} s_{uv}$, therefore only s_{uv} corresponding to non-zero θ_{uv} are considered by the sum.
- We replace the equality conditions by Lagrange multiplyers: $\ell_C(\Theta) = \log |\Theta| - tr(\Theta S) - \sum_{(j,k) \notin E} \gamma_{jk} \theta_{jk}$
- We maximize. The derivative Θ should be zero (Γ is a matrix with non-zero for missing edges):

$$\Theta^{-1} - S - \Gamma = 0$$

Towards the Algorithm

- We iterate one row/column after another.
- We start with the sample covariance matrix

$$W_0 \leftarrow S$$

• We derive the formula for the last row/column: the derivative

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_{11} & w_{12} \\ w_{12}^T & w_{22} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & s_{12} \\ s_{12}^T & s_{22} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{12}^T & \gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

The upper right block can be written as w₁₂ - s₁₂ - γ₁₂ = 0.
W is inverse of Θ

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_{11} & w_{12} \\ w_{12}^T & w_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{11} & \theta_{12} \\ \theta_{12}^T & \theta_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0^T & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• therefore the last column without last row is:

$$w_{12} = -W_{11}\theta_{12}/\theta_{22} = W_{11}\beta$$

• Substitute into the derivative $W_{11}\beta - s_{12} - \gamma_{12} = 0$

- we solve for the rows with zero γ : $\hat{\beta}^* = (W_{11}^*)^{-1} s_{12}^*$.
- The diagonal θ_{22} is (1 bottom right): $\frac{1}{\theta_{22}} = w_{22} w_{12}^T \beta$.

Estimation of an Undirected Graphical Model Parameters

1: **procedure** GRAPHICAL REGRESSION:(*S* sample covariance) $W \leftarrow S$ initialize 2: 3: repeat for i = 1, 2, ..., p do 4: Partition W; *i*th row and column, W_{11} the rest 5: solve $W_{11}^*\beta^* - s_{12}^* = 0$ for reduced system 6. $\hat{\beta} \leftarrow \hat{\beta}^*$ by padding with zeros 7: update $w_{12} \leftarrow W_{11}\hat{\beta}$ 8: end for 9: 10: **until** convergence for i = 1, 2, ..., p do 11: lines 5:-8: above and set 12: $\hat{\theta}_{22} \leftarrow \frac{1}{w_{22} - w_{12}^T \hat{\beta}}$ 13: $\hat{\theta}_{12} \leftarrow -\hat{\beta} \cdot \hat{\theta}_{22}$ 14: 15: end for 16: end procedure

Example (ESLII)



Structure Learning

- \bullet We add a lasso penalty $||\Theta||_1$ which denotes the L_1 norm
 - $\bullet\,$ the sum of the absolute values of the elements of Θ and we ignore the diagonal.
 - The negative penalized log-likelihood is a convex function of Θ.
- we maximize penalized log-likelihood

$$\log|\Theta| - tr(\Theta S) - \lambda ||\Theta||_1 \tag{7}$$

• the gradient equation is now

$$\Theta^{-1} - S - \lambda Sign(\Theta) = 0 \tag{8}$$

- sub-gradient notation
- $Sign(\theta_{jk}) = sign(\theta_{jk})$ for $\theta_{jk} \neq 0$
- $Sign(heta_{jk}) \in [-1,1]$ for $heta_{jk} = 0$
- the update for the first row and column will be

$$W_{11}eta - s_{12} + \lambda Sign(eta) = 0$$

• since β and θ_{12} have opposite signs.

(9)

1: procedure GRAPHICAL LASSO: (S sample covariance, λ penalty) 2: $W \leftarrow S + \lambda I$ initialize 3: repeat for j = 1, 2, ..., p do 4: Partition W; *i*th row and column, W_{11} the rest 5: solve $W_{11}\beta - s_{12} + \lambda Sign(\beta) = 0$ using the cyclical 6: ... coordinate-descent algorithm for the modified lasso 7: update w_{12} by $W_{11}\hat{\beta}$ 8: end for 9: until convergence 10: for j = 1, 2, ..., p do 11: solve $\hat{\theta}_{22} \leftarrow \frac{1}{s_{22} - w_{12}^T \hat{\beta}}$ 12: solve $\hat{\theta}_{12} \leftarrow -\hat{\beta} \cdot \hat{\theta}_{22}$ 13: end for 14. 15: end procedure 16: procedure COORDINATEDESCENT: ($V \leftarrow W_{11}$) **repeat** i = 1, 2, ..., p - 117: $\hat{\beta}_j \leftarrow S(s_{12j} - \sum_{k \neq i} V_{kj}\hat{\beta}_k, \lambda) / V_{ii}$ 18: until convergence 19: $\#S(x,t) = sign(x)(|x|-t)_+$ 20: end procedure

Example (glasso)

•
$$\lambda \leftarrow 1$$
 $W_0 = S + \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 11.00 & 1.00 & 5.00 & 4.00 \\ 1.00 & 11.00 & 2.00 & 6.00 \\ 5.00 & 2.00 & 11.00 & 3.00 \\ 4.00 & 6.00 & 3.00 & 11.00 \end{bmatrix}$
 $W_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 11.00 & 2.00 & 6.00 \\ 2.00 & 11.00 & 3.00 \\ 6.00 & 3.00 & 11.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\beta_2^{(2)} = S(1 - \frac{2 \cdot 4}{11} - \frac{6 \cdot 21}{121}, 1)/11 \approx -0.16$
 $\beta_3^{(2)} = S(1 - \frac{2 \cdot 4}{11} - \frac{6 \cdot 21}{121}, 1)/11 \approx 0.35$
 $\beta_4^{(2)} = S(5 + 0.32 - \frac{3 \cdot 21}{121}, 1)/11 \approx 0.35$
 $\beta_4^{(2)} = \dots$
 $\psi \leftarrow W_{11}$ \vdots \vdots
 $\beta_4^{(2)} = \dots$
 $\psi \leftarrow W_{11}$ $\beta_1 \approx [-0.22; 0.32; 0.30]$
 $\beta_3^{(1)} = S(5 - 0, 1)/11 = \frac{4}{11}$ $W_1 \approx \begin{bmatrix} 11.00 & 0.05 & 4.03 & 3.01 \\ 0.05 & 11.00 & 2.00 & 6.00 \\ 4.03 & 2.00 & 11.00 & 3.00 \\ 3.01 & 6.00 & 3.00 & 11.00 \end{bmatrix}$

Computational speed

- The graphical lasso algorithm is extremely fast
- can solve a moderately sparse problem with 1000 nodes in less than a minute.
- It can be modified to have edge–specific penalty parameters λ_{jk}
- setting $\lambda_{jk} = \infty$ will force $\hat{\theta}_{jk}$ to be zero
- graphical lasso subsumes the parameter learning algorithm.
- Missing data
 - ${\scriptstyle \bullet}\,$ some missing observations may be imputed by EM algorithm from the model
 - latent fully unobserved variables do not bring more power in Gaussian graphical model
 - latent variables are very important in discrete distributions.

sklearn.covariance.graphical_lasso

Model Quality (Model Selection)

Definition (Saturated model, GGM Deviance, iDeviance, Likelihood Ratio Test)

- saturated model full model with all edges, it has maximal loglikelihood
- Deviance

$$D = dev = 2 \cdot (\hat{\ell}_{sat} - \hat{\ell}) = N \log rac{|S^{-1}|}{|\hat{K}|} = -N \log |S\hat{K}|$$

independent model - no edges, it has minimal likelihood
iDeviance

$$iD = idev = 2 \cdot (\hat{\ell} - \hat{\ell}_{ind}) = N\left(\log|\hat{K}| + \sum_{i=1}^{p}\log s_{ii}\right)$$

 \bullet Irt likelihood ratio test for models $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_0$

$$Irt = 2 \cdot (\hat{\ell}_0 - \hat{\ell}_1) = N \log \frac{|\hat{K}_0|}{|\hat{K}_1|}.$$

Undirected Graphical Models and Their Properties

Definition (Undirected Graphical Model, Markov Graph)

An **Undirected Graphical Model** (Markov graph, Markov network) is a graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$, where nodes V represent random variables and the absence of an edge (A, B) denoted $A \perp_{\mathcal{G}} B$ implies that the corresponding random variables are conditionally independent given the rest in the probability distribution P(V).

$$A \perp_{\mathcal{G}} B \Longrightarrow A \perp_{P} B | V \setminus \{A, B\}.$$
(10)

is known as the **pairwise Markov independencies** of \mathcal{G} .

Definition (Separators)

- If A, B and C are subgraphs, then C is said to separate A and B if every path between A and B intersects a node in C.
- C is called a **separator**.
- Separators break the graph into conditionally independent pieces.

Definition (Global Markov Property)

A probability measure P over V is (globally) Markov with respect to an undirected graph G iff for any subgraphs A, B and C holds:

• if C separates A and B then the conditional independence $A \perp _P B | C$ holds, that is

$$A \perp _{\mathcal{G}} B | C \Longrightarrow P(A|C) \cdot P(B|C) = P(A, B|C).$$
⁽¹¹⁾

Theorem

The pairwise and global Markov properties of a graph are equivalent for graphs with strictly positive distributions.

- Gaussian distribution is always positive.
- We may infer global independence relations from simple pairwise properties.
- The global Markov property allows us to decompose graphs into smaller more manageable pieces.

Markov Random Fields (Markovská náhodná pole)

• A probability density function *f* over a Markov graph *G* with the set of maximal cliques {*C*₁,..., *C*_{*k*}} can be represented as

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1,...,k} \psi_i(x_{C_i}) = \psi_1(x_{C_1}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \psi_k(x_{C_k})$$
(12)

- where ψ_i are positive functions called **clique potentials**.
- they capture the dependence in X_{C_i} by scoring certain instances x_{C_i} higher than others.
- with the normalizing constant (partition function) Z

$$Z = \int_X exp\left(\sum_{i=1,\dots,k} \log g_i(x_{C_i})\right).$$

• For Markov networks with positive distributions the probability density function (12) implies a graph with independence properties defined by the cliques in the product.

Pairwise Markov Graphs

• A graphical model does not always uniquely specify the higher-order dependence structure of ta joint probability distribution.

$$f^{(2)}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{Z}\psi_1(x, y)\psi_2(x, z)\psi_3(y, z)$$

$$f^{(3)}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{Z}\psi(x, y, z)$$



- For Gaussian distribution, parwise interactions fully specify the model.
- We focus on pairwise Markov Graphs
 - where at most second order interactions are represented (like $f^{(2)}$).

Undirected models with discrete variables

- Boltzmann machine (=Ising models; a special case of Markov random field)
 - visible and hidden nodes
 - only pairwise interactions
 - binary valued nodes
 - constant node $X_0 \equiv 1$.

$$p(X,\Theta) = exp\left[\sum_{(j,k)\in E} \theta_{jk}X_jX_k - \Phi(\Theta)\right]$$
$$\Phi(\Theta) = log\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}}\left[exp(\sum_{(j,k)\in E} \theta_{jk}X_jX_k)\right]$$

Issing model implies a logistic form for each node conditional on the others

$$P(X_{j} = 1 | X_{-j} = x_{-j}) = \frac{1}{1 + exp(-\theta_{j0} - \sum_{(j,k) \in E} \theta_{jk} x_{k})}$$

- Restricted Boltzmann machines
 - two layers, the visible and the hidden layer, no edges inside a layer it is easier Machine Learning Undirected (Pairwise Continuous) Graphical Models 2 38 - 120 February 22, 2023 64 / 361

- Parameter learning
 - iteratively
 - for example Iterative proportional fitting IPF Jiroušek and Přeučil.
- Structure learning
 - for example Hoefling and Tibshirany: glasso extension to discrete Markov Networks.
 - still slow and not very precise.
- Restricted Boltzmann machine
 - fitting the model is faster due to the conditional independence.

Restricted Boltzmann Machine Example (ESLII)

- Two layers:
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{V}$ a visible layer
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{H}$ a hidden layer
- no links inside a layer.
 Example:
- \mathcal{V}_1 binary pixels of an image of a handwritten digit
- \mathcal{V}_2 10 units for observed class labels 0-9
- more hidden layers in the lower figure.
- Fitted by contrastive divergence (not part of this lecture)
- or Gibbs sampling, but it is slow.



Markov Properties (Zeros are dangerous)

Definition (Markov properties: Global, Local, Pairwise)

Let G be an undirected graph over V, let P be a probability measure P over V. (GM) P is (globally) Markov with respect to \mathcal{G} iff

 $\forall (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in V, \mathcal{C} \subseteq V) \ \mathcal{A} \perp\!\!\!\perp_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{B} | \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \perp\!\!\!\perp_{P} \mathcal{B} | \mathcal{C} \text{ in } \mathsf{P}.$

- (LM) A probability measure has the local Markov property iff $(\forall A \in V) : A \perp_P V \setminus Fa_A | N_A$
- (PM) *P* has the **pairwise Markov property** iff $\forall A, B \in V, A \neq B$ not connected by an edge holds $A \perp _{P} B | V \setminus \{A, B\}$.

Theorem

These properties are equivalent for strictly positive measures.

Counterexamples for measures with zero probability everywhere except (0,0,0) and (1,1,1).

See [Milan Studený: Struktury podmíněné nezávislosti, Matfyzpress 2014].

Examples

Example (*P* has the pairvise but not the local property)

$$\begin{split} V &= \{A, B, C\}, E = \{(b, c)\}. \text{ Let us have a} \\ \text{binary probability measure } V \text{ nonzero at points} \\ (0, 0, 0) \text{ and } (1, 1, 1) \text{ [Studený p.101].} \\ A &\perp B | \{C\} \\ A &\perp C | \{B\} \\ \end{split}$$





Example (*P* has the local but not the global property)

$$V = \{A, B, C, D\}, E = \{(a, b), (c, d)\}.$$
 Let

$$P(V) \text{ be nonzero only at points } (0, 0, 0, 0) \text{ and}$$

$$(1, 1, 1, 1) [Studený p.101].$$

$$A \perp CD|\{B\}$$

$$B \perp CD|\{A\}$$

$$B \perp CD|\{A\}$$

$$C \perp AB|\{D\}$$

$$D \perp AB|\{C\}$$



Definition (Linear Gaussian CPD)

For a variable Y with parents $X = X_1, ..., X_k$ the Linear Gaussian model is defined by the mean of Y and a linear function of X and the variance of Y does not depend on X.

from pgmpy.factors.continuous import LinearGaussianCPD cpdY = LinearGaussianCPD('Y', [0.2, -2, 3, 7], 9.6, ['X1', 'X2', 'X3']) cpdX1 = LinearGaussianCPD('X1', [0.2], 1, [])

- We may define Gaussian Bayesian Networks.
 - Usually, undirected models are used.
- Mixed interactions models Bayesian network with discrete and conditional Gaussian nodes; no descrete child of a gaussian parent
 - (generally, not a clear semantics).

Canonical Form of a Gaussian Distribution

Definition (Canonical Form of a Gaussian Distribution)

For a Gaussian Distribution $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\pi\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)}$ we define its **canonical form** $C(\mathbf{X}; K, h, g)$ where

• concentration matrix $K = \Sigma^{-1}$

•
$$h = K\mu$$

Machi

•
$$g = -\frac{p}{2}\log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\log(|K|) - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{T}K\mu$$
.

• We can rewrite the join probability density to

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{p}{2}} |K|^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)K(\mathbf{x}-\mu)\right\}$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{p}{2}} |K|^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\mu^{T}K\mu + h^{T}\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{T}K\mathbf{x}\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left\{g + h^{T}\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{T}K\mathbf{x}\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left\{g + \sum_{u}h_{u}\mathbf{x}_{u} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{u,v}K_{u,v}\mathbf{x}_{u}\mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}.$$

Lemma

If the concentration matrix of a multivariate Gaussian distribution fulfills condition of a graph model then the distribution can be written as a product of distributions on cliques of the graph.

•
$$\phi(x) = \exp\left\{g + \sum_{u \in U} h_u \mathbf{x}_u - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u,v} K_{u,v} \mathbf{x}_u \mathbf{x}_v\right\}$$

- Let us have two sets of vertices A, B separated by the set C. Then $\forall u \in A, v \in B \ k_{uv} = 0.$
- We split the summation in the formula: $\phi(x) = \exp \left\{ \begin{array}{c} g + \sum_{u \in A \cup C} h_u \mathbf{x}_u + \sum_{v \in B \cup C} h_v \mathbf{x}_v \sum_{v \in C} h_v \mathbf{x}_v \\ -\frac{1}{2} (\sum_{u,v \in A \cup C} K_{u,v} \mathbf{x}_u \mathbf{x}_v + \sum_{u,v \in B \cup C} K_{u,v} \mathbf{x}_u \mathbf{x}_v \sum_{u,v \in C} K_{u,v} \mathbf{x}_u \mathbf{x}_v) \right\}$ • therefore $\phi(x) = g(A, C)h(C, B).$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} A & C & B \\ A & K_{AA} & K_{AC} & \\ C & K_{AC} & K_{CC} & K_{CB} \\ B & K_{BC} & K_{BB} \end{array}$$

Table of Contens

- Overview of Supervised Learning
- Undirected (Pairwise Continuous) Graphical Models
- 3 Gaussian Processes, Kernel Methods
- 4 Kernel Methods, Basis Expansion and regularization
- 5 Linear methods for classification
- 6 Model Assessment and Selection
- Decision trees, MARS, PRIM
- 8 Ensamble Methods
- Olustering
- 🔟 Bayesian learning, EM algorithm
- Association Rules, Apriori
- Inductive Logic Programming
- 13 PCA Extensions, Independent CA
- 14 Support Vector Machines