

solution of the N -electron Schrödinger equation in the field of M nuclei. Non-relativistic approach. Spin-space coordinates

$$\vec{x}_i = \{\vec{r}_{ii}, \omega_i\} \quad \alpha(\omega) = \uparrow, \beta(\omega) = \downarrow$$

Electronic Hamiltonian (for the fixed nuclei - Born-Oppenheimer approx.):

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{z_j}{|\vec{r}_{ii} - \vec{r}_j|} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j>i} \frac{1}{|\vec{r}_{ii} - \vec{r}_j|}$$

(atomic units)

one-electron term
2-electron term

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^N h(i)$$

- Our goal is not to look for the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian H , but we search states ~~not~~ $\Psi(\vec{x}_1 \dots \vec{x}_N)$ that minimize the functional $E_0 = \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle$ with normalization condition $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 1$

- Approximation Hartree 1927

$$\Psi(\vec{x}_1 \dots \vec{x}_N) = \varphi_1(\vec{x}_1) \dots \varphi_N(\vec{x}_N)$$

Pauli's exclusion principle invalid ~~is~~ pointed out by

- Slater on V.A. Fock

1950

- Hartree reformulated the theory in 1935. Real applications after ~~1950~~.

Slater determinants:

$$\Psi(\vec{x}_1 \dots \vec{x}_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}$$

$$\langle \varphi_i | \varphi_i \rangle = \delta_{ii}$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} \varphi_1(1) & \varphi_2(1) & \dots & \varphi_N(1) \\ \varphi_1(2) & \varphi_2(2) & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_1(N) & \varphi_2(N) & \dots & \varphi_N(N) \end{array} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} (\varphi_1(1) \dots \varphi_N(N))$$

(2)

$\varphi_i \dots$ spin-orbitals

$\langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \Rightarrow \psi(\vec{x}_1 \dots \vec{x}_N)$ is normalized. But the requirement is much stronger and we know why we can do that:

Because if the column of the Slater determinant is not orthogonal to the other columns, one can orthogonalize it by adding a linear combination of the other columns. However, adding a linear combination of columns to the one column in question won't change the determinant and hence $\psi(\vec{x}_1 \dots \vec{x}_N)$ remains unaffected. Therefore, one can assume columns being orthogonal.

Back to the functional:

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{N!} \langle \varphi_1(i_1) \dots \varphi_N(i_N) | \sum_{i=1}^N h(i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | \varphi_1(i_1) \dots \varphi_N(i_N) \rangle$$

Ⓐ Ⓑ₁ + Ⓑ₂

$$\textcircled{A} = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{i=1}^N (N-1)! \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \varphi_j(i) | h(i) | \varphi_j(i) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \varphi_i(i) | h(i) | \varphi_i(i) \rangle$$

$$\textcircled{B}_1 = \frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} (N-2)! \sum_{k \neq e} \langle \varphi_k(i) \varphi_e(j) | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | \varphi_k(i) \varphi_e(j) \rangle \quad (i, j) \text{ are dummy indexes}$$

there are $N(N-1)$ pairs

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq e} \langle \varphi_k(i) \varphi_e(j) | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | \varphi_k(i) \varphi_e(j) \rangle = [\varphi_k(i) \varphi_k(j) | \varphi_e(i) \varphi_e(j)] \\ = [\varphi_k \varphi_k | \varphi_e \varphi_e] \dots \text{coulomb term}$$

$$\textcircled{B}_2 = -\frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} (N-2)! \sum_{k \neq e} \langle \varphi_k(i) \varphi_e(j) | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | \varphi_e(i) \varphi_k(j) \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq e} \langle \varphi_k(i) \varphi_e(j) | \frac{1}{r_{ij}} | \varphi_e(i) \varphi_k(j) \rangle = [\varphi_k \varphi_e | \varphi_e \varphi_k]$$

exchange term
(non-conjugate part scratch)

Hartree-Fock approximation

(continued)

In Hartree-Fock approximation we get

$$E_0 = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \varphi_i | h | \varphi_i \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \{ [\varphi_i \varphi_i | \varphi_j \varphi_j] - [\varphi_i \varphi_j | \varphi_j \varphi_i] \}$$

kinetic energy
+ interaction with the
nuclei

orbital repulsion

exchange
interaction

Variational principle to find φ_i

$$\delta E_0 = 0 \quad \dots \text{restricted variation with } \langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

Method of Lagrange multipliers: $\lambda = E_0 - \underbrace{\sum_{i,j} (\langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle - \delta_{ij}) \varepsilon_{ji}}_{A}$

We may require λ real $\Rightarrow \varepsilon_{ji} = \varepsilon_{ij}^*$ ($\underline{\varepsilon}$ is Hermitian)

$$-\delta A = \sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_{ji} [\langle \delta \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle + \langle \varphi_i | \delta \varphi_j \rangle] = \sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_{ji} \langle \delta \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle + \underbrace{\sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_{ji} \langle \delta \varphi_j | \varphi_i \rangle^*}_{\text{complex conjugate to the first term (CC)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta E_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \delta \varphi_i | h | \varphi_i \rangle + \langle \varphi_i | h | \delta \varphi_i \rangle \\ &\quad \text{cc} \qquad \text{reindex } i \leftrightarrow j \qquad i \leftrightarrow j + CC \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} [\delta \varphi_i \varphi_i | \varphi_j \varphi_j] + [\varphi_i \delta \varphi_i | \varphi_j \varphi_j] + [\varphi_i \varphi_i | \delta \varphi_j \varphi_j] + [\varphi_i \varphi_i | \varphi_j \delta \varphi_i] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} [\delta \varphi_i \varphi_j | \varphi_j \varphi_i] + \underbrace{[\varphi_i \delta \varphi_j | \varphi_j \varphi_i]}_{i \leftrightarrow j} + [\varphi_i \varphi_j | \delta \varphi_j \varphi_i] + [\varphi_i \varphi_j | \varphi_j \delta \varphi_i] \\ &\downarrow \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N [\delta \varphi_i | h | \varphi_i] + \sum_{i,j} \{ [\delta \varphi_i \varphi_i | \varphi_j \varphi_j] - [\delta \varphi_i \varphi_j | \varphi_j \varphi_i] \} + CC \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^N [\delta \varphi_i | h | \varphi_i] + \sum_{i,j} \{ [\delta \varphi_i \varphi_i | \varphi_j \varphi_j] - [\delta \varphi_i \varphi_j | \varphi_j \varphi_i] \} - \sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_{ji} [\delta \varphi_i | \varphi_j]$$

+ complex conjugate of iL

We arrive to the first version of Fock equations:

(4)

$$\left[\hat{h} + \hat{j} - \hat{k} \right] |\varphi_i\rangle = \sum_j \varepsilon_{ji} |\varphi_j\rangle \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{← almost an eigenvalue problem,} \\ \text{but not quite yet!} \end{array}$$

$$\langle \vec{r} | \hat{j} | \varphi_i \rangle = \sum_j^N \int \frac{|\varphi_j(\vec{r}')|^2}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}' \varphi_i(\vec{r}) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{... Coulomb interaction of} \\ \text{a charge density } \sum_j |\varphi_j|^2 \\ \text{with orbital } \varphi_i \end{array}$$

$$\langle \vec{r} | \hat{k} | \varphi_i \rangle = \sum_j \int \frac{\varphi_i(\vec{r}') \varphi_j^*(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}' \varphi_j(\vec{r}) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{... Non-local application on} \\ \varphi_i : \text{Exchange interaction} \end{array}$$

$$\langle \vec{r} | \hat{h} | \varphi_i \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla^2 \varphi_i(\vec{r}) - \varphi_i(\vec{r}) \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{z_j}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_j|} \quad \text{is quantum phenomena}$$

This is almost a 1-electron eigenvalue problem. Operators \hat{j} and \hat{k} depend on solutions φ_i . Solved by iterations as self-consistent field (SCF) problem. Fock operator $\hat{f} = \hat{h} + \hat{j} - \hat{k}$:

$$\hat{f} |\varphi_i\rangle = \sum_j \varepsilon_{ji} |\varphi_j\rangle$$

We have a flexibility in $\Psi(1\dots N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} (\varphi_1(1)\dots \varphi_N(N))$, because an unitary transformation of $|\varphi_i\rangle$ will just give a phase factor to $\Psi(1\dots N)$: A new set of φ'_i :

$$|\varphi'_i\rangle = \sum_j U_{ji} |\varphi_j\rangle$$

$$\Psi' = \Psi \cdot \det U = \Psi e^{i\beta}$$

Prove that $\hat{f}' = \hat{h} + \hat{j}(\varphi') - \hat{k}(\varphi') = \hat{f}$. Fock operator \hat{f} is unchanged when filled by unitary-transformed spin-orbitals. Then we have

$$\Psi' \langle \varphi_k | \hat{f}' | \varphi_i \rangle = \sum_j \varepsilon_{ji} \underbrace{\langle \varphi_k | \varphi_j \rangle}_{\delta_{ki}} = \varepsilon_{ki}, \text{ so}$$

in space of spin-orbitals we have

$$\hat{f}' = \underline{\varepsilon} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{... matrix equation} \end{array}$$

$$\varepsilon'_{ki} = \langle \varphi'_k | \hat{f}' | \varphi'_i \rangle = \sum_m U_{mi} U_{ki}^* \langle \varphi_k | f | \varphi_m \rangle \Rightarrow \underline{\varepsilon}' = \underline{U}^* \underline{\varepsilon} \underline{U}$$

Therefore such U exists, that ε' is diagonal (\cong Hermitian) and:

$$\hat{f}' |\varphi_i\rangle = \varepsilon_i |\varphi_i\rangle \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{These } |\varphi_i\rangle \text{ are called canonical (spin)orbitals} \\ \text{← Fock equation!} \end{array}$$