Analytic combinatorics Lecture 1

March 10, 2021

• Me: Vít Jelínek

Me: Vít Jelínek

• Contact: jelinek@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz

• Me: Vít Jelínek

• Contact: jelinek@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz

• Moodle link:

Me: Vít Jelínek

• Contact: jelinek@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz

• Moodle link: https://dl1.cuni.cz/user/index.php?id=12105

Tutorials: homework

Me: Vít Jelínek

• Contact: jelinek@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz

• Moodle link: https://dl1.cuni.cz/user/index.php?id=12105

Tutorials: homework

Exam: to be determined

- Me: Vít Jelínek
- Contact: jelinek@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz
- Moodle link: https://dl1.cuni.cz/user/index.php?id=12105
- Tutorials: homework
- Exam: to be determined
- Literature:

- Me: Vít Jelínek
- Contact: jelinek@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz
- Moodle link:

- Tutorials: homework
- Exam: to be determined
- Literature:
 - H. Wilf: Generatingfunctionology

- Me: Vít Jelínek
- Contact: jelinek@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz
- Moodle link:

- Tutorials: homework
- Exam: to be determined
- Literature:
 - H. Wilf: Generatingfunctionology
 - P. Flajolet, R. Sedgwick: Analytic combinatorics

- Me: Vít Jelínek
- Contact: jelinek@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz
- Moodle link:

- Tutorials: homework
- Exam: to be determined
- Literature:
 - H. Wilf: Generatingfunctionology
 - P. Flajolet, R. Sedgwick: Analytic combinatorics

- Me: Vít Jelínek
- Contact: jelinek@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz
- Moodle link: https://dl1.cuni.cz/user/index.php?id=12105
- Tutorials: homework
- Exam: to be determined
- Literature:
 - H. Wilf: Generatingfunctionology
 - P. Flajolet, R. Sedgwick: Analytic combinatorics

Notation:

- N: natural numbers, i.e., $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $\bullet \mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$
- Q: rational numbers
- R: real numbers

Basic situation: Suppose we have a set S of some combinatorial objects (graphs, permutations, set partitions, ...) for which we have a notion of size. We want to determine or estimate the number s_n of objects of size n in S. But finding a formula for s_n directly is impossible.

Basic situation: Suppose we have a set S of some combinatorial objects (graphs, permutations, set partitions, ...) for which we have a notion of size. We want to determine or estimate the number s_n of objects of size n in S. But finding a formula for s_n directly is impossible.

The "analytic" approach:

lacksquare Find a formula for the generating function of \mathcal{S} , which is a formal power series

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n x^n$$
 or maybe $S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$.

Basic situation: Suppose we have a set S of some combinatorial objects (graphs, permutations, set partitions, ...) for which we have a notion of size. We want to determine or estimate the number s_n of objects of size n in S. But finding a formula for s_n directly is impossible.

The "analytic" approach:

lacksquare Find a formula for the generating function of \mathcal{S} , which is a formal power series

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n x^n$$
 or maybe $S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$.

2 Treat S(x) as an actual function from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{C} .

Basic situation: Suppose we have a set S of some combinatorial objects (graphs, permutations, set partitions, ...) for which we have a notion of size. We want to determine or estimate the number s_n of objects of size n in S. But finding a formula for s_n directly is impossible.

The "analytic" approach:

Find a formula for the generating function of S, which is a formal power series

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n x^n$$
 or maybe $S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$.

- 2 Treat S(x) as an actual function from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{C} .
- 3 Apply complex-analytic tools (analytic continuation, contour integrals, residues, ...) to the function S(x) to estimate s_n .

For the rest of today's lecture, fix a coefficient ring K, to be a commutative ring with a multiplicative unit and with no zero divisors. (Imagine $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{C} .)

For the rest of today's lecture, fix a coefficient ring K, to be a commutative ring with a multiplicative unit and with no zero divisors. (Imagine $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{C} .)

A sequence $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of elements of K, can be represented by a formal power series (in x)

$$A(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \ldots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n.$$

For the rest of today's lecture, fix a coefficient ring K, to be a commutative ring with a multiplicative unit and with no zero divisors. (Imagine $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{C} .)

A sequence $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of elements of K, can be represented by a formal power series (in x)

$$A(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \ldots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n.$$

Then a_n is the coefficient of degree n in the f.p.s. A(x), denoted by $[x^n]A(x)$.

For the rest of today's lecture, fix a coefficient ring K, to be a commutative ring with a multiplicative unit and with no zero divisors. (Imagine $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{C} .)

A sequence $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of elements of K, can be represented by a formal power series (in x)

$$A(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \ldots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n.$$

Then a_n is the coefficient of degree n in the f.p.s. A(x), denoted by $[x^n]A(x)$.

Let K[[x]] denote the set of all f.p.s. in x over K.

Consider $A(x), B(x) \in K[[x]]$, with $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$. We then define . . .

Consider $A(x), B(x) \in K[[x]]$, with $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$. We then define . . .

Consider $A(x), B(x) \in K[[x]]$, with $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$. We then define . . .

$$A(x) + B(x) = (a_0 + b_0) + (a_1 + b_1)x + (a_2 + b_2)x^2 + \cdots$$

$$A(x)B(x) = a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)x + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)x^2 + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b_{n-k}\right) x^n.$$

Consider $A(x), B(x) \in K[[x]]$, with $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$. We then define . . .

$$A(x) + B(x) = (a_0 + b_0) + (a_1 + b_1)x + (a_2 + b_2)x^2 + \cdots$$

$$A(x)B(x) = a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)x + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)x^2 + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b_{n-k}\right) x^n.$$

Observe:

• The series $0 = 0 + 0x + 0x^2 + \cdots$ satisfies A(x) + 0 = 0 + A(x) = A(x).

Consider $A(x), B(x) \in K[[x]]$, with $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$. We then define . . .

$$A(x) + B(x) = (a_0 + b_0) + (a_1 + b_1)x + (a_2 + b_2)x^2 + \cdots$$

$$A(x)B(x) = a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)x + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)x^2 + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b_{n-k}\right) x^n.$$

Observe:

- The series $0 = 0 + 0x + 0x^2 + \cdots$ satisfies A(x) + 0 = 0 + A(x) = A(x).
- The series $1 = 1 + 0x + 0x^2 + \cdots$ satisfies $A(x) \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot A(x) = A(x)$.

Consider $A(x), B(x) \in K[[x]]$, with $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$. We then define . . .

$$A(x) + B(x) = (a_0 + b_0) + (a_1 + b_1)x + (a_2 + b_2)x^2 + \cdots$$

$$A(x)B(x) = a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)x + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)x^2 + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b_{n-k}\right) x^n.$$

Observe:

- The series $0 = 0 + 0x + 0x^2 + \cdots$ satisfies A(x) + 0 = 0 + A(x) = A(x).
- The series $1 = 1 + 0x + 0x^2 + \cdots$ satisfies $A(x) \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot A(x) = A(x)$.
- In fact, K[[x]] is a commutative ring with a unit (and no zero divisors).

Multiplicative inverses

Definition

Let A(x) be a f.p.s. from $\in K[[x]]$. A multiplicative inverse (or reciprocal) of A(x) is a f.p.s. $B(x) \in K[[x]]$ such that A(x)B(x) = 1. The multiplicative inverse of A(x) (if it exists) is denoted $A(x)^{-1}$ or $\frac{1}{A(x)}$.

Multiplicative inverses

Definition

Let A(x) be a f.p.s. from $\in K[[x]]$. A multiplicative inverse (or reciprocal) of A(x) is a f.p.s. $B(x) \in K[[x]]$ such that A(x)B(x) = 1. The multiplicative inverse of A(x) (if it exists) is denoted $A(x)^{-1}$ or $\frac{1}{A(x)}$.

When does a f.p.s. have a multiplicative inverse?

Existence of inverses

Lemma

A f.p.s. $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \in K[[x]]$ has a multiplicative inverse in K[[x]] if and only if the coefficient $a_0 = [x^0]A(x)$ has a multiplicative inverse in K. The inverse, when it exists, is unique.

Let $A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of f.p.s. from K[[x]]. How to define its limit $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(x)$? (Problem: we cannot assume that there is any notion of convergence for elements of K.)

Let $A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of f.p.s. from K[[x]]. How to define its limit $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(x)$? (Problem: we cannot assume that there is any notion of convergence for elements of K.)

Definition

A f.p.s. $L(x) \in K[[x]]$ is the (formal) limit of the sequence $A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots$, if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$ we have

$$[x^n]A_k(x)=[x^n]L(x).$$

Let $A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of f.p.s. from K[[x]]. How to define its limit $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(x)$? (Problem: we cannot assume that there is any notion of convergence for elements of K.)

Definition

A f.p.s. $L(x) \in K[[x]]$ is the (formal) limit of the sequence $A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots$, if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$ we have

$$[x^n]A_k(x)=[x^n]L(x).$$

Examples:

• The sequence of f.p.s. $1+x, 1+x^2, 1+x^3, \ldots$ has limit 1.

Let $A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of f.p.s. from K[[x]]. How to define its limit $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(x)$? (Problem: we cannot assume that there is any notion of convergence for elements of K.)

Definition

A f.p.s. $L(x) \in K[[x]]$ is the (formal) limit of the sequence $A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots$, if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$ we have

$$[x^n]A_k(x)=[x^n]L(x).$$

Examples:

- The sequence of f.p.s. $1+x, 1+x^2, 1+x^3, \ldots$ has limit 1.
- The sequence of f.p.s. $1+x, 1+\frac{x}{2}, 1+\frac{x}{3}, \dots$ does not converge to a limit.

Summing infinitely many f.p.s.

Let $A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of f.p.s. from K[[x]]. How to define their infinite sum

$$A_0(x) + A_1(x) + A_2(x) + \cdots$$
?

Summing infinitely many f.p.s.

Let $A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of f.p.s. from K[[x]]. How to define their infinite sum

$$A_0(x) + A_1(x) + A_2(x) + \cdots$$
?

Answer: as a limit of the sequence partial sums $A_0(x), A_0(x) + A_1(x), A_0(x) + A_1(x) + A_2(x), \dots$

Summing infinitely many f.p.s.

Let $A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of f.p.s. from K[[x]]. How to define their infinite sum

$$A_0(x) + A_1(x) + A_2(x) + \cdots$$
?

Answer: as a limit of the sequence partial sums

$$A_0(x), A_0(x) + A_1(x), A_0(x) + A_1(x) + A_2(x), \dots$$

Observe: $A_0(x) + A_1(x) + A_2(x) + \cdots$ exists iff for every degree $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there are only finitely many summands $A_k(x)$ with $[x^n]A_k(x) \neq 0$.

Examples of infinite sums

Example 1: Consider

$$A_{0}(x) = 1 + x + x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

$$A_{1}(x) = x + x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

$$A_{2}(x) = x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

$$A_{3}(x) = x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

Is the sum $A_0(x) + A_1(x) + A_2(x) + \cdots$ defined? What is its value?

Examples of infinite sums

Example 1: Consider

$$A_{0}(x) = 1 + x + x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

$$A_{1}(x) = x + x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

$$A_{2}(x) = x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

$$A_{3}(x) = x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

Is the sum $A_0(x) + A_1(x) + A_2(x) + \cdots$ defined? What is its value?

Example 2: For which
$$B(x) \in K[[x]]$$
 is the sum $B(x) + B^2(x) + B^3(x) + B^4(x) + \cdots$ defined?

Examples of infinite sums

Example 1: Consider

$$A_{0}(x) = 1 + x + x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

$$A_{1}(x) = x + x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

$$A_{2}(x) = x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

$$A_{3}(x) = x^{3} + x^{4} + \cdots$$

$$\cdots$$

...

Is the sum $A_0(x) + A_1(x) + A_2(x) + \cdots$ defined? What is its value?

Example 2: For which $B(x) \in K[[x]]$ is the sum $B(x) + B^2(x) + B^3(x) + B^4(x) + \cdots$ defined? Answer: Sum is defined iff $[x^0]B(x) = 0$.

Definition

For two f.p.s. $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$, their composition, denoted $(A \circ B)(x)$ or A(B(x)), is the f.p.s. defined as the infinite sum

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n B^n(x) = a_0 + a_1 B(x) + a_2 B^2(x) + a_3 B^3(x) + \cdots$$

Definition

For two f.p.s. $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$, their composition, denoted $(A \circ B)(x)$ or A(B(x)), is the f.p.s. defined as the infinite sum

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n B^n(x) = a_0 + a_1 B(x) + a_2 B^2(x) + a_3 B^3(x) + \cdots$$

When is A(B(x)) defined?

Lemma

A(B(x)) exists iff at least one of these two conditions holds:

- **①** A(x) is a polynomial (i.e., has only finitely many nonzero coefficients).
- $[x^0]B(x) = 0.$

Lemma

A(B(x)) exists iff at least one of these two conditions holds:

- **1** A(x) is a polynomial (i.e., has only finitely many nonzero coefficients).
- $[x^0]B(x) = 0.$

Definition

A f.p.s. B(x) is composable if $[x^0]B(x) = 0$.

Nasty example 1. Composition is not continuous, i.e., $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(x) = L(x)$ does NOT imply $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(B(x)) = L(B(x))$, even when all the expressions are defined:

Nasty example 1. Composition is not continuous, i.e., $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(x) = L(x)$ does NOT imply $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(B(x)) = L(B(x))$, even when all the expressions are defined: take $A_k(x) = 1 + x^k$ (so L(x) = 1) and B(x) = 1.

Nasty example 1. Composition is not continuous, i.e., $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(x) = L(x)$ does NOT imply $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(B(x)) = L(B(x))$, even when all the expressions are defined: take $A_k(x) = 1 + x^k$ (so L(x) = 1) and B(x) = 1.

Nasty example 2. Composition is not associative:

Nasty example 1. Composition is not continuous, i.e., $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(x) = L(x)$ does NOT imply $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(B(x)) = L(B(x))$, even when all the expressions are defined: take $A_k(x) = 1 + x^k$ (so L(x) = 1) and B(x) = 1.

Nasty example 2. Composition is not associative: take $A(x) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {2n-2 \choose n-1} / (n2^{2n-1}) x^n$ (Taylor series of $\sqrt{1-x}$), $B(x) = 2x - x^2$. C(x) = 2.

Composing composable series is not nasty

The good news: No such nastyness can occur for a composition A(B(x)) with B composable.

Lemma

- If $A_0(x)$, $A_1(x)$, $A_2(x)$, ... is a sequence of f.p.s. with limit A(x), and if $B_0(x)$, $B_1(x)$, $B_2(x)$, ... is a sequence of composable f.p.s. with limit B(x) (which is necessarily also composable), then $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(B_k(x)) = A(B(x))$.
- If A(x), B(x) and C(x) are f.p.s., with B(x) and C(x) composable, then $(A \circ B) \circ C = A \circ (B \circ C)$.

Composition inverse

Observe: The series Id(x) = x is the neutral element for composition: Id(A(x)) = A(Id(x)) = A(x).

Composition inverse

Observe: The series Id(x) = x is the neutral element for composition: Id(A(x)) = A(Id(x)) = A(x).

Definition

Let $A(x) \in K[[x]]$ be composable. A (left) composition inverse of A(x) is a f.p.s. B(x) such that B(A(x)) = x. It is denoted $A^{(-1)}(x)$.

Composition inverse

Observe: The series Id(x) = x is the neutral element for composition: Id(A(x)) = A(Id(x)) = A(x).

Definition

Let $A(x) \in K[[x]]$ be composable. A (left) composition inverse of A(x) is a f.p.s. B(x) such that B(A(x)) = x. It is denoted $A^{(-1)}(x)$.

Lemma

A composable f.p.s. $A(x) \in K[[x]]$ has a composition inverse if and only if the coefficient $[x^1]A(x)$ has a (multiplicative) inverse in K. In such case, the composition inverse $B(x) = A^{\langle -1 \rangle}(x)$ is unique, is composable, and satisfies $B^{\langle -1 \rangle}(x) = A(x)$.