

**Local units.** Let  $a$  be an element of a quasigroup  $Q$ . By the definition of quasigroups there exists exactly one  $b \in Q$  such that  $L_a(b) = a$ . Denote this  $b$  by  $f_a$ . The equality  $L_a(b) = a$  may be written as  $a = af_a$ . The element  $f_a$  is called the *right local unit* of  $a$ .

Similarly define the *left local unit*  $e_a$  such that  $e_a a = a$ .

**Associative triples.** Let  $Q$  be a quasigroup. A triple  $(x, y, z) \in Q^3$  is said to be *associative* if  $xy \cdot z = x \cdot yz$ .

*Claim.* The triple  $(e_a, a, f_a)$  is associative.

*Proof.*  $e_a a \cdot f_a = af_a = a = e_a a = e_a \cdot af_a$ .

*Corollary.* A quasigroup of finite order  $n$  contains at least  $n$  associative triples.

*Definitions.* A quasigroup  $Q$  is said to be *idempotent* if  $xx = x$  for every  $x \in Q$ . The quasigroup  $Q$  is said to be *maximally nonassociative* if

$$\forall x, y, z \in Q: xy \cdot z = x \cdot yz \Leftrightarrow x = y = z.$$

**Exercise.** Show that a maximally nonassociative quasigroup has to be idempotent. Show that a quasigroup of finite order  $n$  contains exactly  $n$  associative triples if and only if it is maximally nonassociative.

**Existence of maximally nonassociative quasigroups.** There are no maximally nonassociative quasigroups of orders 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10. Maximally nonassociative quasigroups of other orders  $n$  are known to exist for  $n = 9$ ,  $n = 13$  and for all  $n \geq 16$  such that  $n \notin \{40, 42, 44, 56, 66, 77, 88, 90, 110\}$  if  $n$  is not of the form  $2p$ ,  $p$  a prime, or  $2p_1p_2$ ,  $p_1 \leq p_2 < 2p_1$ .

**Challenge.** Find a maximally nonassociative quasigroup of order  $2p$ ,  $p$  a prime.

**Global units.** An element  $e \in Q$  is called a *left unit* if  $e_a = e$  for all  $a \in Q$ . Similarly define the *right unit*. There is at most one left unit and at most one right unit. If there exist both of them, then they coincide since  $e = ef = f$ . An element  $e \in Q$  is the left unit if and only if  $L_e = \text{id}_Q$ . The right unit  $f$  is characterized by  $R_f = \text{id}_Q$ . A both sided unit is also called the *neutral element*.

**Loops and reduced latin squares.** A quasigroup is called a *loop* if and only if it possesses a neutral element. Suppose that  $Q$  is a loop with unit equal to 1. If  $a, b \in Q$  are such that  $ab = b$ , then  $a = 1$ . This means that if  $a \neq 1$ , then  $L_a$  fixes no point of  $Q$ . Similarly, if  $a \neq 1$ , then  $R_a$  is a fixed point free permutation.

Let  $Q$  be a loop on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with 1 the unit. The body of the multiplication table contains  $1, 2, \dots, n$  in the first row (from the left to the right) and  $1, 2, \dots, n$  in the first column (from the top to the bottom). This is exactly the condition when a latin square is called *reduced*.

**Equational definition of quasigroups.** Another way of saying that  $L_a$  is permutation is to say that for any  $b \in Q$  there exists exactly one  $x \in Q$  such that  $ax = b$ . This approach is used in another definition of a quasigroup which goes by saying that for any  $a, b \in Q$  the equations

$$ax = b \text{ and } ya = b \text{ have unique solutions } x \text{ and } y.$$

How to express these  $x$  and  $y$ ? We have  $L_a(x) = b$  and  $R_a(y) = b$ . Thus  $x = L_a^{-1}(b)$  and  $y = R_a^{-1}(b)$ . By convention, set

$$\begin{aligned} L_a^{-1}(b) &= a \setminus b && \text{(the left division), and} \\ R_a^{-1}(b) &= b / a && \text{(the right division).} \end{aligned}$$

What are the properties of the divisions when seen as binary operations? Since  $L_x L_x^{-1}(y) = y = L_x^{-1} L_x(y)$  and  $R_x R_x^{-1}(y) = y = R_x^{-1} R_x(y)$  we get equations

$$x(x \setminus y) = y = x \setminus (xy) \text{ and } (y/x)x = y = (yx)/x. \quad (\text{D})$$

*Claim.* If  $(Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  fulfils (D), then  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a quasigroup.

*Proof.* To show that  $ax = b$  possesses a unique solution note first that  $a(a \setminus b) = b$ , and then observe that  $ax_1 = ax_2$  implies  $x_1 = a \setminus (ax_1) = a \setminus (ax_2) = x_2$ .

We can thus regard (D) as an alternative definition of a quasigroup. This is a definition in the sense of universal algebra. A *quasigroup* is an algebra  $(Q, \cdot, \setminus, /)$  where all operations are binary and the identities of (D) are satisfied.

This definition is usually called *equational*. The original definition may be called *combinatorial*. The equational definition of loop involves a nullary operation 1, and the laws  $x \cdot 1 = x = 1 \cdot x$ .

*Claim.* If  $Q$  is a quasigroup and  $x, y \in Q$ , then  $x/(y \setminus x) = y = (x/y) \setminus x$ . If  $Q$  is a loop, then  $x/1 = x = 1 \setminus x$ .

*Proof.* Indeed,  $y = (y(y \setminus x))/(y \setminus x) = x/(y \setminus x)$  and  $y = (x/y) \setminus ((x/y)y) = (x/y) \setminus y$ . If 1 is the unit, then  $x = (x \cdot 1)/1 = x/1$  and  $x = 1 \setminus (1 \cdot x) = 1 \setminus x$ .

**Subquasigroups and congruences.** Passing between combinatorial and equational definition is usually done informally. However, it is worth remembering that the equational definition exhibits in a clear fashion that *subquasigroups* have to be closed under divisions and *congruences of quasigroups* have to be compatible with divisions.

**Exercises.** (1) If  $Q$  is a finite quasigroup, then a subset closed under multiplication is a subquasigroup and an equivalence compatible with  $\cdot$  is a congruence of the quasigroup.

(2) Let  $Q$  be a quasigroup. Show that an equivalence  $\sim$  on  $Q$  is a congruence if and only if for all  $x, y, z \in Q$

$$x \sim y \Rightarrow xz \sim yz, zx \sim zy, x/z \sim y/z \text{ and } z \setminus x \sim z \setminus y.$$

**Quasigroup words and reduction.** Let  $X$  be a set of symbols. Denote by  $W(X)$  the absolutely free algebra over  $X$  in signature  $(\cdot, \setminus, /)$ . The elements of  $W(X)$  are called *quasigroup words*. A quasigroup word is called *reduced* if it contains no subword (subterm) of one of the forms

$$(st)/t, (s/t)t, t(t \setminus s), t \setminus (ts), t/(s \setminus t) \text{ and } (t/s) \setminus t. \quad (\text{R})$$

For  $u, v \in W(X)$  write  $u \rightarrow v$  if  $u$  contains a subterm that has a form that occurs in (R), and if  $v$  arises from  $u$  by replacing this term by  $s$ . The transitive closure of  $\rightarrow$  is denoted by  $\rightarrow^*$ . A word is thus reduced if and only if it is terminal with respect to  $\rightarrow^*$ .

The reduction decreases the size of the term. Hence for each  $u \in W(X)$  there exists a reduced  $v \in W(X)$  such that  $u \rightarrow^* v$ . The following fact appears in various contexts. Our proof will be hence brief.

**Lemma.** Let  $u, w_1, w_2 \in W(X)$  be such that  $u \rightarrow^* w_1$  and  $u \rightarrow^* w_2$ . If both  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are reduced, then  $w_1 = w_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be the smallest counterexample. To get a contradiction it suffices to show that if  $u \rightarrow u_1$  and  $u \rightarrow u_2$ , then there exists  $u_3$  such that  $u_1 \rightarrow^* u_3$  and  $u_2 \rightarrow^* u_3$ . Indeed, if  $u_i \rightarrow^* w_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then  $w_1 = w_3 = w_2$  since both  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are smaller (with respect to the length of the quasigroup word) than  $u$ .

Let  $u_i$  be obtained from  $u$  by replacing a subterm  $v_i$  by  $s_i$ , where  $v_i$  takes the form  $(s_i t_i)/t_i$ ,  $(s_i/t_i)t_i$ , etc., as listed in (R),  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . The situation is easy to solve if  $v_2$  is a subterm of an occurrence of  $t_1$ . In that case make  $v_2 \rightarrow s_2$  in both

occurrence of  $t_1$  and then replace the changed subterm by  $s_1$ . This means that  $u_2 \rightarrow^* u_1$ . If  $v_2$  is a subterm of  $s_1$ , then define  $u_3$  by making the replacement  $v_2 \rightarrow s_2$  within the occurrence of  $s_1$  in  $u_2$ . Both  $u_1 \rightarrow^* u_3$  and  $u_2 \rightarrow^* u_3$  are then true.

If there exists a subterm  $a_1a_2$  of  $u$  such that  $v_1$  is a subterm of  $a_1$  and  $v_2$  a subterm of  $a_2$ , then the reductions commute and the existence of  $u_3$  is obvious.

What remains are situations that are usually called *critical*. These are the situations when one of the terms has a root within the other term. Suppose that  $v_2$  sits within  $v_1$ . We shall consider only the case when  $v_1 = (s_1t_1)/t_1$ . The other cases are similar. The only nontrivial possibility in (R) with  $/$  at the top is  $t_2/(s_2 \setminus t_2)$ . However  $t_2 = s_1t_1$  and  $t_1 = s_2 \setminus s_2$  is impossible. Therefore there must be  $v_2 = s_1t_1$ . If  $s_1t_1 = (s_2/t_2)t_2$ , then  $t_1 = t_2$  and both replacements change  $v_1$  to  $s_1 = s_2/t_1$ . Thus  $u_1 = u_2$  and nothing has to be constructed.

If  $s_1t_1 = t_2(t_2 \setminus s_2)$ , then  $s_1 = t_2$  and  $t_1 = t_2 \setminus s_2$ . Thus

$$v_1 \rightarrow s_1 = t_2 \text{ and } v_1 = v_2/t_1 \rightarrow s_2/t_1 = s_2/(t_2 \setminus s_2) \rightarrow t_2.$$

The latter replacement shows that  $u_2 \rightarrow u_1$ . □

Denote by  $\equiv$  the least congruence of  $W(X)$  such that  $W(X)/\equiv$  is a quasigroup. This is a free quasigroup with basis  $\{[x]_{\equiv}; x \in X\}$ . Denote by  $F(X)$  the subset of  $W(X)$  that is formed by all reduced words. By the Lemma for each  $w \in W(X)$  there exists a unique reduced word  $\rho(w)$  such that  $w \rightarrow^* \rho(w)$ . If  $u \rightarrow v$ , then  $\rho(u) = \rho(v)$ . From that it follows that  $u \equiv v$  if and only if  $\rho(u) = \rho(v)$ . Hence defining operations by

$$u \cdot v = \rho(uv), \quad u/v = \rho(u/v) \text{ and } u \setminus v = \rho(u \setminus v)$$

makes  $F(X)$  a **free quasigroup** with basis  $X$ .

To get a **free loop** consider loop words in  $\cdot, \setminus, /$  and  $1$ , and add reduction rules that change each of  $s/1, s \cdot 1, 1 \cdot s$  and  $1 \setminus s$  to  $s$ .

**Loops from quasigroups.** Let  $Q$  be a quasigroup, and let  $e$  and  $f$  be elements of  $Q$ . Set  $x * y = x/f \cdot e \setminus y$ , for all  $x, y \in Q$ . Translations of  $(Q, \cdot)$  are denoted by  $L_x$  and  $R_x$ , while translations of  $(Q, *)$  will be denoted by  $\lambda_x$  and  $\rho_x, x \in Q$ . Clearly,

$$\lambda_x = L_{x/f}L_e^{-1} \text{ and } \rho_y = R_{e \setminus y}R_f^{-1},$$

for each  $x, y \in Q$ . Note that  $x * (ef) = x/f \cdot f = x$  and  $(ef) * y = e \cdot e \setminus y = y$ . This means that  $(Q, *)$  is a loop, and  $ef$  is the neutral element of this loop.

**Principal isotopes.** An isotopy of quasigroups  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma): Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$  is called *principal* if the underlying sets of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  coincide and  $\gamma = \text{id}_{Q_1}$ . Call  $Q_2$  a *principal isotope* of  $Q_1$  if there exists a principal isotopy  $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ .

Let  $(Q, *)$  be a principal isotope of  $(Q, \cdot)$ . There thus exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Sym}(Q)$  such that  $x * y = \alpha(x)\beta(y)$ . The translations of  $(Q, \cdot)$  are denoted by  $L_x$  and  $R_x$ , and those of  $(Q, *)$  by  $\lambda_x$  and  $\rho_x, x \in Q$ . Clearly,

$$\lambda_x = L_{\alpha(x)}\beta \text{ and } \rho_y = R_{\beta(y)}\alpha,$$

for each  $x, y \in Q$ . If  $(Q, *)$  is a loop, then there must exist  $x \in Q$  such that  $\lambda_x = \rho_x = \text{id}_Q$ . If this true, then there exist  $e, f \in Q$  such that  $\beta = L_e^{-1}$  and  $\alpha = R_f^{-1}$ . If such  $e, f$  exist, then  $x * y = \alpha(x)\beta(y) = x/f \cdot e \setminus y$ . This is a loop, as observed above. We have proved the following statement:

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $(Q, \cdot)$  be a quasigroup. A principal isotope  $(Q, *)$  of  $(Q, \cdot)$  is a loop if and only if there exist  $e, f \in Q$  such that  $x * y = x/f \cdot e \setminus y$  for all  $x, y \in Q$ .*

**Quasigroups induced by isomorphism and isotopy.** Suppose that  $Q$  is a quasigroup and  $S$  a set. Suppose also that there exists a bijection  $\gamma: Q \rightarrow S$ . Then there is only one way how to define a quasigroup operation upon  $S$ , and that is by  $st = \gamma(\gamma^{-1}(s)\gamma^{-1}(t))$  for all  $s, t \in Q$ . The quasigroup  $(S, \cdot)$  is called *isomorphically induced* by  $\gamma$ .

Similarly, if  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are bijections  $Q \rightarrow S$ , then  $st = \gamma(\alpha^{-1}(s)\beta^{-1}(t))$  yields the only quasigroup upon  $S$  for which  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is an isotopy  $(Q, \cdot) \rightarrow (S, \cdot)$ . This is the quasigroup *isotopically induced* by  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ .

**Loops isotopic to a quasigroup.** Suppose that  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is an isotopy of quasigroups  $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ . Let  $(Q_1, *)$  be the quasigroup isomorphically induced by the bijection  $\gamma^{-1}: Q_2 \rightarrow Q_1$ . Isotopies may be composed. Hence

$$(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma^{-1}, \gamma^{-1})(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\gamma^{-1}\alpha, \gamma^{-1}\beta, \text{id}_{Q_1})$$

is a principal isotopy  $(Q_1, \cdot) \rightarrow (Q_1, *)$ , while  $(Q_1, *) \cong (Q_2, \cdot)$ . This gives immediately:

**Proposition 2.** *Each quasigroup isotopic to a quasigroup  $Q$  is isomorphic to a principal isotope of  $Q$ .*

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $(Q, \cdot, \backslash, /)$  be a quasigroup. For each loop  $L$  isotopic to  $Q$  there exist  $e, f \in Q$  such that  $L$  is isomorphic to a loop on  $Q$  with multiplication  $x * y = x/f \cdot e \backslash y$ , for all  $x, y \in Q$ .*

*Proof.* By the preceding statement every loop isotopic to  $Q$  is isomorphic to a principal isotope of  $Q$ . By Proposition 1, a principal isotope that is a loop has to be of the form  $x/f \cdot e \backslash y$ .  $\square$

**Exercise.** Prove directly that each loop isotopic to a group  $G$  is isomorphic to  $G$ .

*Notational remark:* If  $H$  is a subgroup of a group  $G$ , then it is usual to write  $H = 1$  if  $H$  is the trivial subgroup, that is if  $|H| = 1$ . Thus, if  $G$  is a permutation group on  $\Omega$ ,  $H = 1$  means that  $H = \{\text{id}_\Omega\}$ .

**Regular groups.** A permutation group on  $\Omega$  is, by definition, every subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . A permutation group  $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is *transitive* if for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$  there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $h(\alpha) = \beta$ . Note that it suffices if the former holds for a single  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . In a transitive group all stabilizers  $H_\alpha = \{h \in H; h(\alpha) = \alpha\}$  are conjugate one to another. Hence if  $H_\alpha = 1$  for one  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , then  $H_\alpha = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \Omega$ .

The permutation group  $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is called *regular* if it is transitive, and if  $H_\alpha = 1$ , for any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Note that the latter condition may also be expressed as  $h = \text{id}_\Omega$  whenever  $h \in H$  fixes a point.

Let  $G$  be a group. Then  $\{L_x; x \in G\}$  is a regular permutation group on  $G$ . It is called the *left regular representation* of  $G$ .

Each regular permutation group may be interpreted as a left regular representation of an abstract group. To see this consider a regular group  $G$  upon  $\Omega$ . Fix a point  $\omega \in \Omega$  and identify it with the unit element 1 of an abstract group  $(\Omega, \cdot)$  that will be now described. For each  $\alpha \in \Omega$  denote by  $\psi_\alpha$  the element of  $G$  that sends 1 =  $\omega$  upon  $\alpha$ . Since  $G$  is regular, the permutation  $\psi_\alpha$  is determined by  $\alpha$  uniquely. Furthermore,  $G = \{\psi_\alpha; \alpha \in \Omega\}$ . Define a binary operation  $\cdot$  on  $\Omega$  by  $\alpha \cdot \beta = \psi_\alpha(\beta)$ , and define  $\Psi: G \rightarrow \Omega$  by  $\Psi(\psi_\alpha) = \alpha$ . Since  $\psi_\alpha\psi_\beta(\omega) = \psi_\alpha(\beta) = \alpha \cdot \beta$ , we have  $\psi_\alpha\psi_\beta = \psi_{\alpha \cdot \beta}$ . Therefore  $\Psi(gh) = \Psi(g) \cdot \Psi(h)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ . Thus  $\Psi: (G, \circ) \cong (\Omega, \cdot)$ , and for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$  the mapping  $\psi_\alpha$  coincides with the left translation of  $\alpha$  in  $(\Omega, \cdot)$ .

Note that denoting the neutral element by 1 is a matter of convention. If  $G$  is abelian, then it may be more natural to denote the neutral element by 0 and the binary operation by  $+$ .

**Loops with translations closed under composition.** A loop  $Q$  is said to have left translations *closed under composition* if

$$\forall x, y \in Q \exists z \in Q \text{ such that } L_x L_y = L_z.$$

If this is true, then  $xy = L_x L_y(1) = L_z(1) = z$ , implying  $L_x L_y = L_{xy}$  for all  $x, y \in Q$ . But that is equivalent to associativity since  $L_x L_y(v) = x \cdot yv$  and  $L_{xy}(v) = xy \cdot v$ . This proves that *a loop with left translations closed under composition has to be a group*.

**Albert's Theorem.** *A loop isotopic to a group  $G$  is isomorphic to  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3 only the principal isotopes  $x/f \cdot e \setminus y$  may be considered. The set of the left translations of such an isotope is equal to

$$\{L_{x/f} L_e^{-1}; x \in G\} = \{L_x L_e^{-1}; x \in G\} = \{L_{xe^{-1}}; x \in G\} = \{L_x; x \in G\}.$$

The set of left translations of the principal isotope thus coincides with that of  $G$ . The left translations are closed under composition. The principal isotope thus must be a group. The both groups are isomorphic since they have coinciding left regular representations.  $\square$