Definition of a quasigroup. Let \cdot be a binary operation upon a set Q. For every $a \in Q$ define $L_a : Q \to Q$ and $R_a : Q \to Q$ by

$$L_a: x \mapsto ax$$
 and $R_a: x \mapsto xa$.

Call L_a the left translation of the element a, and R_a the right translation.

The pair (Q, \cdot) is called a *quasigroup* if L_a and R_a permute Q for each $a \in Q$. There are many alternative definitions of a quasigroup. We shall get to them later.

Operations of Q will be denoted by different symbols. For example + or * or \circ . The choice of \cdot is implicit. Hence stating that Q is a quasigroup means that we are considering the pair (Q, \cdot) .

The application of \cdot may be replaced by a juxtaposition. Thus xy is the same as $x \cdot y$. It is usual to assume that the juxtaposition binds more tightly than the explicit use of an operation. E.g., $xu \cdot (yz \cdot w)$ is the same as $(x \cdot u) \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot w)$.

Multiplication table. Every binary operation may be represented by its *multiplication (or operational)* table. Both

+	0	1	2		*	0	1	2
	0			and	0	0	2	1
	1			and			1	
2	2	0	1		2	1	0	2

are multiplication tables of a quasigroup. The operation of the quasigroup upon the left is equal to $(x + y) \mod 3$. The formula for the operation of the quasigroup upon the right is $x * y \equiv -x - y \mod 3$. The latter quasigroup is *idempotent*, i.e., x * x = x for every $x \in Q$.

Consider the quasigroup $(\mathbb{Z}_3, +)$ and decompose it to the *border of the table* (upon the left) and the *body of the table* (upon the right):

+	0	1	2					
0				-		0	1	2
1						1	$2 \\ 0$	0
2						2	0	1

Latin squares and quasigroups. Let S be a finite set, |S| = n. A *latin square* over S is an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ such that for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$

 $S = \{a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}\} = \{a_{1i}, \dots, a_{ni}\}.$

If \cdot is a binary operation upon set Q, then (Q, \cdot) is a quasigroup if and only if the body of the operation table is a latin square.

Lines induced by a quasigroup. Let (Q, \cdot) be a quasigroup. Put $\mathcal{P} = Q \times Q$ and treat the set \mathcal{P} as a set of points. Define \mathcal{L}_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$, as sets of parallel lines (pencils) such that $\mathcal{L}_1 = \{r_a; a \in Q\}$, $\mathcal{L}_2 = \{c_a; a \in Q\}$ and $\mathcal{L}_3 = \{s_a; a \in Q\}$, where

$$\begin{split} r_a &= \{(a,x); \ x \in Q\} \quad (\text{the row of } a) \\ c_a &= \{(x,a); \ x \in Q\} \quad (\text{the column of } a) \\ s_a &= \{(x,y) \in Q \times Q; \ xy = a\} \quad (\text{the transversal of } a) \end{split}$$

Axioms of the 3-net. The system $(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3)$ clearly fulfils the following axioms:

- $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}, \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \exists ! \ell \in \mathcal{L}_i \text{ such that } p \in \ell;$
- $\forall i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where $i \neq j$: $(\ell_i \in \mathcal{L}_i, \ell_j \in \mathcal{L}_j \Rightarrow |\ell_i \cap \ell_j| = 1)$

This can be put in words by saying that through each point there passes exactly one line of a given pencil, and that two lines from different pencils intersect in exactly one point.

Any system that fulfils the above two axioms is called a 3-net.

Theorem. Let $(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3)$ be a 3-net. Then $|\mathcal{L}_1| = |\mathcal{L}_2| = |\mathcal{L}_3| = |\ell|$ for any $\ell \in \bigcup \mathcal{L}_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$

Proof. Suppose that $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, $\ell_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$, $\ell_j \in \mathcal{L}_j$ and $\{1, 2, 3\} = \{i, j, k\}$. Map ℓ_i upon ℓ_j in the following way: take $q \in \ell_i$ and consider the line $\ell_k \in \mathcal{L}_k$ that passes through q. This line intersects ℓ_j in a point, say q'. The mapping $q \mapsto q'$ is a bijection since through every point of ℓ_j there passes exactly one line of \mathcal{L}_k .

The mapping $q \mapsto q'$ thus also proves that $|\mathcal{L}_k| = |\ell_i|$. If ℓ'_i is another line from \mathcal{L}_i , then $|\mathcal{L}_k| = |\ell'_i| = |\ell_j|$ by the same argument.

Coordinatization. Let $(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3)$ be a 3-net, and let Q be a set of the same cardinality as \mathcal{L}_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Suppose that $\mu_i \colon Q \to \mathcal{L}_i$ are bijections. If $x, y \in Q$ then there exists a unique line in \mathcal{L}_3 that passes through the intersection of $\mu_1(x)$ and $\mu_2(y)$. This line is equal to some $\mu_3(z)$. Hence there exists a binary operation upon Q such that

$$xy = z \iff \mu_1(x) \cap \mu_2(y) \cap \mu_3(z) \neq \emptyset..$$
 (C)

The operation is a quasigroup since knowledge of y and z determines x uniquely, and, similarly, knowledge of x and z determines y uniquely.

Let Q be a quasigroup and let $\mu_i: Q \to \mathcal{L}_i$ be a bijection for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If (C) holds for all $x, y, z \in Q$, then (μ_1, μ_2, μ_3) is called a *coordinatization* of the 3-net $(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3)$.

Proposition. Let $(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3)$ be a 3-net, and let Q and Q' be quasigroups. If $\mu_i: Q \to \mathcal{L}_i$ and $\mu'_i: Q' \to \mathcal{L}_i$ are bijections such that both (μ_1, μ_2, μ_3) and (μ'_1, μ'_2, μ'_3) are coordinatizations of the 3-net $(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3)$, then the mappings $\alpha_i = (\mu'_i)^{-1}\mu_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, are bijections $Q \to Q'$ that fulfil

$$xy = z \iff \alpha_1(x)\alpha_2(y) = \alpha_3(z).$$

Proof. The mapping α_i is a bijection since both $\mu_i: Q \to \mathcal{L}_i$ and $\mu'_i: Q' \to \mathcal{L}_i$ are bijections, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let $x, y, z \in Q$ be such that xy = z. Then $\mu_1(x) \cap \mu_2(y) \cap \mu_3(z) \neq \emptyset$, by the definition of coordinatization. This can be written as $\mu'_1\alpha_1(x) \cap \mu'_2\alpha_2(y) \cap \mu'_3\alpha_3(z) \neq \emptyset$ since $\mu'_i\alpha_i = \mu'_i(\mu'_i)^{-1}\mu_i = \mu_i$. This means that $\alpha_1(x)\alpha_2(y) = \alpha_3(z)$ holds in Q_2 since (μ'_1, μ'_2, μ'_3) is a coordinatization of $(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3)$. \Box

Isotopy. Suppose that Q_1 and Q_2 are quasigroups. Suppose that α , β and γ are bijections $Q_1 \to Q_2$. The triple (α, β, γ) is called an *isotopy* $Q_1 \to Q_2$ if and only if

$$\forall x, y, z \in Q \colon xy = z \iff \alpha(x)\beta(y) = \gamma(z).$$

This can be also expressed as $\gamma(xy) = \alpha(x)\beta(y)$. The fact that α , β and γ are bijections means that is suffices to verify $xy = z \Rightarrow \alpha(x)\beta(y) = \gamma(z)$. Indeed, if $\alpha(x)\beta(y) = \gamma(z)$ and xy = z', then $\alpha(x)\beta(y) = \gamma(z')$ and z = z'.

Quasigroups Q_1 and Q_2 are called *isotopic* if and only if there exists an isotopy $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$.

Theorem. Quasigroups Q_1 and Q_2 are isotopic if and only if there exists a 3-net $(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3)$ that may be coordinatized both by Q_1 and Q_2 .

Proof. By the Proposition any two quasigroups coordinatizing the same 3-net are isotopic. Suppose now that $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ is an isotopy $Q_1 \to Q_2$. We shall show that both Q_1 and Q_2 may be used to coordinatize the 3-net of Q_2 that consists of row lines r_b , column lines c_b and symbol lines $s_b, b \in Q_2$. A coordinatization (ν_1, ν_2, ν_3) by Q_2 is defined straightforwardly as $\nu_1(b) = r_b, \nu_2(b) = c_b$ and $\nu_3(b) = s_b$. The triple (ν_1, ν_2, ν_3) coordinatizes the 3-net since xy = z if and only if $r_x \cap c_y \cap s_z \neq \emptyset$, for any $x, y, z \in Q_2$.

A coordinatization $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ by Q_1 is defined so that $\lambda_1(a) = r_{\alpha_1(a)}, \lambda_2(a) = c_{\alpha_2(a)}$ and $\lambda_3(a) = s_{\alpha_3(a)}$, for each $a \in Q_1$. Suppose that $x, y, z \in Q_1$. By the definition, $\lambda_1(x) \cap \lambda_2(y) \cap \lambda_3(z)$ is equal to $r_{\alpha_1(x)} \cap c_{\alpha_2(y)} \cap s_{\alpha_3(z)}$. This is nonempty if and only if $\alpha_1(x) \cdot \alpha_2(y) = \alpha_3(z)$. Since $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ is an isotopy $Q_1 \to Q_2$, the latter equality holds if and only if xy = z. Therefore xy = z if and only if $\lambda_1(x) \cap \lambda_2(y) \cap \lambda_3(z) \neq \emptyset$. This verifies that $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ is a coordinatization of the 3-net upon $Q_2 \times Q_2$.

Elementary algebraic properties of isotopies. Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma): Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ and $(\delta, \epsilon, \eta): Q_2 \rightarrow Q_3$ are isotopies. Then both $(\delta\alpha, \epsilon\beta, \eta\gamma): Q_1 \rightarrow Q_3$ and $(\alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}, \gamma^{-1}): Q_2 \rightarrow Q_1$ are isotopies.

To verify the former property consider $x, y \in Q_1$. Then $\delta\alpha(x) \cdot \epsilon\beta(y) = \eta(\alpha(x) \cdot \beta(y)) = \eta\gamma(xy)$. To verify the latter property consider $x', y' \in Q_2$. There exist unique $x, y \in Q_1$ such that $x' = \alpha(x)$ and $y' = \beta(y)$. Now, $\alpha^{-1}(x')\beta^{-1}(y') = xy = \gamma^{-1}\gamma(xy) = \gamma^{-1}(\alpha(x)\beta(y)) = \gamma^{-1}(x'y')$.

Note that $\alpha: Q_1 \to Q_2$ is an *isomorphism* if and only if (α, α, α) is an isotopomism $Q_1 \to Q_2$.

Autotopies and the left nucleus. Let Q be a quasigroup. An isotopy $Q \to Q$ is called an *autotopy*. All autotopies form a group. This group will be denoted by Atp(Q).

Consider $a \in Q$ and recall that L_a denotes the left translation of the element a. The triple $(L_a, \mathrm{id}_Q, L_a)$ is an isotopy if and only if $L_a(x) \cdot \mathrm{id}_Q(y) = L_a(xy)$ for all $x, y \in Q$. This is the same as

$$a \cdot xy = ax \cdot y$$
 for all $x, y \in Q$.

All $a \in Q$ that fulfil this conditions form a subset of Q that is called the *left nucleus*. It is denoted by $N_{\lambda}(Q)$. Elements of $N_{\lambda}(Q)$ are those elements of Q that may be described by saying that they 'associate upon the left'.

Exercise. Let G be a group. Describe Atp(G).