# Health care system

typology

## Content

- Healthcare system typology
- First Team Presentation Rebeka, Joe and Augustin (OECD+Welfare,

Ireland)

# Some technical issues first ...

#### Commentary

- Thank you for uploading your first assessment - commentary I
- We will go through and will share our feedback with you (via Moodle)
- Points for Commentary activity will be awarded within ten days

#### **Presentation and Overview**

- Presentation points will be awarded approximately one week after the last presentation (20/3).
- Overview points will be awarded approximately ten days after the final version of the overview has been submitted (24/3).

# Classification of health systems



# Types of financing

**Indirect financing** 

Public budgets (general taxation)	Public health insurance
Private insurance	Insurance as an employee benefit
Charity	International institutions

#### **Direct funding**

= direct patient payments

USA, Singapore, Australia, New Zealand, Switzerland (until 1996)

# Why indirect financing

- Spread the risk among all participants in the system (healthy and sick, older and younger, men and women, ..)
- Ability to spread financial risk at the time care is provided
- Based on prepayment = premium/tax (before illness/care)
- Premiums/taxes often combined with (the possibility of) direct payments (co-payments for care provided)

### Types of health systems by predominant source of funding

#### Public budgets/taxes (general/health/social)

#### Public health/social insurance

# General difference: state guarantee of care

- It exists:
  - A system financed through general taxation
  - Schemes financed through public health insurance

VS.

#### Private/company insurance

• It doesn't exist:

 Systems based on a pure market mechanism

### Tax-funded schemes = National Health Service



# Beveridge system

- A system with universal access to care
- Health care " free " for all citizens in need of care
- Mainly financed by general taxes (82%)
- Autonomy of care providers: freedom to choose where they want to work (private, public or a combination) and freedom to prescribe
- General practitioner (GP) as gate keeper
- Hospitals as trusts, freedom in pricing policy, wage/salary setting, focus or size
- Equality as a core value
- Waiting lists as a major weakness

# Insurancefinanced schemes

- Social health insurance model
- Compulsory income tax contributions
- Operated by self-administered health insurance companies
- Providers contracted to health insurers or billing health insurers for care
- It does not pass directly through the public finances
- Can exist as centralised (France) or decentralised (Germany)
- Efficiency as a core value

Germany, Austria, Switzerland, France, Holland, Belgium, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Hungary,



# The Bismarck system

- It can exist in various forms
- Often compulsory insurance based on membership of a profession and funded by premiums that are shared between the employee and the employer (may exist in the form of different schemes for different professions, e.g. France)
- The often important role of the GP/treating/family doctor who coordinates care and " filters" patients for secondary/tertiary care
- Hospitals private and public, for-profit and not-for-profit
- Different methods of reimbursement: DRG (Germany), budget (France), combination of both (Czech Republic)
  - Different ways of reimbursing physicians for care (fee-for-service, capitation, combination)
- The degree of price regulation (of drugs, services) varies (more regulated in France or the Czech Republic and less in Germany, the Netherlands, Switzerland)
- Degree of patient "freedom" varies (greater in Switzerland, the Netherlands and less in France)

# Market system (USA)

- Role of the state limited, care not guaranteed, no universal access to care
- No obligation to be insured (8.4% (2022) of the population uninsured)

• Obama care (still 27.6 million (2022) uninsured)

- Insurance either individually or through your employer
- For some populations, care covered by public funds (Medicare, Medicaid) elderly, veterans, vulnerable populations
- Quality as the main value of the system
- High-level science and research
- Highest health spending (17.7% of GDP, 2019)

#### Tax based

- Low motivation of civil servants to be effective while using the scarce resources
- Low administrative cost (NHS approx. 5%, US more than 20%)
- Level of government information
- Emphasis on prevention
- Relatively high access of HC services

#### **Insurance based**

- High motivation to use the resources in an effective way
- Better information about the health care costs
- Higher transaction power
- More transparency of financial flows, better predictability of resources
- Competitiveness of payers => influence on cost containment
- No prevention stimulus
- Cream skimming
- Problem with uninsured people

## For next week ...

Please watch M. Moore's Sicko document (link in Moodle),

and

be ready to discuss next week what you found most interesting, surprising...

"Sicko is a 2007 American political <u>documentary film</u> by filmmaker <u>Michael Moore</u>. Investigating <u>health care in the United</u> <u>States</u>, the film focuses on the country's <u>health insurance</u> and the <u>pharmaceutical industry</u>. Moore compares the for-profit non-universal U.S. system with the non-profit <u>universal health care</u> systems of <u>Canada</u>, the <u>United</u> <u>Kingdom</u>, <u>France</u> and <u>Cuba</u>."

# On you now 🙂

#### First Team Presentation – Rebeka, Joe and Augustin

- 10-15 minutes for presentation
- Establish a clear connection to the assigned readings (Commentary): OECD+Welfare,
- Health System (Overview): Ireland
- Topics for discussion

#### **Other teams**

• In teams, you are asked to give constructive feedback to your peers who are presenting