will be found the best introduction to the remainder. Those to whom nothing which I am about to say will be new, may therefore, I hope, excuse me, if on a subject which for now three centuries has been so often discussed, I venture on one discussion more.

## CHAPTER 2

## Of the liberty of thought and discussion

The time, it is to be hoped, is gone by, when any defence would be necessary of the 'liberty of the press' as one of the securities against corrupt or tyrannical government. No argument, we may suppose, can now be needed, against permitting a legislature or an executive, not identified in interest with the people, to prescribe opinions to them, and determine what doctrines or what arguments they shall be allowed to hear. This aspect of the question, besides, has been so often and so triumphantly enforced by preceding writers, that it needs not be specially insisted on in this place. Though the law of England, on the subject of the press, is as servile to this day as it was in the time of the Tudors, there is little danger of its being actually put in force against political discussion, except during some temporary panic, when fear of insurrection drives ministers and judges from their propriety; and, speaking generally, it is not, in constitutional

These words had scarcely been written, when, as if to give them an emphatic contradiction, occurred the Government Press Prosecutions of 1858. That ill-judged interference with the liberty of public discussion has not, however, induced me to alter a single word in the text, nor has it at all weakened my conviction that, moments of panic excepted, the era of pains and penalties for political discussion has, in our own country, passed away. For, in the first place, the prosecutions were not persisted in; and, in the second, they were never, properly speaking, political prosecutions. The office charged was not that of criticising institutions, or the acts of persons or rulers, but of circulating what was deemed an immoral doctrine, the lawfulness of

If the arguments of the present chapter are of any validity, there ought to exist the fullest liberty of professing and discussing, as a matter of ethical conviction, any doctrine, however immoral it may be considered. It would, therefore be irrelevant and out of place to examine here, whether the doctrine of Tyrannicide deserves that title. I shall content myself with saying that the subject has been at all times one of the open

countries, to be apprehended, that the government, whether comgovernment. The power itself is illegitimate. The best government people to exercise such coercion, either by themselves or by their with what it conceives to be their voice. But I deny the right of the never thinks of exerting any power of coercion unless in agreement therefore, that the government is entirely at one with the people, and organ of the general intolerance of the public. Let us suppose the expression of opinion, except when in doing so it makes itself the pletely responsible to the people or not, will often attempt to contro more justified in silencing that one person, than he, if he had the only one person were of the contrary opinion, mankind would be no opposition to it. If all mankind minus one, were of one opinion, and when exerted in accordance with public opinion, than when in has no more title to it than the worst. It is as noxious, or more noxious, of an opinion is, that it is robbing the human race; posterity as well as make some difference whether the injury was inflicted only on a few obstructed in the enjoyment of it were simply a private injury, it would personal possession of no value except to the owner; if to be power, would be justified in silencing mankind. Were an opinion a the existing generation; those who dissent from the opinion, still more persons or on many. But the peculiar evil of silencing the expression impression of truth, produced by its collision with error. almost as great a benefit, the clearer perception and livelier opportunity of exchanging error for truth: if wrong, they lose, what is than those who hold it. If the opinion is right, they are deprived of the

It is necessary to consider separately these two hypotheses, each of which has a distinct branch of the argument corresponding to it. We can never be sure that the opinion we are endeavouring to stifle is a false opinion; and if we were sure, stifling it would be an evil still.

First: the opinion which it is attempted to suppress by authority

questions of morals; that the act of a private citizen in striking down a criminal, who, by raising himself above the law, has placed himself beyond the reach of legal punishment or control, has been accounted by whole nations, and by some of the best and wisest of men, not a crime but an act of exalted virtue; and that, right or wrong, it is not of the nature of assassination, but of civil war. As such, I hold that the instigation to it, in a specific case, may be a proper subject of punishment, but only if an overt act has followed, and at least a probable connection can be established between the act and the instigation. Even then, it is not a foreign government, but the very government assailed, which alone, in the exercise of self-defence, can legitimately punish attacks directed against its own existence.

may possibly be true. Those who desire to suppress it, of course deny its truth; but they are not infallible. They have no authority to decide the question for all mankind, and exclude every other person from the means of judging. To refuse a hearing to an opinion, because they are sure that it is false, is to assume that their certainty is the same thing as absulute certainty. All silencing of discussion is an assumption of infallibility. Its condemnation may be allowed to rest on this common argument, not the worse for being common.

illy, every age having held many opinions which subsequent ages have ingument can make it, that ages are no more infallible than individuobject of his reliance, and that the same causes which make him a upon his own world the responsibility of being in the right against the Confucian in Pekin. Yet it is as evident in itself, as any amount of Churchman in London, would have made him a Buddhist or a mere accident has decided which of these numerous worlds is the dissentient worlds of other people; and it never troubles him that have thought, and even now think, the exact reverse. He devolves aware that other ages, countries, sects, churches, classes, and parties means anything so comprehensive as his own country or his own age called, by comparison, almost liberal and large-minded to whom it must, on the infallibility of 'the world' in general. And the world, to in his own solitary judgment, does he usually repose, with implicit they habitually defer: for in proportion to a man's want of confidence their opinions as are shared by all who surround them, or to whom they are wrong, place the same unbounded reliance only on such of opinions disputed, and are not wholly unused to be set right when all subjects. People more happily situated, who sometimes hear their usually feel this complete confidence in their own opinions on nearly error to which they acknowledge themselves to be liable. Absolute of which they feel very certain, may be one of the examples of the Nor is his faith in this collective authority at all shaken by his being his party, his sect, his church, his class of society: the man may be each individual, means the part of it with which he comes in contact; princes, or others who are accustomed to unlimited deference, against their own fallibility, or admit the supposition that any opinion, which is always allowed to it in theory; for while every one well knows fallibility is far from carrying the weight in their practical judgment, himself to be fallible, few think it necessary to take any precautions Unfortunately for the good sense of mankind, the fact of their

deemed not only false but absurd; and it is as certain that many opinions, now general, will be rejected by future ages, as it is that many, once general, are rejected by the present.

sufficient for the purposes of human life. We may, and must, assume other things, which are not denied to be fit subjects for the exercise of same mistake: but governments and nations have made mistakes in or in another, to be scattered abroad without restraint, because other shrink from acting on their opinions, and allow doctrines which they governments, and of individuals, to form the truest opinions they can: wrong, we should leave all our interests uncared for, and all our although fallible, of acting on their conscientious conviction. If we propagation of opinions which we regard as false and pernicious. assuming no more when we forbid bad men to pervert society by the our opinion to be true for the guidance of our own conduct: and it is There is no such thing as absolute certainty, but there is assurance no wars? Men, and governments, must act to the best of their ability therefore to lay on no taxes, and, under whatever provocation, make authority: they have laid on bad taxes, made unjust wars. Ought we believed to be true. Let us take care, it may be said, not to make the people, in less enlightened times, have persecuted opinions now honestly think dangerous to the welfare of mankind, either in this life reasoners may say), it is not conscientiousness but cowardice to they are quite sure of being right. But when they are sure (such to form them carefully, and never impose them upon others unless be no valid objection to any conduct in particular. It is the duty of duties unperformed. An objection which applies to all conduct, can were never to act on our opinions, because those opinions may be exemption from error, but fulfilling the duty incumbent on them, use it at all? To prohibit what they think pernicious, is not claiming of infallibility in forbidding the propagation of error, than in any other it may be used erroneously, are men to be told that they ought not to responsibility. Judgment is given to men that they may use it. Because thing which is done by public authority on its own judgment and take some such form as the following. There is no greater assumption The objection likely to be made to this argument, would probably

I answer, that it is assuming very much more. There is the greatest difference between presuming an opinion to be true, because, with every opportunity for contesting it, it has not been refuted, and assuming its truth for the purpose of not permitting its refutation.

Complete liberty of contradicting and disproving our opinion, is the very condition which justifies us in assuming its truth for purposes of action; and on no other terms can a being with human faculties have any rational assurance of being right.

character of mind. No wise man every acquired his wisdom in any opinion, and studying all modes in which it can be looked at by every by hearing what can be said about it by persons of every variety of being can make some approach to knowing the whole of a subject, is fallacious. Because he has felt, that the only way in which a human said against him; to profit by as much of it as was just, and expound to conduct. Because it has been his practice to listen to all that could be right are kept constantly at hand. In the case of any person whose wrong, reliance can be placed on it only when the means of setting it depending on the one property, that it can be set right when it is meaning. The whole strength and value, then, of human judgment, any effect on the mind, must be brought before it. Very few facts are ally yield to fact and argument: but facts and arguments, to produce experience is to be interpreted. Wrong opinions and practices graduhimself, and upon occasion to others, the fallacy of what was Because he has kept his mind open to criticism of his opinions and judgment is really deserving of confidence, how has it become so: able to tell their own story, without comments to bring out their mind, the source of everything respectable in man either as an in an almost desperate state - it is owing to a quality of the human which there must be unless human affairs are, and have always been opinions and rational conduct? If there really is this preponderance -Not by experience alone. There must be discussion, to show how intellectual or as a moral being, namely, that his errors are corrigible there is on the whole a preponderance among mankind of rational many opinions now known to be erroneous, and did or approved is capable; and the capacity of the hundredth person is only compara-He is capable of rectifying his mistakes, by discussion and experience numerous things which no one will now justify. Why is it, then, that live; for the majority of the eminent men of every past generation held the human understanding; for, on any matter not self-evident, there other are no worse than they are? Not certainly to the inherent force of are ninety-nine persons totally incapable of judging of it, for one who conduct of human life, to what is it to be ascribed that the one and the When we consider either the history of opinion, or the ordinary

mode but this; nor is it in the nature of human intellect to become wise in any other manner. The steady habit of correcting and completing his own opinion by collating it with those of others, so far from causing doubt and hesitation in carrying it into practice, is the only stable foundation for a just reliance on it. for, being cognisant of all that can, at least obviously, be said against him, and having taken up his position against all gainsayers – knowing that he has sought for objections and difficulties, instead of avoiding them, and has shut out no light which can be thrown upon the subject from any quarter – he has a right to think his judgment better than that of any person, or any multitude, who have not gone through a similar process.

which we have most warrant for, have no safeguard to rest on, but a could say against him is known and weighed. If even the Newtonian cannot be admitted to posthumous honours, until all that the devi patiently to, a 'devil's advocate'. The holiest of men, it appears, warrant their relying on it, should be submitted to by that miscelwho are best entitled to trust their own judgment, find necessary to being, and this the sole way of attaining it. our own day. This is the amount of certainty attainable by a fallible may rely on having attained such approach to truth, as is possible in existing state of human reason admits of; we have neglected nothing are far enough from certainty still; but we have done the best that the standing invitation to the whole world to prove them unfounded. If philosophy were not permitted to be questioned, mankind could not Church, even at the canonisation of a saint, admits, and listens the public. The most intolerant of churches, the Roman Catholic laneous collection of a few wise and many foolish individuals, called the human mind is capable of receiving it; and in the meantime we open, we may hope that if there be a better truth, it will be found when that could give the truth a chance of reaching us: if the lists are kept the challenge is not accepted, or is accepted and the attempt fails, we feel as complete assurance of its truth as they now do. The beliefs It is not too much to require that what the wisest of mankind, those

Strange it is, that men should admit the validity of the arguments for free discussion, but object to their being 'pushed to an extreme', not seeing that unless the reasons are good for an extreme case, they are not good for any case. Strange that they should imagine that they are not assuming infallibility, when they acknowledge that there should be free discussion on all subjects which can possibly be

doubtful, but think that some particular principle or doctrine should be forbidden to be questioned because it is so *certain*, that is, because they are certain that it is certain. To call any proposition certain, while there is any one who would deny its certainty if permitted, but who is not permitted, is to assume that we ourselves, and those who agree with us, are the judges of certainty, and judges without hearing the other side.

the opinion, not of bad men, but of the best men, no belief which is It possible to exclude the consideration of whether or not it is true? In whether or not it is desirable that a proposition should be believed, is muth. The truth of an opinion is part of its utility. If we would know m harmlessness of his opinion, though forbidden to maintain its will not do to say that the heretic may be allowed to maintain the utility upinion condemned has full opportunity of defending itself. And it decide an opinion to be noxious, as to decide it to be false, unless the melf. There is the same need of an infallible judge of opinions to open to discussion, and requiring discussion as much, as the opinion would wish to practise. This mode of thinking makes the justification tion of infallibility is merely shifted from one point to another. The those who thus satisfy themselves, do not perceive that the assumpresponsibility of claiming to be an infallible judge of opinions. But of their usefulness; and flatters itself by that means to escape the thought, in restraining bad men, and prohibiting what only such men weaken these salutary beliefs; and there can be nothing wrong, it is warrant, and even bind, governments, to act on their own opinion usefulness of an opinion is itself matter of opinion: as disputable, as of restraints on discussion not a question of the truth of doctrines, but and still oftener thought, that none but bad men would desire to confirmed by the general opinion of mankind. It is also often argued their duty, something less than infallibility may, it is maintained, of society. In a case of such necessity, and so directly in the line of ments to uphold those beliefs, as to protect any other of the interests indispensable to well-being, that it is as much the duty of governsociety. There are, it is alleged, certain beliefs, so useful, not to say without them - the claims of an opinion to be protected from public their opinions are true, as that they should not know what to do but terrified at sceptism' - in which people feel sure, not so much that attack are rested not so much on its truth, as on its importance to In the present age - which has been described as 'destitute of faith

guilt of rejecting it. on one side, but not on the other. And in point of fact, when law or they allow is an extenuation of its absolute necessity, or of the positive public feeling do not permit the truth of an opinion to be disputed question of usefulness, when an argument so vital may be employed held to be so indispensable. There can be no fair discussion of the their doctrine is the 'truth', that the knowledge or the belief of it is abstracted from that of truth: on the contrary, it is, above all, because them handling the question of utility as if it could be completely never fail to take all possible advantage of this plea; you do not find believe to be false? Those who are on the side of received opinions, denying some doctrine which they are told is useful, but which they from urging that plea, when they are charged with culpability for contrary to truth can be really useful; and can you prevent such mer they are just as little tolerant of a denial of its usefulness. The utmost

of that private judgment, though backed by the public judgment of his demn) the immorality and impiety of an opinion; yet if, in pursuance consequences, but (to adopt expressions which I altogether conbut of the pernicious consequences - not only of the pernicious assumption of infallibility. It is the undertaking to decide that a God one of the opinions, to feel sure of which, you hold to be ciently certain to be taken under the protection of law? Is the belief in such ground, gives a great advantage to an unfair antagonist; since he of the commonly received doctrines of morality. To fight the battle on truth and on that of utility, is considered the strongest. Let the which the argument against freedom of opinion, both on the score of choose, by preference, the cases which are least favourable to me - in will be desirable to fix down the discussion to a concrete case; and However positive any one's persuasion may be, not only of the falsity the less, if put forth on the side of my most solemn convictions the contrary side. And I denounce and reprobate this pretension no question for others, without allowing them to hear what can be said or the feeling sure of a doctrine (be it what it may) which I call an assuming infallibility? But I must be permitted to observe, that it is not will be sure to say (and many who have no desire to be unfair will say it opinions impugned be the belief in a God and in a future state, or any opinions because we, in our own judgment, have condemned them, it internally), Are these the doctrines which you do not deem suffi-In order more fully to illustrate the mischief of denying a hearing to

> with deplorable success as to the men, though some of the doctrines the astonishment and horror of posterity. It is among such that we men of one generation commit those dreadful mistakes, which excite which it is most fatal. These are exactly the occasions on which the assumption being less objectionable or less dangerous because the heard in its defence, he assumes infallibility. And so far from the and duct towards those who dissent from them, or from their received have survived to be (as if in mockery) invoked, in defence of similar heen employed to root out the best men and the noblest doctrines: find the instances memorable in history, when the arm of the law has upinion is called immoral or impious, this is the case of all others in country or his cotemporaries, he prevents the opinion from being interpretation.

he put to death as a criminal. man who probably of all then born had deserved best of mankind, to ground for believing, honestly found him guilty, and condemned the gods at all. Immorality, in being, by his doctrines and instructions, a indeed his accuser asserted (see the Apologia) that he believed in no and immorality. Impiety, in denying the gods recognised by the State put to death by his countrymen, after a judicial conviction, for impiety remainder of the names which make his native city illustrious - was after more than two thousand years, all but outweighs the whole minent thinkers who have since lived - whose fame, still growing in of all other philosophy. This acknowledged master of all the Aristotle, 'i maëstri di color che sanno', the two headsprings of ethical head and prototype of all subsequent teachers of virtue, the source and the age, as the most virtuous man in it; while me know him as the torrupter of youth'. Of these charges the tribunal, there is every equally of the lofty inspiration of Plato and the judicious utilitarianism man has been handed down to us by those who best knew both him public opinion of his time, there took place a memorable collision man named Socrates, between whom and the legal authorities and Horn in an age and country abounding in individual greatness, this Mankind can hardly be too often reminded, that there was once a

those who witnessed his life and conversation, such an impression of  $\scriptstyle\rm Ham$  eighteen hundred years ago. The man who left on the memory of an anti-climax: the event which took place on Calvary rather more mention of which, after the condemnation of Socrates, would not be To pass from this to the only other instance of judicial iniquity, the

who now shudder at his conduct, if they had lived in his time, and chance of passing through life blameless and respected. The highworse than men commonly are, but rather the contrary; men who and treated him as that prodigy of impiety, which they themselves are ought to remember that one of those persecutors was Saint Paul. which, according to all the ideas of his country, constituted the priest who rent his garments when the words were pronounced very kind of men who, in all times, our own included, have every religious, moral, and patriotic feelings of their time and people: the possessed in a full, or somewhat more than a full measure, the unhappy actors. These were, to all appearance, not bad men - not mankind now regard these lamentable transactions, especially the now held to be, for their treatment of him. The feelings with which benefactor; they mistook him for the exact contrary of what he was death, as what? As a blasphemer. Men did not merely mistake their the first martyrs must have been worse men that they themselves are been born Jews, would have acted precisely as he did. Orthodox indignation, as the generality of respectable and pious men now are in blackest guilt, was in all probability quite as sincere in his horror and later of the two, render them extremely unjust in their judgment of the homage to him as the Almighty in person, was ignominiously put to his moral grandeur, that eighteen subsequent centuries have done Christians who are tempted to think that those who stoned to death the religious and moral sentiments they profess; and most of those

are attributed to him, were all on the side of indulgence: while his only the most unblemished justice, but what was less to be expected dogmatic sense of the word, than almost any of the ostensibly scarcely perceptibly, if they differ at all, from the most characteristic writings, the highest ethical product of the ancient mind, differ from his Stoical breeding, the tenderest heart. The few failings which monarch of the whole civilised world, he preserved through life not cotemporaries, it was the Emperor Marcus Aurelius. Absolute for thinking himself the best and most enlightened among his Christianity. Placed at the summit of all the previous attainments of Christian sovereigns who have since reigned, persecuted teachings of Christ. This man, a better Christian in all but the him who falls into it. If ever any one, possessed of power, had grounds impressiveness of an error is measured by the wisdom and virtue of Let us add one more example, the most striking of all, if the

more elevated in his intellect above it - more earnest in his search to which can be urged for punishing anti-Christian teaching, was and the multitude, which the great Antoninus made with so fortunate muth, or more single-minded in his devotion to it when found; - let opinions, flatters himself that he is a wiser and better man than Unless any one who approves of punishment for the promulgation of living, might have been thought the most capable of appreciating it. believed the same things of Christianity; he who, of all men then iil (Ihristianity, No Christian more firmly believes that Atheism is wanting to Marcus Aurelius for punishing, as he did, the propagation of philosophers and rulers, under a solemn sense of duty, authorised abatements, it has in fact proved to be; the gentlest and most amiable true or of divine origin; inasmuch as this strange history of a crucified him abstain from that assumption of the joint infallibility of himself Marcus Aurelius - more deeply versed in the wisdom of his time, infinally unjust to him and false to truth, to deny, that no one plea Marcus Aurelius instead of those of Constantine. But it would be the Christianity of the world might have been, if the Christian faith implical facts in all history. It is a bitter thought, how different a thing the persecution of Christianity. To my mind this is one of the most untillely upon a foundation to him so wholly unbelievable, could not thad was not credible to him, and a system which purported to rest others could be formed which could again knit it together. The new lalve, and tends to the dissolution of society, than Marcus Aurelius had been adopted as the religion of the empire under the auspices of he foreseen by him to be that renovating agency which, after al hammuch then as the theology of Christianity did not appear to him his duty to adopt that religion, it seemed to be his duty to put it down religion openly aimed at dissolving these ties: unless, therefore, it was all in pieces; and saw not how, if its existing ties were removed, any from being worse, by belief and reference of the received divinities he waw, or thought he saw, that it was held together, and prevented the world, with his duties to which he was so deeply penetrated yet he failed to see that Christianity was to be a good and not an evil to hall him of himself to embody in his moral writings the Christian ideal, humanity, with an open, unfettered intellect, and a character which An a ruler of mankind, he deemed it his duty not to suffer society to whiting society he knew to be in a deplorable state. But such as it was

Aware of the impossibility of defending the use of punishment for restraining irreligious opinions, by any argument which will not justify Marcus Antoninus, the enemies of religious freedom, when hard pressed, occasionally accept this consequence, and say, with Dr Johnson, that the persecutors of Christianity were in the right, that persecution is an ordeal through which truth ought to pass, and always passes successfully, legal penalties being, in the end, powerless against truth, though sometimes beneficially effective against mischievous errors. This is a form of the argument for religious intolerance, sufficiently remarkable not to be passed without notice.

who think with Dr Johnson believe it to have been the most precious a human being can render to his fellow-creatures, and in certain vital point of temporal or spiritual interest, is as important a service as world something which deeply concerns it, and of which it was adopt his proposition. People who defend this mode of treating of a new law, with a halter round his neck, to be instantly tightened if should stand, as stood, in the legislation of the Locrians, the proposer mourn in sackcloth and ashes, but the normal and justifiable state of theory, a deplorable error and misfortune, for which humanity should should be to be dealt with as the vilest of criminals, is not, upon this splendid benefits should be requited by martyrdom; that their reward gift which could be bestowed on mankind. That the authors of such cases, as in those of the early Christians and of the Reformers, those previously ignorant; to prove to it that it had been mistaken on some persons to whom mankind are indebted for them. To discover to the truths; but we cannot commend the generosity of its dealing with the charged with being intentionally hostile to the reception of new persons who think that new truths may have been desirable once, but benefactors, cannot be supposed to set much value on the benefit; and the public assembly did not, on hearing his reasons, then and there things. The propounder of a new truth, according to this doctrine because persecution cannot possibly do it any harm, cannot be that we have had enough of them now. I believe this view of the subject is mostly confined to the sort of A theory which maintains that truth may justifiably be persecuted

But indeed, the dictum that truth always triumphs over persecution, is one of those pleasant falsehoods which men repeat after one another till they pass into commonplaces, but which all experience

> stances it escapes persecution until it has made such head as to of its reappearances falls on a time when from favourable circumwithstand all subsequent attempts to suppress it. there will generally be found persons to rediscover it, until some one be extinguished once, twice, or many times, but in the course of ages which truth has, consists in this, that when an opinion is true, it may succeed in stopping the propagation of either. The real advantage me not more zealous for truth than they often are for error, and a denied to error, of prevailing against the dungeon and the stake. Men minimentality that truth, merely as truth, has any inherent power millicient application of legal or even of social penalties will generally intervals of almost undisturbed propagandism. It is a piece of idle unly occasional, lasting but a short time, and separated by long spread, and became predominant, because the persecutions were Christianity might have been extirpated in the Roman Empire. It be effectually persecuted. No reasonable person can doubt that always succeeded, save where the heretics were too strong a party to had Queen Mary lived, or Queen Elizabeth died. Persecution has him was rooted out; and, most likely, would have been so in England, miccessful. In Spain, Italy, Flanders, the Austrian empire, Protestantafter the era of Luther, wherever persecution was persisted in, it was down. The Albigeois were put down. The Vaudois were put down. redutes. History teems with instances of truth put down by persecu-Hrescia was put down. Fra Dolcino was put down. Savonarola was put llinn. If not suppressed for ever, it may be thrown back for centuries The Lollards were put down. The Hussites were put down. Even limit (wenty times before Luther, and was put down. Arnold of In speak only of religious opinions: the Reformation broke out at

It will be said, that we do not now put to death the introducers of linew opinions: we are not like our fathers who slew the prophets, we went build sepulchres to them. It is true we no longer put heretics to death; and the amount of penal infliction which modern feeling would probably tolerate, even against the most obnoxious opinions, is not millicient to extirpate them. But let us not flatter ourselves that we are yet free from the stain even of legal persecution. Penalties for opinion, in at least for its expression, still exist by law; and their enforcement is not, even in these times, so unexampled as to make it at all incredible that they may some day be revived in full force. In the year 1857, at the

proved not to deserve it. The rule, and the theory it implies, are hardly peculiarity, that the qualification for undergoing it, is the being clearly of hatred, a relic of persecution; a persecution, too, having the as regards its professed purpose, can be kept in force only as a badge than affirm a falsehood. A rule thus self-convicted of absurdity so far who brave the obloquy of publicly confessing a detested creed rather testimony of all atheists who are willing to lie, and rejects only those attainments, are well known, at least to their intimates, to be a person who does not believe in a future state; a proposition which less insulting to believers than to infidels. For if he who does not foundation. Under pretence that atheists must be liars, it admits the unbelievers. The rule, besides, is suicidal, and cuts away its own maintained by no one who had the smallest conception how many of is historically true that a large proportion of infidels in all ages have the persons in greatest repute with the world, both for virtues and for been persons of distinguished integrity and honour); and would be assumption on which this is grounded, is that the oath is worthless, of with impunity, if the proof of the fact depends on their evidence. The opinions, be present, but any one else may be robbed or assaulted with impunity, if no one but themselves, or persons of similar equivalent to declaring such persons to be outlaws, excluded from the allowed to give evidence in a court of justice, who does not profess betokens much ignorance of history in those who assent to it (since it protection of the tribunals; who may not only be robbed or assaulted belief in a God (any god is sufficient) and in a future state; which is occasions,2 were rejected as jurymen, and one of them grossly of the same time, at the Old Bailey, two persons, on two separate gate, some offensive words concerning Christianity. Within a month to twenty-one months' imprisonment, for uttering, and writing on a to be of unexceptionable conduct in all relations of life, was sentenced redress took place in virtue of the legal doctrine, that no person can be tor the same reason, was denied justice against a thief. This refusal of declared that they had no theological belief; and a third, a foreigner, insulted by the judge and by one of the counsel, because they honestly summer assizes of the county of Cornwall, an unfortunate man, said

helieve in a future state, necessarily lies, it follows that they who do helieve are only prevented from lying, if prevented they are, by the lear of hell. We will not do the authors and abettors of the rule the injury of supposing, that the conception which they have formed of the little is drawn from their own consciousness.

pursecution. For it is this - it is the opinions men entertain, and the ling those whom they have never ceased to think proper objects of feelings of a people, which at all times abides in the middle classes of this country, it needs but little to provoke them into actively persecutand where there is the strong permanent leaven of intolerance in the marrow and uncultivated minds, at least as much the revival of bigotry: hometed of at the present time as the revival of religion, is always, in attempts to resuscitate past evils, as to introduce new benefits. What is millinuc. In this age the quiet surface of routine is as often ruffled by than, which has lasted for about the space of a generation, will the public mind, that the suspension of worse forms of legal persecumally into practice. But unhappily there is no security in the state of principle, when they are no longer bad enough to desire to carry it makes them take a preposterous pleasure in the assertion of a bad an example of that very frequent infirmity of English minds, which he thought to be not so much an indication of the wish to persecute, as These, indeed, are but rags and remnants of persecution, and may

thunnity of Christ are beyond the pale of toleration. Who, after this imbecile display under a liberal Ministry, maintains the doctrine that all who do not believe in the man who has been deemed fit to fill a high office in the government of this country, an includge the illusion that religious persecution has passed away, never to return? It meant the complete liberty to all, freedom of worship, among Christians, who worshipiil retarding the ascendancy of the British name, and preventing the salutary growth of pod upon the same foundation. It meant toleration of all sects and denominations of histianity.... Toleration was the great corner-stone of the religious liberties of this impressition which they called religion, by the British Government, had had the effect delivered to his constituents on the 12th of November, 1857, is reported to have said: hustians who believed in the one mediation.' I desire to call attention to the fact, that a Internation of their faith' (the faith of a hundred millions of British subjects), 'the pretended Christians. An Under-Secretary of State [William N. Massey], in a speech and whools be supported by public money in which the Bible is not taught, and by minimized as their principle for the government of Hindoos and Mahomedans, that pulpit may be unworthy of notice; but the heads of the Evangelical party have Ample warning may be drawn from the large infusion of the passions of a persecutor, which mingled with the general display of the worst parts of our national character on minity; but do not let them abuse that precious word toleration. As he understood it, mercially consequence that no public employment be given to any but real or the occasion of the Sepoy insurrection. The ravings of fanatics or charlatans from the

Thomas Pooley, Bodmin Assizes, July 31, 1857. In December following, he received a free pardon from the Crown.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>George Jacob Holyoake, August 17, 1857; Edward Truelove, July, 1857. <sup>3</sup>Baron de Gleichen, Marlborough-street Police Station, August 4, 1857.

might as well be imprisoned, as excluded from the means of earning pecuniary circumstances make them independent of the good will of of judicial punishment. In respect to all persons but those whose than is, in many other countries, the avowal of those which incur risk which are under the ban of society is much less common in England is that they strengthen the social stigma. It is that stigma which is freedom. For a long time past, the chief mischief of the legal penalties deem important, which makes this country not a place of mental feelings they cherish, respecting those who disown the beliefs they very heroic mould to enable them to bear. There is no room for any to be ill-thought of and ill-spoken of, and this it ought not to require a public, have nothing to fear from the open avowal of any opinions, but favours from men in power, or from bodies of men, or from the other people, opinion, on this subject, is as efficacious as law; men really effective, and so effective is it, that the profession of opinions generation; they never blaze out far and wide, but continue to stifling them by its shade. Our merely social intolerance kills no one, spreading tree, overtopping the older and less vigorous growths, and cast to the lions, but the Christian church grew up a stately and but the Socratic philosophy rose like the sun in heaven, and spread its much evil as ever by our treatment of them. Socrates was put to death, it was formerly our custom to do, it may be that we do ourselves as not now inflict so much evil on those who think differently from us, as appeal ad misericordiam in behalf of such persons. But though we do their bread. Those whose bread is already secured, and who desire no without the unpleasant process of fining or imprisoning anybody, it affairs of mankind with either a true or a deceptive light. And thus is among whom they originate, without ever lighting up the general smoulder in the narrow circles of thinking and studious persons do not perceptibly gain, or even lose, ground in each decade or roots out no opinions, but induces men to disguise them, or to abstain illumination over the whole intellectual firmament. Christians were intellectual world, and keeping all things going on therein very much with the malady of thought. A convenient plan for having peace in the maintains all prevailing opinions outwardly undisturbed, while it does kept up a state of things very satisfactory to some minds, because from any active effort for their diffusion. With us, heretical opinions as they do already. But the price paid for this sort of intellectua not absolutely interdict the exercise of reason by dissentients afflicted

> the highest subjects, is abandoned. attempthen and enlarge men's minds, free and daring speculation on the minds of mankind were strengthened and enlarged, and which small practical matters, which would come right of themselves, if but whoken of without venturing within the region of principles, that is, to commonplace, or time-servers for truth, whose arguments on all impuiring intellects find it advisable to keep the general principles and mind. A state of things in which a large portion of the most active and will never be made effectually right until then: while that which would by marrowing their thoughts and interest to things which can be have convinced themselves. Those who avoid this alternative, do so great subjects are meant for their hearers, and are not those which men who can be looked for under it, are either mere conformers to invent intellects who once adorned the thinking world. The sort of cumot send forth the open, fearless characters, and logical, conconclusions to premises which they have internally renounced, what they address to the public, to fit as much as they can of their own grounds of their convictions within their own breasts, and attempt, in parification, is the sacrifice of the entire moral courage of the human

thing. No one can be a great thinker who does not recognise, that as a milliodoxy, which yet he does not, perhaps, to the end succeed in in reconcile the promptings of his conscience and reason with handing, who spends a life in sophisticating with an intellect which he indexed irreligious or immoral? Among them we may occasionally see should land them in something which would admit of being condevelopment is cramped, and their reason cowed, by the fear of which does not end in the orthodox conclusions. The greatest harm never any fair and thorough discussion of heretical opinions; and that annot silence, and exhausts the resources of ingenuity in attempting man of deep conscientiousness, and subtle and refined underfollow out any bold, vigorous, independent train of thought, lest it monising intellects combined with timid characters, who dare not heresy. Who can compute what the world loses in the multitude of diance is to those who are not heretics, and whose whole mental prevented from spreading, do not disappear. But it is not the minds of much of them as could not stand such a discussion, though they may be almuld consider in the first place, that in consequence of it there is heretics that are deteriorated most, by the ban placed on all inquiry Those in whose eyes this reticence on the part of heretics is no evil

study and preparation, thinks for himself, than by the true opinions of atmosphere, an intellectually active people. When any people has even more indispensable, to enable average human beings to attain it may lead. Truth gains more even by the errors of one who, with due thinker it is his first duty to follow his intellect to whatever conclusions scale of mental activity which has made some periods of history so considered to be closed, we cannot hope to find that generally high the discussion of the greatest questions which can occupy humanity is there is a tacit convention that principles are not to be disputed; where the dread of heterodox speculation was for a time suspended. Where made a temporary approach to such a character, it has been because mental slavery. But there never has been, nor ever will be, in that may again be, great individual thinkers, in a general atmosphere of the mental stature which they are capable of. There have been, and freedom of thinking is required. On the contrary, it is as much and those who only hold them because they do not suffer themselves to eighteenth century; and a third, of still briefer duration, in the cultivated class, in the speculative movement of the latter half of the condition of Europe during the times immediately following the dignity of thinking beings. Of such we have had an example in the raised even persons of the most ordinary intellect to something of the people stirred up from its foundations, and the impulse given which large and important enough to kindle enthusiasm, was the mind of a remarkable. Never when controversy avoided the subjects which are think. Not that it is solely, or chiefly, to form great thinkers, that until we again assert our mental freedom. three impulses are well nigh spent; and we can expect no fresh start or other of them. Appearances have for some time indicated that all in the human mind or in institutions, may be traced distinctly to one what it now is. Every single improvement which has taken place either place. The impulse given at these three periods has made Europe despotism had been thrown off, and no new one had yet taken its three the yoke of authority was broken. In each, an old mental opinions which they developed; but were alike in this, that during all Fichtean period. These periods differed widely in the particular intellectual fermentation of Germany during the Goethian and Reformation; another, though limited to the Continent and to a more

there us now pass to the second division of the argument, and diamissing the supposition that any of the received opinions may be talke, let us assume them to be true, and examine into the worth of the manner in which they are likely to be held, when their truth is not treely and openly canvassed. However unwillingly a person who has a atrong opinion may admit the possibility that his opinion may be false, he ought to be moved by the consideration that however true it may be, if it is not fully, frequently, and fearlessly discussed, it will be held and dead dogma, not a living truth.

more, accidentally clinging to the words which enunciate a truth. is not knowing the truth. Truth, thus held, is but one superstition the Is not the way in which truth ought to be held by a rational being. This assuming that the true opinion abides in the mind, but abides as a semblance of an argument. Waiving, however, this possibility grounded on conviction are apt to give way before the slightest entirely is seldom possible, and when it once gets in, beliefs no prejudice, a belief independent of, and proof against argument – this may still be rejected rashly and ignorantly; for to shut out discussion received opinion to be rejected wisely and considerately, though it get their creed taught from authority, naturally think that no good grounds of the opinion, and could not make a tenable defence of it their influence prevails, they make it nearly impossible for the and some harm, comes of its being allowed to be questioned. Where against the most superficial objections. Such persons, if they can once what they think true, though he has no knowledge whatever of the formerly) who think it enough if a person assents undoubtingly to There is a class of persons (happily not quite so numerous as

If the intellect and judgment of mankind ought to be cultivated, a thing which Protestants at least do not deny, on what can these faculties be more appropriately exercised by any one, than on the things which concern him so much that it is considered necessary for him to hold opinions on them? If the cultivation of the understanding consists in one thing more than in another, it is surely in learning the grounds of one's own opinions. Whatever people believe, on subjects on which it is of the first importance to believe rightly, they ought to be able to defend against at least the common objections. But, some one may say, 'Let them be taught the grounds of their opinions. It does not follow that opinions must be merely parroted because they are never heard controverted. Persons who learn geometry do not simply

of antiquity, has left it on record that he always studied his adversary's such teaching suffices on a subject like mathematics, where there is their most plausible and persuasive form; he must feel the whole force in earnest, and do their very utmost for them. He must know them in bring them into real contact with his own mind. He must be able to refutations. That is not the way to do justice to the arguments, or presented as they state them, and accompanied by what they offer as should hear the arguments of adversaries from his own teachers. the side to which he feels most inclination. Nor is it enough that he is either led by authority, or adopts, like the generality of the world suspension of judgment, and unless he contents himself with that, he preferring either opinion. The rational position for him would be he does not so much as know what they are, he has no ground for But if he is equally unable to refute the reasons on the opposite side; if reasons may be good, and no one may have been able to refute them. He who knows only his own side of the case, knows little of that. His be imitated by all who study any subject in order to arrive at the truth case with as great, if not with still greater, intensity than even his own favour some opinion different from it. The greatest orator, save one every disputed opinion consist in dispelling the appearances which tions, and the business of life, three-fourths of the arguments for infinitely more complicated, to morals, religion, politics, social relaunderstand the grounds of our opinion. But when we turn to subjects until this is shown, and until we know how it is shown, we do not it has to be shown why that other theory cannot be the true one: and some other explanation possible of the same facts; some geocentric of conflicting reasons. Even in natural philosophy, there is always possible, the truth depends on a balance to be struck between two sets objections. But on every subject on which difference of opinion is argument is on one side. There are no objections, and no answers to nothing at all to be said on the wrong side of the question. The commit the theorems to memory, but understand and learn likewise What Cicero practised as the means of forensic success, requires to theory instead of heliocentric, some phlogiston instead of oxygen; and peculiarity of the evidence of mathematical truths is, that all the hear any one deny, and attempt to disprove them.' Undoubtedly: and ignorant of the grounds of geometrical truths, because they never the demonstrations; and it would be absurd to say that they remain hear them from persons who actually believe them; who defend them

> and dispose of; else he will never really possess himself of the portion considerations which show that a fact which seemingly conflicts with differently from them, and considered what such persons may have to never thrown themselves into the mental position of those who think may be true, but it might be false for anything they know: they have of those who can argue fluently for their opinions. Their conclusion of truth which meets and removes that difficulty. Ninety-nine in a of the difficulty which the true view of the subject has to encounter conjure up. and human subjects, that if opponents of all important truths do not niles, and endeavoured to see the reasons of both in the strongest completely informed mind, they are strangers to; nor is it ever really reasons, one and not the other ought to be preferred. All that part of another is reconcilable with it, or that of two apparently strong those parts of it which explain and justify the remainder; the know the doctrine which they themselves profess. They do not know may; and consequently they do not, in any proper sense of the word, strongest arguments which the most skilful devil's advocate can exist, it is indispensable to imagine them, and supply them with the light. So essential is this discipline to a real understanding of moral known, but to those who have attended equally and impartially to both the truth which turns the scale, and decides the judgment of a hundred of what are called educated men are in this condition; even

To abate the force of these considerations, an enemy of free discussion may be supposed to say, that there is no necessity for mankind in general to know and understand all that can be said against or for their opinions by philosophers and theologians. That it is not needful for common men to be able to expose all the misstatements or fallacies of an ingenious opponent. That it is crough if there is always somebody capable of answering them, so that nothing likely to mislead uninstructed persons remains unretuted. That simple minds, having been taught the obvious grounds of the truths inculcated on them, may trust to authority for the rest, and being aware that they have neither knowledge nor talent to resolve every difficulty which can be raised, may repose in the assurance that all those who are specially trained to the task.

Conceding to this view of the subject the utmost that can be claimed for it by those most easily satisfied with the amount of

know, everything must be free to be written and published without which are read by the instructed can be kept from the uninstructed. If present state of the world, it is practically impossible that writings the teachers of mankind are to be cognisant of all that they ought to for himself, and cannot be thrown off upon teachers. Besides, in the the responsibility for the choice of a religion must be borne by each this resource is denied; since Protestants hold, at least in theory, that prius advocate of a cause. But in countries professing Protestantism, freedom never made a large and liberal mind, it can make a clever nis superiority which its purposes require; for though culture without to the mass. By this device it succeeds in obtaining the kind of mental enemy's case as beneficial to the teachers, but finds means, consistent more mental culture, though not more mental freedom, than it allows with this, of denying it to the rest of the world: thus giving to the élite hard to be obtained. This discipline recognises a knowledge of the arguments of opponents, in order to answer them, and may, thereadmissibly and meritoriously make themselves acquainted with the accept; but the clergy, such as least as can be fully confided in, may fore, read heretical books; the laity, not unless by special permission, on trust. Neither, indeed, are allowed any choice as to what they will receive its doctrines on conviction, and those who must accept them makes a broad separation between those who can be permitted to Church has its own way of dealing with this embarrassing problem. It cannot be accomplished unless they are freely stated, and placed in satisfactory, if the objectors have no opportunity of showing that it is even this doctrine acknowledges that mankind ought to have a the most advantageous light which they admit of. The Catholic familiar with those difficulties in their most puzzling form; and this unsatisfactory? If not the public, at least the philosophers and be answered is not spoken? or how can the answer be known to be rational assurance that all objections have been satisfactorily even so, the argument for free discussion is no way weakened. For theologians who are to resolve the difficulties, must make themselves answered; and how are they to be answered if that which requires to understanding of truth which ought to accompany the belief of it;

discussion, when the received opinions are true, were confined to leaving men ignorant of the grounds of those opinions, it might be If, however, the mischievous operation of the absence of free

> meditated on. and a living belief, there remain only a few phrases retained by rote; originally employed to communicate. Instead of a vivid conception suggest ideas, or suggest only a small portion of those they were meaning of the opinion itself. The words which convey it, cease to opinion are forgotten in the absence of discussion, but too often the character. The fact, however, is, that not only the grounds of the the worth of the opinions, regarded in their influence on the this fact occupies and fills, cannot be too earnestly studied and liner essence being lost. The great chapter in human history which or, if any part, the shell and husk only of the meaning is retained, the thought that this, if an intellectual, is no moral evil, and does not affect

controversy on the subject flags, and gradually dies away. The religious creeds. They are all full of meaning and vitality to those who constantly on the alert either to defend theselves against the world, or place in the thoughts of their professors. Instead of being, as at first admitted sects or divisions of opinion: those who hold it have spread further. When either of these results has become apparent, stops; it keeps possession of the ground it has gained, but ceases to last it either prevails, and becomes the general opinion, or its progress lasts to give the doctrine or creed an ascendancy over other creeds. At meaning continues to be felt in undiminished strength, and is perhaps originate them, and to the direct disciples of the originators. Their of all creeds lamenting the difficulty of keeping up in the minds of decline in the living power of the doctrine. We often hear the teachers arguments in its favour. From this time may usually be dated the acquiescence, and neither listen, when they can help it, to arguments generally inherited, not adopted it; and conversion from one of these doctrine has taken its place, if not as a received opinion, as one of the brought out into even fuller consciousness, so long as the struggle mastery over the conduct. No such difficulty is complained of while recognise, so that it may penetrate the feelings, and acquire a real believers a lively apprehension of the truth which they nominally against their creed, nor trouble dissentients (if there be such) with to bring the world over to them, they have subsided into doctrines to another, being now an exceptional fact, occupies little then know and feel what they are fighting for, and the difference the creed is still fighting for its existence: even the weaker combatants It is illustrated in the experience of almost all ethical doctrines and

nothing for the mind or heart, except standing sentinel over them to suffering any fresh and living conviction to get in, but itself doing sed to the higher parts of our nature; manifesting its power by not mind, incrusting and petrifying it against all other influences addresceases to connect itself at all with the inner life of the human being consciousness, or testing it by personal experience; until it almost keep them vacant. to form the majority, in which the creed remains as it were outside the Then are seen the cases, so frequent in this age of the world as almost accepting it on trust dispensed with the necessity of realising it in except the formularies, or to give it a dull and torpid assent, as if presents to it, there is a progressive tendency to forget all of the belief as at first, to exercise its vital powers on the questions which its belief actively - when the mind is no longer compelled, in the same degree has come to be an hereditary creed, and to be received passively, not ought to produce in a mind thoroughly imbued with it. But when it experienced the full effect on the character, which belief in that creed considered them in all their important bearings, and have fundamental principles in all the forms of thought, have weighed and existence, not a few persons may be found, who have realised its between it and other doctrines; and in that period of every creed's

compromise between the Christian creed and the interests and others, stand in direct opposition to some, and are, on the whole, a certain length with some of those maxims, not so great a length with the other, a set of every-day judgments and practices, which go a safed to him by infallible wisdom as rules for his government; and on collection of ethical maxims, which he believes to have been vouchclass, or his religious profession. He has thus, on the one hand, a standard to which he does refer it, is the custom of his nation, his or tests his individual conduct by reference to those laws. The scarcely too much to say that not one Christian in a thousand guides sacred, and accepted as laws, by all professing Christians. Yet it is and precepts contained in the New Testament. These are considered mean what is accounted such by all churches and sects - the maxims standing, is exemplified by the manner in which the majority of believers hold the doctrines of Christianity. By Christianity I here being ever realised in the imagination, the feelings, or the underimpression upon the mind may remain in it as dead beliefs, without To what an extent doctrines intrinsically fitted to make the deepest

> world; that it is easier for a camel to pass through the eye of a needle conduct, they believe these doctrines just up to the point to which it is than for a rich man to enter the kingdom of heaven; that they should blessed are the poor and humble, and those who are ill-used by the homage; to the other his real allegiance. All Christians believe that the suggestions of worldly life. To the first of these standards he gives his put forward (when possible) as the reasons for whatever people do able to pelt adversaries with; and it is understood that they are to be usual to act upon them. The doctrines in their integrity are servicediscussed. But in the sense of that living belief which regulates people believe what they have always heard lauded and never they say that they believe these things. They do believe them, as all that they have and give it to the poor. They are not insincere when thought for the morrow; that if they would be perfect, they should sell cloak, they should give him their coat also; that they should take no they should love their neighbour as themselves; that if one take their judge not, lest they be judged; that they should swear not at all; that formula. Whenever conduct is concerned, they look round for Mr A doctrines have no hold on ordinary believers - are not a power in their unpopular characters who affect to be better than other people. The maxims require an infinity of things which they never even think of that they think laudable. But any one who reminded them that the and B to direct them how far to go in obeying Christ. forces the mind to take them in, and make them conform to the feeling which spreads from the words to the things signified, and minds. They have an habitual respect for the sound of them, but no doing, would gain nothing but to be classed among those very

Now we may be well assured that the case was not thus, but far otherwise, with the early Christians. Had it been thus, Christianity would never have expanded from an obscure sect of the despised Hebrews into the religion of the Roman empire. When their enemies said, 'See how these Christians love one another' (a remark not likely to be made by anybody now), they assuredly had a much livelier feeling of the meaning of their creed than they have ever had since. And to this cause, probably, it is chiefly owing that Christianity now makes so little progress in extending its domain, and after eighteen centuries, is still nearly confined to Europeans and the descendants of Europeans. Even with the strictly religious, who are much in earnest about their doctrines, and attach a greater amount of meaning to

no enemy in the field. Both teachers and learners go to sleep at their post, as soon as there is tioned, and have to be oftener defended against open gainsayers one reason certainly is, that the peculiar doctrines are more queswhy more pains are taken by teachers to keep their meaning alive; but reasons, doubtless, why doctrines which are the badge of a sect retain mere listening to words so amiable and bland. There are many their minds, producing hardly any effect beyond what is caused by character to themselves. The sayings of Christ coexist passively in was made by Calvin, or Knox, or some such persons much nearer in part which is thus comparatively active in their minds is that which many of them than people in general, it commonly happens that the more of their vitality than those common to all recognised sects, and

slumber of a decided opinion'. off thinking about a thing when it is no longer doubtful, is the cause of people who did understand it. The fatal tendency of mankind to leave if the man had been accustomed to hear it argued pro and con by understood would have been far more deeply impressed on the mind meaning even of these would have been understood, and what was until personal experience has brought it home. But much more of the when smarting under some unforeseen misfortune or disappointthere are many truths of which the full meaning cannot be realised, are indeed reasons for this, other than the absence of discussion ment, does a person call to mind some proverb or common saying, generally of a painful kind, has made it a reality to them. How often, which most people first truly learn the meaning, when experience, or hears with acquiescence, which are received as truisms, yet of in it; observations which everybody knows, which everybody repeats, half their errors. A cotemporary author has well spoken of 'the deep felt it as he does now, would have saved him from the calamity. There familiar to him all his life, the meaning of which, if he had ever before observations on life, both as to what it is, and how to conduct oneself morals or religion. All languages and literatures are full of general doctrines - those of prudence and knowledge of life, as well as of The same thing holds true, generally speaking, of all traditional

part of mankind should persist in error, to enable any to realise the indispensable condition of true knowledge? Is it necessary that some But what! (it may be asked) Is the absence of unanimity an

> ment of all important truths: and does the intelligence only last as long the very completeness of the victory? as it has not achieved its object? Do the fruits of conquest perish by highest aim and best result of improved intelligence, it has hitherto been thought, is to unite mankind more and more in the acknowledgunanimously accepted a truth, does the truth perish within them? The unless some doubt of it remains? As soon as mankind have received - and is a proposition never thoroughly understood and felt truth? Does a belief cease to be real and vital as soon as it is generally

contrivance for making the difficulties of the question as present to leachers of mankind endeavouring to provide a substitute for it; some advantage can no longer be had, I confess I should like to see the as is afforded by the necessity of explaining it to, or defending it important an aid to the intelligent and living apprehension of a truth, dissentient champion, eager for his conversion. the learner's consciousness, as if they were pressed upon him by a drawback from the benefit of its universal recognition. Where this against, opponents, though not sufficient to outweigh, is no trifling conclude that all its consequences must be beneficial. The loss of so once inevitable and indispensable, we are not therefore obliged to diversity of opinion is necessary in both senses of the term, being at erroneous. But though this gradual narrowing of the bounds of another, of serious controversy, is one of the necessary incidents of the consolidation of opinion; a consolidation as salutary in the case of the point of being uncontested. The cessation, on one question after measured by the number and gravity of the truths which have reached on the increase: and the well-being of mankind may almost be true opinions, as it is dangerous and noxious when the opinions are doctrines which are no longer disputed or doubted will be constantly I affirm no such thing. As mankind improve, the number of

subject – that he as yet attached no definite meaning to the doctrines commonplaces of received opinion, that he did not understand the the purpose of convincing any one who had merely adopted the questions of philosophy and life, directed with consummate skill to description. They were essentially a negative discussion of the great exemplified in the dialogues of Plato, were a contrivance of this those they formerly had. The Socratic dialectics, so magnificently But instead of seeking contrivances for this purpose, they have lost

systematically trained to it, there will be few great thinkers, and a low means to attaining any positive knowledge or conviction worthy of the cism would indeed be poor enough as an ultimate result; but as a in practice, without establishing positive truths. Such negative critito antagonists. It is the fashion of the present time to disparage everybody says in defence of his opinion, is what he intends as a reply among thinkers, to know both sides; and the weakest part of what sides; accordingly it is far from a frequent accomplishment, even contenting himself with cram, is under no compulsion to hear both of the one or of the other. A person who derives all his instruction contain nothing which in the smallest degree supplies the place either it is generally willing to admit, and the present modes of education of the 'Socratici viri': but the modern mind owes far more to both than respect inferior to the powerful dialectics which formed the intellects from reason; and, as a discipline to the mind, they were in every to it, and could enforce the grounds of the one and confute those of object. They were intended to make sure that the pupil understood apprehension both of the meaning of doctrines and of their evidence might be put in the way to attain a stable belief, resting on a clear he professed; in order that, becoming aware of his ignorance, he an active controversy with opponents. That, therefore, which when mental process which would have been required of him in carrying or general average of intellect, in any but the mathematical and physical name, it cannot be valued too highly; and until people are again negative logic - that which points out weaknesses in theory or errors from teachers or books, even if he escape the besetting temptation of defect, that the premises appealed to were taken from authority, not the other. These last-mentioned contests had indeed the incurable his own opinion, and (by necessary correlation) the opinion opposed The school disputations of the middle ages had a somewhat similar otherwise ought, if we have any regard for either the certainty or the are any persons who contest a received opinion, or who will do so i than absurd it is to forego, when spontaneously offering itself! If there absent, it is so indispensable, but so difficult, to create, how worse forced upon him by others, or gone through of himself, the same deserve the name of knowledge, except so far as he has either had departments of speculation. On any other subject no one's opinions listen to them, and rejoice that there is some one to do for us what we law or opinion will let them, let us thank them for it, open our minds to

vitality of our convictions, to do with much greater labour for ourselves.

bound to be indignant because those who force on our notice truths on a true foundation, every opinion which embodies somewhat of the heing the partial character of prevailing opinions, even when resting inhapted to the needs of the time, than that which it displaces. Such chiefly in this, that the new fragment of truth is more wanted, more one partial and incomplete truth for another; improvement consisting that truth may be blended. No sober judge of human affairs will feel considered precious, with whatever amount of error and confusion portion of truth which the common opinion omits, ought to be progress, which ought to superadd, for the most part only substitutes, of opinion, one part of the truth usually sets while another rises. Even rule, and many-sidedness the exception. Hence, even in revolutions frequent, as, in the human mind, one-sidedness has always been the exclusiveness, as the whole truth. The latter case is hitherto the most truths, bursting the bonds which kept them down, and either seeking ought to be accompanied and limited. Heretical opinions, on the supply the remainder of the truth, of which the received doctrine fronting it as enemies, and setting themselves up, with similar reconciliation with the truth contained in the common opinion, or other hand, are generally some of these suppressed and neglected exaggerated, distorted, and disjoined from the truths by which they sense, are often true, but seldom or never the whole truth. They are a truth between them; and the nonconforming opinion is needed to ductrines, instead of being one true and the other false, share the received opinion being true, a conflict with the opposite error is false, and some other opinion, consequently, true; or that, the considered only two possibilities: that the received opinion may be III present seems at an incalculable distance. We have hitherto mankind shall have entered a stage of intellectual advancement which part of the truth; sometimes a greater, sometimes a smaller part, but embodies only a part. Popular opinions, on subjects not palpable to there is a commoner case than either of these; when the conflicting essential to a clear apprehension and deep feeling of its truth. But diversity of opinion advantageous, and will continue to do so unti-It still remains to speak of one of the principal causes which make