

Automata and Grammars

SS 2018

Assignment 13: Solutions to Selected Problems

Problem 13.1. [Encodings of Turing Machines]

Let $M = (\{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1, \square\}, \square, q_0, q_3)$ be the Turing machine that is given through the following transition function:

δ	0	1	\square	Comments
q_0	$(q_0, 0, R)$	$(q_0, 1, R)$	(q_2, \square, L)	Move right to the last nonblank symbol
q_1	$(q_1, 0, L)$	$(q_1, 1, L)$	(q_3, \square, R)	Move left to the first nonblank symbol
q_2	$(q_1, 1, L)$	$(q_2, 0, L)$	$(q_3, 1, 0)$	Add 1 while moving left
q_3	–	–	–	Final state

that is, M computes the binary $+1$ -function (compare M to the TM in the first example of Section 4.1).

- (a) Compute the encoding $c(M)$ of the above TM (see the definition before Lemma 4.9).
- (b) Let M' be the TM that is given through the following encoding

$$c(M') = 11100011111010101001000110100101010001101000100101011001001001011001001001010110010001000100100111.$$

Reconstruct the TM $M' = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \square, \delta', q_0, q_n)$ from its encoding $c(M')$.

- (c) Construct the encoding $c(\hat{M})$ of the following TM \hat{M} from the encoding $c(M')$ of M' and the input word $x = 10$, where \hat{M} behaves as follows:

- (1) erase the given input;
- (2) write x ;
- (3) simulate M' on input x .

Solution. (a) M is already in the form required for the encoding. Hence, we obtain

$$c(M) = 1110^4 11111 \cdot 01010101000 \cdot 11 \cdot 0100101001000 \cdot 11 \cdot 010001000100010 \cdot 11 \cdot 00101001010 \cdot 11 \cdot 0010010010010 \cdot 11 \cdot 0010001000010001000 \cdot 11 \cdot 0001010010010 \cdot 11 \cdot 00010010001010 \cdot 11 \cdot 000100010000100100 \cdot 111.$$

- (b) We obtain the TM $M' = (\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1, \square\}, \delta', q_0, q_2)$, where δ' is described by the following table:

δ'	0	1	\square	Comments
q_0	$(q_0, 1, R)$	$(q_0, 0, R)$	$(q_1, 0, L)$	Move right, invert symbols, add suffix 0
q_1	$(q_1, 1, L)$	$(q_1, 0, L)$	$(q_2, 1, 0)$	Move left, invert symbols, add prefix 1
q_2	–	–	–	Final state

- (c) We need two new states in order to realize (1) and (2):

	0	1	\square	Comments
q_0	(q_0, \square, R)	(q_0, \square, R)	$(q_1, 0, L)$	Erase input, write 0, move left
q_1	–	–	$(q_2, 1, 0)$	Write 1, goto M'

Hence, all states q_i of M' have to be replaced by q_{i+2} , which gives the following encoding for the TM \hat{M} :

$$c(\hat{M}) = 1110^5 11111 \cdot 0101010001000 \cdot 11 \cdot 01001010001000 \cdot 11 \cdot 010001001010 \cdot 11 \cdot 0010001000100100 \cdot 11 \cdot 0001010001001000 \cdot 11 \cdot 0001001000101000 \cdot 11 \cdot 0001000100001010 \cdot 11 \cdot 0000101000010010 \cdot 11 \cdot 0000100100001010 \cdot 11 \cdot 00001000100000100100 \cdot 111.$$

□

Problem 13.2. [Recursively Enumerable Languages]

Prove that the following languages are recursively enumerable:

- (a) $L_1 = \{w \in \{a, b, c\}^* \mid |w|_a = |w|_b + |w|_c\}$,
 (b) $L_2 = \{w \in \{a\}^* \mid \exists n \geq 0 : w = a^{2^n}\}$.

Solution. We must present Turing machines that halt exactly for the words from the language L_i ($1 \leq i \leq 2$).

(a) The TM M_1 proceeds as follows:

- While scanning its input w from left to right on tape 1, M_1 realizes two unary counters on tapes 2 and 3 for counting the number $|w|_a$ (on tape 2) and the number $|w|_b + |w|_c$ (on tape 3).
- The counters on tape 2 and tape 3 are compared by moving the corresponding heads simultaneously and synchronously across them.
- If $|w|_a = |w|_b + |w|_c$, then M_1 halts and accepts; otherwise, it enters an infinite loop.

Thus, $L(M_1) = L_1$, which proves that L_1 is recursively enumerable.

(b) The TM M_2 proceeds as follows:

- While moving across its tape, M_2 checks that the input is from $\{a\}^+$, and it replaces every second letter a by b .
- Now M_2 moves repeatedly across its tape, in each round replacing every second letter a encountered by b . This continues until after round n all but one symbols a have been replaced, which means that the input was the word a^{2^n} , or until the process gets stuck, as the number of a -symbols is uneven, but larger than one. In the former case M_2 halts and accepts, while in the latter case it enters an infinite loop.

Thus, $L(M_2) = L_2$, which proves that L_2 is recursively enumerable.

□

Problem 13.3. [Undecidable Languages]

Prove that the following languages are undecidable:

- (a) $H_0 = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \text{The TM } M_w \text{ halts on empty input}\},$
- (b) $H_{\forall} = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \text{The TM } M_w \text{ halts for every input}\},$
- (c) $T_2 = \{u\#v \mid u, v \in \{0, 1\}^* \text{ and the TM } M_u \text{ halts for all inputs for which the TM } M_v \text{ halts}\}.$

Solution. (a) Assume that H_0 is decidable, that is, there exists a TM M_0 such that M_0 halts for each input $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, and it yields the output 1 if M_w halts on empty input and the output 0 if M_w does not halt on empty input. For each $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, we now construct a TM M'_w that proceeds as follows:

- (1) check that the tape is empty and go into an infinite loop, if not;
- (2) write the word w onto the tape;
- (3) simulate the TM M_w on input w .

Then M'_w halts on empty input iff M_w halts on input w . Thus, given the encoding $c(M'_w)$ of M'_w as input, M_0 will halt and return 1 iff M_w halts on input w , and it will halt and return 0 iff M_w does not halt on input w . Hence, by applying M_0 to the encoding $c(M'_w)$, we decide whether or not $w \in K$. As K is undecidable by Theorem 4.10, this is a contradiction. Thus, H_0 is undecidable, too.

(b) Assume that H_{\forall} is decidable, that is, there exists a TM M_{\forall} such that M_{\forall} halts for each input $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, and it yields the output 1 if M_w halts for all inputs and the output 0 if M_w does not halt for all inputs. For each $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, we now construct a TM M'_w as follows:

- (1) erase the tape;
- (2) simulate the TM M_w on empty input.

Then M'_w halts on any input iff M_w halts on empty input. Thus, given the encoding $c(M'_w)$ of M'_w as input, M_{\forall} will halt and return 1 iff M_w halts on empty input, and it will halt and return 0 iff M_w does not halt on empty input. Hence, by applying M_{\forall} to the encoding $c(M'_w)$, we decide whether or not $w \in H_0$. As H_0 is undecidable by (a), this is a contradiction. Thus, H_{\forall} is undecidable, too.

(c) Assume that T_2 is decidable, that is, there exists a TM M_2 such that M_2 halts for each input $u\#v$ ($u, v \in \{0, 1\}^*$), and it yields the output 1 if M_u halts for all inputs for which M_v halts and the output 0 if M_u does not halt for all inputs for which M_v halts. Let M_c be a fixed TM that halts for all inputs. Then $w\#c \in T_2$ iff $w \in H_{\forall}$. Thus, by applying the TM M_2 to the input $w\#c$ we can decide whether or not $w \in H_{\forall}$. As H_{\forall} is undecidable by (b), this is a contradiction. Thus, T_2 is undecidable, too. \square

Problem 13.4 [Non-Recursively Enumerable Languages]

Prove that the following languages are not even recursively enumerable:

- (a) $L_1 = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \text{The TM } M_w \text{ does not halt on empty input}\},$
- (b) $L_2 = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \text{The TM } M_w \text{ does not halt on any input}\},$
- (c) $L_3 = \{u\#v \mid u, v \in \{0, 1\}^* \text{ and the TMs } M_u \text{ and } M_v \text{ halt on the same inputs}\}.$

Solution. (a) By Problem 13.3 (a) the set $H_0 = \{0, 1\}^* \setminus L_1 = L_1^c$ is not recursive. Hence, at least one of the languages L_1 and L_1^c is not recursively enumerable by Theorem 4.8. Here we show that $H_0 = L_1^c$ is recursively enumerable. Let M'_0 be the TM that proceeds as follows, given a word $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ as input:

- (1) simulate the TM M_w on empty input.

Then M'_0 halts on input w iff the TM M_w halts on empty input. Thus, $L(M'_0) = H_0 = L_1^c$, which shows that L_1^c is recursively enumerable. It follows that L_1 is not recursively enumerable.

(b) Assume that L_2 is recursively enumerable, that is, there exists a TM M_2 such that $L(M_2) = L_2$. For each $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, let M'_w be the following TM:

- (1) erase the input;
- (2) simulate the TM M_w on empty input.

Then M'_w does not halt on any input iff M_w does not halt on empty input. Hence, $c(M'_w) \in L_2$ iff $w \in L_1$. As there is a TM M_c that computes $c(M'_w)$ from w , we see that $M_2 \circ M_c$ halts on input w iff $w \in L_1$, that is, $L(M_2 \circ M_c) = L_1$. This contradicts (a), showing that L_2 is not recursively enumerable.

(c) Assume that L_3 is recursively enumerable, that is, there exists a TM M_3 such that $L(M_3) = L_3$. Let M' be a fixed TM such that $L(M') = \emptyset$, which means that M' does not halt for any input. For $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, let M'_w be the following TM:

- (1) erase the input;
- (2) simulate the TM M_w on empty input.

Then

$$L(M'_w) = \begin{cases} \{0, 1\}^*, & \text{if } M_w \text{ halts on empty input,} \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } M_w \text{ does not halt on empty input.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, $c(M'_w)\#c(M') \in L_3$ iff M_w does not halt on empty input iff $w \in L_1$. As $c(M')$ is a fixed constant, and as $c(M'_w)$ can be computed from w by a TM M_c , we see that we get a TM M from M_3 , M_c , and $c(M')$ such that $w \in L(M)$ iff $w \in L_1$, that is, $L(M) = L_1$. This, however, contradicts (a), implying that L_3 is not recursively enumerable, either. \square