# Automata and Grammars 

## SS 2018

## Assignment 3: Solutions to Selected Problems

Seminary: $\quad$ Thursday, March 15, 2018.

## Problem 3.1 [Nerode Relation]

Determine all equivalence classes of the Nerode relations $R_{L} \subseteq \Sigma^{*} \times \Sigma^{*}$ for the following languages:
(a) $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$ and $L=\{a, a a b, a b b\}$,
(b) $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$ and $L=\left\{a^{m} b a^{n} \mid m, n \geq 1\right\}$,
(c) $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$ and $L=\left\{a^{n} b a^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$,

In addition, for those language $L$, for which $R_{L}$ has finite index, construct the equivalence class automaton for $L$.

Solution. We denote the equivalence class of a word $w$ simply by [ $w$ ].
(b) For $L=\left\{a^{m} b a^{n} \mid m, n \geq 1\right\}$, we obtain the following equivalence classes:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[\varepsilon]} & =\{\varepsilon\}, & {[a]=\left\{a^{m} \mid m \geq 1\right\}, \quad[a b]=\left\{a^{m} b \mid m \geq 1\right\},} \\
{[a b a]} & =L, & {[b]=\left\{w \in\{a, b\}^{+} \mid w \text { is not a prefix of any element of } L\right\} .}
\end{array}
$$

As $R_{L}$ has index 5 , we obtain the following equivalence class automaton $(Q,\{a, b\}, \delta,[\varepsilon],\{[a b a]\})$ for $L$, where $\delta$ is described by the following table:

|  | $[\varepsilon]$ | $[a]$ | $[a b]$ | $[a b a]$ | $[b]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $[a]$ | $[a]$ | $[a b a]$ | $[a b a]$ | $[b]$ |
| $b$ | $[b]$ | $[a b]$ | $[b]$ | $[b]$ | $[b]$ |

(c) For $L=\left\{a^{n} b a^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$, we obtain the following equivalence classes:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[\varepsilon]} & =\{\varepsilon\}, & \\
{\left[a^{i}\right]} & =\left\{a^{i}\right\} & \text { for all } i \geq 1, \\
{\left[a^{i} b\right]} & =\left\{a^{i} b\right\} & \text { for all } i \geq 0, \\
{\left[a^{i} b a^{j}\right]} & =\left\{a^{i+k} b a^{j+k} \mid k \geq 0\right\} & \text { for all } 0<j<i, \\
{[b]} & =L, & \\
{[b b]} & =\left\{w \in\{a, b\}^{+} \mid w \text { is not a prefix of any element of } L\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Thus, $R_{L}$ has infinite index.

## Problem 3.2 [Myhill Nerode Theorem]

Use the Myhill Nerode Theorem to check which of the following languages are accepted by DFAs:
(a) $L_{a}=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$,
(b) $L_{b}=\left\{w w \mid w \in\{a, b\}^{*}\right\}$,
(c) $L_{c}=\left\{a^{2 n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$,
(d) $L_{d}=\left\{a^{2^{n}} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$.

## Solution.

(a) For each $n \geq 1$, let $w_{n}=a^{n} b$. Then $w_{n} z \in L_{a}$ iff $z=b^{n-1}$. Hence, $\left(w_{m}, w_{n}\right) \notin R_{L_{a}}$ for all $m \neq n$, that is, $R_{L_{a}}$ has infinite index. Thus, by the Myhill Nerode Theorem $L_{a}$ is not accepted by any DFA.
(c) It is easily seen that $[\varepsilon]=\left\{a^{2 n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}=L_{c}$ and $[a]=\left\{a^{2 n+1} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$, which shows that $R_{L_{c}}$ has index two. Thus, $L_{c}$ is accepted by a DFA.
(d) For each $n \geq 0$, let $w_{n}=a^{2^{n}}$. Then $w_{n} w_{n}=a^{2^{n}+2^{n}}=a^{2^{n+1}} \in L_{d}$, but $w_{n+k} w_{n}=$ $a^{2^{n+k}+2^{n}}=a^{2^{n} \cdot\left(2^{k}+1\right)} \notin L_{d}$. Thus, $\left(w_{n}, w_{n+k}\right) \notin R_{L_{d}}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and all $k \geq 1$. Hence, $R_{L_{d}}$ has infinite index, which implies that $L_{d}$ is not accepted by any DFA.

## Problem 3.3 [DFAs]

Two states $p, q$ of a DFA $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ are called equivalent if, for all $w \in \Sigma^{*}, \delta(p, w) \in$ $F$ iff $\delta(q, w) \in F$. Find all pairs of equivalent states in the following four DFAs:
(a) $A_{1}=\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{7}\right\},\{a, b\}, \delta_{1}, q_{0},\left\{q_{0}, q_{4}, q_{6}\right\}\right)$, where $\delta_{1}$ is described through the following table:

| $\delta_{1}$ | $q_{0}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{5}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $q_{0}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{5}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{7}$ |
| $b$ | $q_{5}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{7}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{0}$ |

(b) $A_{2}=\left(\{A, B, C, D, E, F\},\{a, b\}, \delta_{2}, F,\{F\}\right)$, where $\delta_{2}$ is described by the following table:

| $\delta_{2}$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ |
| $b$ | $F$ | $A$ | $D$ | $B$ | $C$ | $E$ |

(c) $A_{3}=\left(\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{9}\right\},\{a, b\}, \delta_{1}, q_{1},\left\{q_{3}, q_{5}, q_{6}\right\}\right)$, where $\delta_{3}$ is described through the following table:

| $\delta_{3}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{5}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{7}$ | $q_{8}$ | $q_{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{7}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{9}$ |
| $b$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{5}$ | $q_{7}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ |

(d) $A_{4}=\left(\{A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H\},\{a, b\}, \delta_{4}, G,\{G\}\right)$, where $\delta_{4}$ is described by the following table:

| $\delta_{4}$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ | $G$ | $H$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $H$ | $B$ | $E$ | $D$ | $C$ | $F$ | $G$ | $A$ |
| $b$ | $G$ | $A$ | $D$ | $B$ | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ | $G$ |

## Solution.

(a) In $A_{1}$ states $q_{4}$ and $q_{6}$ are unreachable. They are not equivalent, as $\delta_{1}\left(q_{4}, b^{5}\right)=q_{0} \in F_{1}$, while $\delta_{1}\left(q_{6}, b^{5}\right)=q_{1} \notin F_{1}$. Further, $\delta_{1}\left(q_{0}, b^{5}\right)=q_{7} \notin F_{1}, \delta_{1}\left(q_{0}, b^{6}\right)=q_{0} \in F_{1}$, and $\delta_{1}\left(q_{6}, b^{6}\right)=q_{3} \notin F_{1}$, which shows that $q_{0}$ is neither equivalent to $q_{4}$ nor to $q_{6}$. Finally, we have $q_{5} \rightarrow^{b} q_{1} \rightarrow^{b} q_{3} \rightarrow^{b} q_{2} \rightarrow^{b} q_{7} \rightarrow^{b} q_{0}$, which is the only final state in this sequence. Hence, none of these states is equivalent to any other state. Thus, there are no equivalent states in $A_{1}$
(b) As $\delta_{2}(q, a)=q$ for all $q \in Q_{2}$, and as $F \rightarrow^{b} E \rightarrow^{b} C \rightarrow^{b} D \rightarrow^{b} B \rightarrow^{b} A \rightarrow^{b} F$, and $F$ is the only final state, we see none of the states of $A_{2}$ are equivalent.
(c) In $A_{3}, q_{8}$ and $q_{9}$ are unreachable, but they are not equivalent, as $\delta_{3}\left(q_{8}, b\right)=q_{3} \in F_{3}$, while $\delta_{3}\left(q_{9}, b\right)=q_{4} \notin F_{3}$. Next, it is easily seen that the three final states $q_{3}, q_{5}, q_{6}$ are all equivalent. Further, $q_{2}, q_{4}, q_{7}, q_{9}$ are equivalent. Finally, $q_{1}$ and $q_{8}$ are equivalent.
(d) For $A_{4}$, the states $A$ and $H$ are equivalent, and the states $C$ and $E$ are equivalent.

## Problem 3.4 [Minimal DFA]

Use the algorithm "minimal automaton" to construct the minimal DFAs that are equivalent to the following DFAs:
(a) $B_{1}=\left(\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{9}\right\},\{a, b\}, \delta_{1}, q_{1},\left\{q_{3}, q_{5}, q_{6}\right\}\right)$, where $\delta_{1}$ is described through the following table:

| $\delta_{1}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{5}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{7}$ | $q_{8}$ | $q_{9}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{7}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{9}$ |
| $b$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{5}$ | $q_{7}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ |

(b) $B_{2}=\left(\{A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H\},\{a, b\}, \delta_{2}, G,\{G\}\right)$, where $\delta_{2}$ is described by the following table:

| $\delta_{2}$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ | $G$ | $H$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $H$ | $B$ | $E$ | $D$ | $C$ | $F$ | $G$ | $A$ |
| $b$ | $G$ | $A$ | $D$ | $B$ | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ | $G$ |

(c) $B_{3}=\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{5}\right\},\{a, b\}, \delta_{3}, q_{0},\left\{q_{0}\right\}\right)$, where $\delta_{3}$ is described through the following table:

| $\delta_{3}$ | $q_{0}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{0}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{0}$ |
| $b$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{0}$ | $q_{5}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{5}$ | $q_{3}$ |

## Solution.

(a) States $q_{8}$ and $q_{9}$ are not reachable. Hence, we only need to consider the subautomaton consisting of states $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{7}$. It has initial state $q_{1}$ and final states $q_{3}, q_{5}$, and $q_{6}$. Here we obtain the following table:

| $q_{2}$ | $X$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{3}$ | $X$ | $X$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q_{4}$ | $X$ |  | $X$ |  |  |  |  |
| $q_{5}$ | $X$ | $X$ |  | $X$ |  |  |  |
| $q_{6}$ | $X$ | $X$ |  | $X$ |  |  |  |
| $q_{7}$ | $X$ |  | $X$ |  | $X$ | $X$ |  |
|  | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{5}$ | $q_{6}$ |  |

Thus, the resulting minimal DFA has initial state $p_{1}=\left\{q_{1}\right\}$, final state $p_{2}=\left\{q_{3}, q_{5}, q_{6}\right\}$, and state $p_{3}=\left\{q_{2}, q_{4}, q_{7}\right\}$, and its transition function $\delta_{3}^{\prime}$ is given by the following table:

| $\delta_{3}^{\prime}$ | $p_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | $p_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $p_{3}$ | $p_{2}$ | $p_{3}$ |
| $b$ | $p_{2}$ | $p_{2}$ | $p_{3}$ |

