Jürgen Habermas, "Knowledge and human interests: a general perspective," in *Knowledge and Human Interests* trans. by Jeremy J. Shapiro, (Boston: Beacon Press, 1971), pp. 301-17.

APPENDIX

Perspective Knowledge and Human Interests: A General

its beginnings. of theory that has defined the tradition of great philosophy since guage of German Idealism he emphatically renewed the concept gave his Lectures on the Method of Academic Study. In the lan-In 1802, during the summer semester at Jena, Schelling

only Ideas provide action with energy and ethical theoretical to the practical, brings about the same shallowness in action that it does in knowledge. It is by become most immediately acquainted with Ideas, studying a strictly theoretical philosophy that we The fear of speculation, the ostensible rush from the and

that frees itself from mere human interests and is based on Ideas -in other words, knowledge that has taken a theoretical attitude. only knowledge that can truly orient action is knowledge

doned himself to the sacred events. In philosophical language, mutable and perishable. When the philosopher views the iminconstancy and uncertainty and leaves to doxa the realm of the Plato's Timaeus. It reserves to logos a realm of Being purged of tion is first found in the poem of Parmenides and returns in Being and time that is the foundation of ontology. This separaform, theory already presupposed the demarcation between theoria was transferred to contemplation of the cosmos. In this tions.2 Through theoria, that is through looking on, he abanwas the representative sent by Greek cities to public celebra-The word "theory" has religious origins. The theoros

nature and the harmonic series of music, within himself; he forms duct of those who subject themselves to its discipline. ordered motion of the cosmos, theory enters the conduct of life himself through mimesis. Through the soul's likening itself to the He manifests these proportions, which he sees in the motions of the proportions of the cosmos and reproducing them internally mortal order, he cannot help bringing himself into accord with In ethos theory molds life to its form and is reflected in the con

of his critique an idea of knowledge that preserves the Platonic with their crisis as science. For "in our vital state of need this was concerned with crisis: not with crises in the sciences, but which appeared at about the same time as Horkheimer's. 5 Husser starting with Husserl's The Crisis of the European Sciences of true theory. scientific culture of this sort. After 1933, however, Husserl saw of a thoughtful and enlightened mode of life. The evolution of mately produces a scientific culture is not the information con connection of pure theory with the conduct of life. What ultiscience has nothing to say to us." Like almost all philosophers Horkheimer was countering with that of critical theory. Husserl used as his frame of reference the very concept of theory that philosophy since its beginnings. The distinction between theory disciplines, especially physics, had degenerated from the status attributed the crisis to the circumstance that the most advanced danger was threatening not from without but from within. He this historical tendency endangered. He was convinced that the the European mind seemed to be aiming at the creation of a tent of theories but the formation among theorists themselves before him, Husserl, without second thought, took as the norm Today, a generation later, I should like to reexamine this theme; the object of one of Max Horkheimer's most important studies.3 in this traditional sense and theory in the sense of critique was This concept of theory and of life in theory has defined

traditional ontology. The empirical-analytic sciences develop their between the positivistic self-understanding of the sciences and Let us consider this thesis. There is a real connection

> consciousness of describing a structured reality within the horiorder, just as it is. In contrast, the historical-hermeneutic scimay be concerned with discovering general laws, they neverthe capable of being brought together in a cosmos of facts in of science. For even the symbolic meanings of tradition seem and mere opinion, cannot be linked up so smoothly with this ences, which are concerned with the sphere of transitory things intention of describing the universe theoretically in its lawlike and their irritating influence; and both share the cosmological it from dogmatic association with the natural interests of life are committed to a theoretical attitude that frees those who take tinuity with the beginnings of philosophical thought. For both tivism of the cultural and social sciences. zon of the theoretical attitude. Historicism has become the posi less share with the empirical-analytic sciences the methodological hend their facts through understanding and little though they ideal simultaneity. Much as the cultural sciences may compre too, comprise a scientistic consciousness, based on the mode tradition-they have nothing to do with cosmology. But they theories in a self-understanding that automatically generates con

science owes to the beginnings of theoretical thought in Greek ethical neutrality) has simply reaffirmed the ethos that modern selves to the pattern of normative-analytic sciences, based on mands of an empirical-analytic behavioral science or orient themthe social sciences, whether they obey the methodological deically obligatory the filtering out of merely emotive from cognidescriptive and prescriptive statements, which makes grammatinterest. This is represented in logic by the distinction between philosophy: psychologically an unconditional commitment to which is so close to practice, the concept of value-freedom (or presuppositions about maxims of action.6 In this field of inquiry, theory and epistemologically the severance of knowledge from tive contents. Positivism has also permeated the self-understanding of

posing an abstract Ought to pure Being. Values are the nomimeaning of theory. To dissociate values from facts means counterpostulates associated with it no longer correspond to the classical Yet the very term "value freedom" reminds us that the

The very term "values," which neo-Kantianism brought into philosophical currency, and in relation to which science is supnalistic by-products of a centuries-long critique of the emphatic the two that theory originally intended. posed to preserve neutrality, renounces the connection between

and bios theoretikos that was assumed from Plato through Hus abandoned the connection of theoria and kosmos, of mimesis seemed accessible to contemplation, had only taken theoretica conformity of the soul to the proportions of the universe, which of theory has now fallen prey to methodological prohibitions serl. What was once supposed to comprise the practical efficacy dependent of the knower. On the other hand, however, they have claim. They borrow two elements from the philosophical heriknowledge into the service of the internalization of norms and The conception of theory as a process of cultivation of the person the basic ontological assumption of a structure of the world in tage: the methodological meaning of the theoretical attitude and with the major tradition of philosophy, they destroy its classical thus estranged it from its legitimate task. has become apocryphal. Today it appears to us that the mimetic Thus, although the sciences share the concept of theory

a priori in the self-evidence of our primary life-world. In this tive subjectivity. Second, Husserl would like to show that this world. The possible objects of scientific analysis are constituted world of facts has its transcendental basis in the prescientific verse of facts whose lawlike connection can be grasped descripsteps. It is directed in the first place against the objectivism of productive subjectivity disappears under the cover of an objeclayer phenomenology discloses the products of a meaning-generavation of pure theory. I shall reconstruct his critique in three for life that Husserl would like to regenerate through the renotively. In truth, however, knowledge of the apparently objective the sciences, for which the world appears objectively as a uni-In fact the sciences had to lose the specific significance

> capable in turn of being mediated with the practical attitude sistent abstinence of theory that produces action-orienting culself-reflection, to which he accords the name of phenomenological ture. Once the theoretical attitude has been adopted, it is For, according to the traditional concept, it is precisely the con-"unpractical." But this does not cut it off from practical life. from the fabric of empirical interests. In this regard theory is owes the theoretical attitude to a transposition that liberates him description, with theory in the traditional sense. The philosopher knowledge from interest. Third, Husserl identifies transcendental favor of a rigorously contemplative one and definitively frees world. Only phenomenology breaks with the naive attitude in freed themselves from interests rooted in the primary lifetivistic self-understanding, because the sciences have not radically

absolute self-responsibility on the basis of absolute theoretical insight. it into a fundamentally new humanity, capable of norms through universal scientific reason, to transform aim is to elevate mankind to all forms of veridical This occurs in the form of a novel practice . . . , whose

can claim practical efficacy for phenomenology as pure theory? free will could obey. Why, then, does Husserl believe that he of a universal legislation derived from practical reason, which a describes (in Kantian terms) laws of pure reason, but not norms in accordance with which consciousness necessarily operates. It pect of rising barbarism, we can respect this invocation of the unconsciously borrows the traditional concept of theory. which he justifiably criticizes, with the ontology from which he He errs because he does not discern the connection of positivism, founded. At best, phenomenology grasps transcendental norms therapeutic power of phenomenological description; but it is un-If we recall the situation of thirty years ago, the pros-

tion of these facts, and thereby prevents consciousness of the of facts structured in a lawlike manner; it conceals the constituludes the sciences with the image of a reality-in-itself consisting Husserl rightly criticizes the objectivist illusion that de-

307

an abstract manner. Theory had educational and cultural implitents, conserving something like the theoretical attitude only in cumbs to another objectivism, which was always attached to the the objectivist self-understanding of the sciences, Husserl succontrary, it did so because it derived pseudonormative power cations not because it had freed knowledge from interest. To the philosophy, purifies the classical theory of its cosmological concesses to originate in a phenomenology that, as transcendental structure, including the prototype for the order of the human of knowledge from interest that Husserl attaches the expectation cause phenomenology brings this to consciousness, it is itself, in traditional concept of theory. from the concealment of its actual interest. While criticizing human action. Thus Husserl cannot expect self-formative proworld. Only as cosmology was theoria also capable of orienting thought to have discovered in the cosmic order an ideal world the classical tradition only had an impact on life because it was of practical efficacy. But the error is clear. Theory in the sense of pure theory unjustly claimed by the sciences. It is to this freeing Husserl's view, free of such interests. It thus earns the title of interlocking of knowledge with interests from the life-world. Be

V

sions. What indicates the new stage of emancipation is that cathar. theory from the obfuscations of subjectivity but inversely to The release of knowledge from interest was not supposed to purify interested contemplation then obviously signifies emancipation fication from these very affects, takes on a new meaning: disactivity, then the attitude of pure theory, which promises puriman in the empirical interests of his inconstant and contingent this point of view we regard the drives and affects that enmesh human powers. Philosophy domesticated them and banished provide the subject with an ecstatic purification from the pasthem to the realm of the soul as internalized demons. If from reduces to powers of the soul still appeared as gods and super-In the Greek tradition, the same forces that philosophy

> cosmos and the identity of immutable Being. with abstract laws of cosmic order. Consciousness, emancipated a stable entity can only be developed through identification gressed to the point where the identity of the individual ego as communication structure of the polis, individuation has proin the will of individuals themselves by means of theory. In the sis is now no longer attained through mystery cults but established from archaic powers, now anchors itself in the unity of a stable

sion of interest appertained to this interest itself. would not have been able to take shape on its basis. The repres identity of pure Being was an objectivistic illusion, ego identity that had been surpassed. Had it been possible to detect that the world purged of demons. At the same time, the illusion of pure that theory originally could take cognizance of a self-subsistent theory served as a protection against regression to an earlier stage Thus it was only by means of ontological distinctions

connection turns against Husserl. Our reason for suspecting the connection of knowledge with human interests. Hence we return world, appear in a connection that they explicitly prohibit: the ential aspects of the Greek tradition, the theoretical attitude and concept of theory, but that they have not completely abandonec to Husserl's critique of the objectivism of the sciences. But this the basic ontological assumption of a structured, self-subsistent and interest is not that the sciences have abandoned the classical presence of an unacknowledged connection between knowledge with the philosophical tradition after casting off its practica illusion of pure theory that the sciences still deceptively share it. The suspicion of objectivism exists because of the ontological If this interpretation is valid, then the two most influ

of fact. This attitude presumes that the relations between empirical such propositions. As soon as these statements are understood in work that is the precondition of the meaning of the validity of tent. At the same time, it suppresses the transcendental framevariables represented in theoretical propositions are self-existude that naively correlates theoretical propositions with matters With Husserl we shall designate as objectivistic an atti-

constitutive interest. relation to the prior frame of reference to which they are affixed, the objectivist illusion dissolves and makes visible a knowledge

one; and the approach of critically oriented sciences incorporates of the historical-hermeneutic sciences incorporates a practica analytic sciences incorporates a technical cognitive interest; that means of a few examples. root of traditional theories. I should like to clarify this thesis by the emancipatory cognitive interest that, as we saw, was at the escapes the snares of positivism.7 The approach of the empiricaldemonstration is the task of a critical philosophy of science that and knowledge-constitutive interests can be demonstrated. This which a specific connection between logical-methodological rules There are three categories of processes of inquiry for

exploitability, is established only by the rules according to which a set of initial conditions, they make predictions possible. Emstatements about the covariance of observable events; given eses with empirical content. The latter can be interpreted as of propositions, which permit the deduction of lawlike hypothrules both for the construction of theories and for their critical pirical-analytic knowledge is thus possible predictive knowledge testing.8 Theories comprise hypothetico-deductive connections that prejudges the meaning of possible statements establishes we apply theories to reality. However, the meaning of such predictions, that is their technical In the empirical-analytic sciences the frame of reference

representations of facts in themselves, but express the success or mixture of subjectivity. In reality basic statements are not simple expressed in basic statements. These observations are supposed cism attempts to ground the objectivist illusion in observations an experiment, we generate initial conditions and measure the failure of our operations. We can say that facts and the relations to be reliable in providing immediate evidence without the adresults of operations carried out under these conditions. Empiri-In controlled observation, which often takes the form of

> between them are apprehended descriptively. But this way of zation of our experience in the behavioral system of instrumental empirical sciences are first constituted through an a priori organitalking must not conceal that as such the facts relevant to the

control over objectified processes. structure of admissible systems of propositions and the type of sciences disclose reality subject to the constitutive interest in the conditions for corroboration suggest that theories of the empirical back-monitored action. This is the cognitive interest in technical possible securing and expansion, through information, of feed Taken together, these two factors, that is the logical

sciences has its counterpart here in the interpretation of texts of the validity of statements of the cultural sciences.9 provided by the understanding of meaning, not observation with regard to the success of operations. Access to the facts is are not constructed deductively and experience is not organized objectified experience have not yet been divorced. For theories ence of technical control. The levels of formalized language and validity of propositions is not constituted in the frame of referdifferent methodological framework. Here the meaning of the Thus the rules of hermeneutics determine the possible meaning The verification of lawlike hypotheses in the empirical-analytic The historical-hermeneutic sciences gain knowledge in a

and grafted onto it the objectivist illusion of pure theory. It apinterpreter only to the extent that his own world becomes clari situation. The world of traditional meaning discloses itself to the standing does not take into account explicitly the connection understanding, which is derived from the interpreter's initial Hermeneutic knowledge is always mediated through this preinates from consideration the interpreter's pre-understanding meaning. But here, too, the facts are first constituted in relation zon of the world or language from which a text derives it which mental facts are supposed to be given in direct evidence between measurement operations and feedback control, so it elimto the standards that establish them. Just as positivist self-underpears as though the interpreter transposes himself into the hori Historicism has taken the understanding of meaning, in

communication between both worlds. He comprehends the subhis situation. stantive content of tradition by applying tradition to himself and fied at the same time. The subject of understanding establishes

and application in this way, then this suggests that hermeneutic understanding derived from tradition. This we shall call the pracof possible consensus among actors in the framework of a selfaction-orienting mutual understanding. The understanding of inquiry discloses reality subject to a constitutive interest in the tical cognitive interest, in contrast to the technical. meaning is directed in its very structure toward the attainment preservation and expansion of the intersubjectivity of possible If, however, methodological rules unite interpretation

edge.10 A critical social science, however, will not remain satisempirical-analytic sciences, of producing nomological knowlfied with this. It is concerned with going beyond this goal to ics, sociology, and political science, have the goal, as do the formed. To the extent that this is the case, the critique of ideolfrozen relations of dependence that can in principle be transties of social action as such and when they express ideologically determine when theoretical statements grasp invariant regularireflection alone render a law itself inoperative, but it can render this end a critically mediated knowledge of laws cannot through initial conditions of such laws, can be transformed. Of course, to Thus the level of unreflected consciousness, which is one of the flection in the consciousness of those whom the laws are about information about lawlike connections sets off a process of reogy, as well, moreover, as psychoanalysis, take into account that it inapplicable. The systematic sciences of social action, that is econom-

ically oriented sciences share this interest with philosophy. tion is determined by an emancipatory cognitive interest. Critestablished by the concept of self-reflection. The latter releases meaning of the validity of critical propositions of this category is the subject from dependence on hypostatized powers. Self-refiec-The methodological framework that determines the

ogy, it is itself subject to an objectivism that disguises the con-However, as long as philosophy remains caught in ontol-

> nection of its knowledge with the human interest in autonomy it can acquire the power that it vainly claims for itself in virtue and responsibility (Mündigkeit). There is only one way in which the sciences.11 sion of pure theory the critique it directs at the objectivism of its dependence on this interest and turning against its own illuof its seeming freedom from presuppositions: by acknowledging

already conjoins the two elements whose relation still has to be explained: knowledge and interest. From everyday experience we ticular interests, it deludes itself about the fundamental interests tivity of its statements against the pressure and seduction of parone side of the problem. Because science must secure the objecobjective situation of social groups. But this accounts for only deeper level, which derive less from the individual than from the emerged to counter the uncontrolled influence of interests on a opinion, and a new discipline, the sociology of knowledge, has tines have been developed that guard against the subjectivity of rectly aims at excluding such interests. In all the sciences rouillusion of autonomy. The discipline of trained thought thus corfalsified by consciousness' unreflected tie to interests, despite its tive action. In both cases the manifest content of statements is tionalization at this level is called ideology at the level of collecjustifying motives in place of the real ones. What is called raknow that ideas serve often enough to furnish our actions with ble objectivity themselves. to which it owes not only its impetus but the conditions of possi-The concept of knowledge-constitutive human interests

beyond these transcendental limits, a part of nature acquires, whatsoever. By becoming aware of the impossibility of getting points from which we can apprehend reality as such in any way from seemingly "natural" constraint establish the specific viewits innate human interest, it would be by comprehending that through us, autonomy in nature. If knowledge could ever outwit derstanding in the conduct of life, and toward emancipation Orientation toward technical control, toward mutual un-

inally by interests. The mind can become aware of this natural logic of inquiry. ness attributes exclusively to its own synthesis is produced origbasis reflexively. Nevertheless, its power extends into the very the mediation of subject and object that philosophical conscious

but with which we must instead come to terms. Therefore my necessity of interests that we can neither prescribe nor represent, appropriate or inappropriate. For their criterion is the metalogical character of being neither arbitrary nor compelling. 12 They prove or between descriptive and emotive meaning, have the singular noncategorial being, between analytic and synthetic statements, ample such basic distinctions as those between categorial and first thesis is this: The achievements of the transcendental subject demonstrated. Fundamental methodological decisions, for exof standards. And the choice of these standards is based on atti have their basis in the natural history of the human species. tudes that require critical consideration by means of arguments because they cannot be either logically deduced or empirically Representations and descriptions are never independen-

the social system. That is why the cognitive processes to which requirement of collective self-preservation, are also absorbed by dividual demands, which do not initially accord with the preservation and urges toward utopian fulfillment. These inlibido, has detached itself from the behavioral system of selftion. An enticing natural force, present in the individual as from without. But society is not only a system of self-preservahis historical existence against the force of nature threatening pensates for the lacks in man's organic equipment and secures natural as it seems, is represented by a social system that comthe constraint of nature. Even the interest in self-preservation, drives they have incorporated the tendency toward release from break with nature. Along with the tendency to realize natura tutive interests, derive both from nature and from the cultural But the human interests that have emerged in man's natural standing that reason is an organ of adaptation for men just as history, to which we have traced back the three knowledge-consti claws and teeth are for animals. True, it does serve this function. Taken by itself this thesis could lead to the misunder-

> social life is indissolubly linked function not only as means to subject to the criterion of what a society intends for itself as the reproduction of life; for in equal measure they themselves survival is always in its roots a historical phenomenon. For it is serves as an instrument and transcends mere self-preservation determine the definitions of this life. What may appear as naked the good life. My second thesis is thus that knowledge equally

nical control; interpretations that make possible the orientation sible knowledge: information that expands our power of techsystems of social labor and self-assertion through violence of action within common traditions; and analyses that free system of a social life-world by means of self-formative processes viewpoints originate in the interest structure of a species that is consciousness from its dependence on hypostatized powers. These necessity, we apprehend reality ground three categories of pos the medium of work, language, and power. thesis is thus that knowledge-constitutive interests take form in the legitimations that a society accepts or criticizes. My third cultural tradition through which a society interprets itself, and part of the productive forces accumulated by a society, the aims and social constraints. In turn these achievements become and constructs an identity in the conflict between instinctual through learning processes, is initiated into the communication tions of an ego that adapts itself to its external conditions the interests constitutive of knowledge are linked to the funcindividual in relation to the norms of the group. Accordingly level of individuation reconsolidate the consciousness of the munication, and with the aid of ego identities that at every through tradition-bound social life in ordinary-language comlanguage, and power. The human species secures its existence in linked in its roots to definite means of social organization: work The specific viewpoints from which, with transcendental

always illusory to suppose an autonomy, free of presuppositions, the mind can always reflect back upon the interest structure taken subsequently into the service of interests alien to it. But in which knowing first grasps reality theoretically, only to be not the same in all categories. It is true that at this level it is However, the configuration of knowledge and interest is

APPENDIX

of knowledge attains congruence with the interest in autonomy sophical tradition. Perhaps that is why the language of German sensus. Taken together, autonomy and responsibility constitute a priori. What raises us out of nature is the only thing whose and responsibility. The emancipatory cognitive interest aims at means the will to reason. In self-reflection knowledge for the sake consciousness as its elements, is not quite obsolete. Reason also the only Idea the we possess a priori in the sense of the philounequivocally the intention of universal and unconstrained conand responsibility are posited for us. Our first sentence expresses nature we can know: language. Through its structure, autonomy and responsibility is not mere fancy, for it can be apprehended exempted from the singular state of suspension in which those the pursuit of reflection as such. My fourth thesis is thus that in of all other cognitive processes require critical evaluation. They the power of self-reflection, knowledge and interest are one. Idealism, according to which "reason" contains both will and possess theoretical certainty. The human interest in autonomy It is no accident that the standards of self-reflection are

However, only in an emancipated society, whose members' autonomy and responsibility had been realized, would communication have developed into the non-authoritarian and universally practiced dialogue from which both our model of reciprocally constituted ego identity and our idea of true consensus are always implicitly derived. To this extent the truth of statements is based on anticipating the realization of the good life. The ontological illusion of pure theory behind which knowledge-constitutive interests become invisible promotes the fiction that Socratic dialogue is possible everywhere and at any time. From the beginning philosophy has presumed that the autonomy and responsibility posited with the structure of language are not only anticipated but real. It is pure theory, wanting to derive everything from itself, that succumbs to unacknowledged external conditions and becomes ideological. Only when philos-

ophy discovers in the dialectical course of history the traces of violence that deform repeated attempts at dialogue and recurrently close off the path to unconstrained communication does it further the process whose suspension it otherwise legitimates: mankind's evolution toward autonomy and responsibility. My fifth thesis is thus that the unity of knowledge and interest proves itself in a dialectic that takes the historical traces of suppressed dialogue and reconstructs what has been suppressed.

\leq

The sciences have retained one characteristic of philosophy: the illusion of pure theory. This illusion does not determine the practice of scientific research but only its self-understanding. And to the extent that this self-understanding reacts back upon scientific practice, it even has its point.

The glory of the sciences is their unswerving application of their methods without reflecting on knowledge-constitutive interests. From knowing not what they do methodologically, they are that much surer of their discipline, that is of methodical progress within an unproblematic framework. False consciousness has a protective function. For the sciences lack the means of dealing with the risks that appear once the connection of knowledge and human interest has been comprehended on the level of self-reflection. It was possible for fascism to give birth to the freak of a national physics and Stalinism to that of a Soviet Marxist genetics (which deserves to be taken more seriously than the former) only because the illusion of objectivism was lacking. It would have been able to provide immunity against the more dangerous bewitchments of misguided reflection.

But the praise of objectivism has its limits. Husserl's critique was right to attack it, if not with the right means. As soon as the objectivist illusion is turned into an affirmative Weltanschauung, methodologically unconscious necessity is perverted to the dubious virtue of a scientistic profession of faith. Objectivism in no way prevents the sciences from intervening in the conduct of life, as Husserl thought it did. They are integrated into it in any case. But they do not of themselves de-

velop their practical efficacy in the direction of a growing rationality of action.

of the human species onto the level of a philosophy of history flown critique projects the undecided process of the evolution constitutive interest in favor of pure theory. This sort of highabdicates its own connection with the emancipatory knowledge thought, as with Comte. This happens when critique uncritically that relates to history objectivistically, as did the philosophical abandoned by all men of good will, is subjected to reflection value systems and irrational beliefs.13 When this dimension could arrive rationally at agreement about goals and purposes of instrumental action. The dimension in which acting subjects while the former, on the levelled-off basis of the repression of our connection with tradition into the realm of the arbitrary with regard to their practical consequences. The latter displace nomological and hermeneutical sciences reinforce each other of active traditions and locks up history in a museum. Guided defends sterilized knowledge against the reflected appropriation of the hermeneutic sciences is of no lesser consequence. It trol of objectified processes. The objectivist self-understanding scientific information from an illusory viewpoint, namely that too well with contemplatively misunderstood value freedom. decisionism. Bureaucratically prescribed partisanship goes only philosophy of history, however, is only the obverse of deluded that dogmatically issues instructions for action. A delusive tradition, then positivism triumphs at the highest level of is surrendered to the obscure area of mere decision among reined by the objectivist attitude of theory as the image of facts, the the practical mastery of history can be reduced to technical conhistory, squeeze the conduct of life into the behavioral system logical sciences lends countenance to the substitution of techfor enlightened action. It directs the utilization of Instead, the positivist self-understanding of the nomo-

of renewed theoria but through demonstrating what it conceals expectations, objectivism is eliminated not through the power that destroys the illusion of objectivism. Contrary to Husserl's consciousness of the sciences¹⁴ can be countered by a critique These practical consequences of a restricted, scientistic

> sciousness as long as the heritage that it has critically abandoned a specialty alongside of the sciences and outside public contrue to its classic tradition by renouncing it. The insight that the connection of knowledge and interest. Philosophy remains lives on in the positivistic self-understanding of the sciences on the ruins of ontology. However even this philosophy remains intention of the good and true life can be preserved today only the truth of statements is linked in the last analysis to the

Ibid., 1:217. Ibid., 1:231. Ibid., 1:232. Ibid., Ibid., Ibid., 1:281. 3:862 3:343 1:1044

30. Ibid., 3:814. (Gadamer's foundation of philosophical hermeneutics still unavowedly obeys this intention. See the foreword to the second

edition of Gadamer, op. cit.) 31. Nietzsche, 3:442.

Ibid., 3:440. Ibid., 3:526. Ibid., 3:440. Ibid., 3:726. Ibid., 3:903.

Ibid Ibid., 3:499 3:560.

pid 3:446. 1:471.

Appendix

1. Friedrich W. J. von Schelling, Werke, edited by Manfred Schröter (Munich: Beck, 1958–59), 3:299.

2. Bruno Snell, "Theorie und Praxis," in Die Entdeckung des Geistes, 3d ed. (Hamburg: Claassen, 1955), p. 401 ff.; Georg Picht, "Der Sinn der Unterscheidung von Theorie und Praxis in der griechischen Philosophie," in Evangelische Ethik (1964), 8:321 ff.

3. "Traditionelle und kritische Theorie," in Zeitschrift für Sozial.

by Alfred Schmidt (Frankfurt am Main: Fischer, 1968), pp. 137-191. forschung, 6:245 ff. Reprinted in Max Horkheimer, Kritische Theorie, edited

are restricted to a few references. 4. The appendix was the basis of my inaugural lecture at the University of Frankfurt am Main on June 28, 1965. Bibliographical notes

5. Die Krisis der europäischen Wissenschaften und die transzendentale Phänomenologie in Gesammelte Werke (The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1950), vol. 6.

(Tübingen: Mohr, 1963). 6. See Gérard Gäfgen, Theorie der wirtschaftlichen Entscheidung

10, n. 1, above. 7. This path has been marked out by Karl-Otto Apel. See Chapter

8. See Popper's The Logic of Scientific Discovery, and my paper "Analytische Wissenschaftstheorie," in Zeugnisse (Frankfurt am Main: Europäische Verlagsanstalt, 1963), p. 473 ff.

Wahrheit und Methode. 9. I concur with the analyses in Part II of Hans-Georg Gadamer

> logne: 1965). 10. Ernst Topitsch, editor, Logik der Sozialwissenschaften (Co-

Theodor W. Adorno, Zur Metakritik der Erkenntnistheorie
 Morton White, Toward Reunion in Philosophy (Cambridge:

 13. See my essay "Dogmatismus, Vernunft und Entscheidung"
 (Dogmatism, Reason, and Decision) in Theorie und Praxis.
 14. In One-Dimensional Man (Boston: Beacon, 1964) Herbert Harvard University Press, 1956).

rationality and the reduction of society to the dimension of technical control. In another context, Helmut Schelsky has made the same diagnosis: Marcuse has analyzed the dangers of the reduction of reason to technical

environment, and keep and deal with everything, himself and plan, a new peril has entered the world: the danger that man will develop himself only in external actions of altering the from completely transcending himself toward self-produced and others' identity . . . is the danger of the creator losing himself extending this process of scientific self-objectification objectivity, toward constructed being; yet he works incessantly at in his work, the constructor in his construction. Man may recoil This new self-alienation of man, which can rob him of his own other human beings, at this object level of constructive action. With a scientific civilization that man himself creates according ť

See Schelsky's Einsamkeit und Freiheit (Hamburg: 1963), p. 299